

**LEGALIZING PASSIVE EUTHANASIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY
BETWEEN MALAYSIA AND INDIA**

By:

Farah Nazreen Binti Mirza Mohammad Taiyab Beg (2012407806)

Irdina Binti Azizi (2012840762)

Aramy Rasyid Bin Mohamed (2012431808)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Bachelor of Laws (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of Law**

December 2014

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah the Most Gracious the Most Merciful. First, the author of this research would like to thank our final year project paper advisor, Sir Abdul Waseem for his never withering support and guidance in helping the research to reach its purpose. Thank you for your diligence and patience in helping us learn and appreciate new knowledge. We would also want to thank our lecturers for helping in some way or another in the long hours the project paper has consumed.

We would also like to thank our family and friends for sharing their ideas and opinions in the issues concerning the topic in this research paper. Your support , aspiring guidance, invaluable constructive criticism and friendly advice are certainly helpful and appreciated.

We would also like to thank the respondents that had been generously cooperative in the interviews conducted by us. Thank you Dr Israr Ahmad, Dr Shahid Pervez and Nurul Aishah Eliza Verluis for contributing and giving opinions on the issues at hand. Your participation are utmost appreciated.

We hope that the people whom are involved in this matter and research to have a prosperous life and again, thank you so much for helping the research no matter your roles are small or big. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

This research compares the position of passive euthanasia in Malaysia and India. This research aims to legalize the act of passive euthanasia in Malaysia. In the comparative study, the purpose is focused on why passive euthanasia should be legalized in Malaysia whereby India has taken a positive stand. The research has been carried out to collect as many evidence and reason to support the legalization of passive euthanasia in Malaysia. The comparison is made with India because India and Malaysia are Commonwealth countries. Besides that, Malaysia's Penal Code is based on the Indian Penal Code. The act of euthanasia is a crime under Section 299 and 300 of Malaysian Penal Code. India had taken the positive stand by legalizing passive euthanasia with certain requirement fulfilled. Therefore this research mainly compares Malaysia's Law with Indian Law.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledgement	(ii)
Abstract	(iii)
Table of Contents	(iv)
List of Cases	(vii)
List of Statute	(viii)

CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH PAPER

1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Research Questions	3
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Scope	4
1.5 Significance	4
1.6 Limitation	5
1.7 Research Methodology	6
1.8 Structure Of The Paper	7
1.9 Conclusion	7

CHAPTER 2 : PASSIVE EUTHANASIA AND ITS PROBLEM IN LEGALIZING IT IN MALAYSIA

2.0 Introduction	8
2.1 The concept of Euthanasia	8
2.1.1 Definition of Euthanasia	8
2.1.2 Passive Euthanasia	8
2.1.3 Extent of terminally ill patient	9
2.2 Views of Euthanasia in Different perspectives	9
2.2.1 The law on euthanasia according to religions in Malaysia	9
2.2.1.1 The Position Euthanasia in Islam	9
2.2.1.2 The position of Euthanasia in Hinduism	11
2.2.1.3 The position of Euthanasia in Buddhism	14

2.2.1.4 The position of Euthanasia in Christianity	15
2.2.2 Moral	17
2.2.2.1 The Moral Views Around The World	17
2.2.2.2 The Active-Passive Distinction	19
2.2.2.3 The Moral Standings In The Diversity In Malaysia	20
2.2.2.4 Doctrine of Double Effect	21
2.2.3 Euthanasia in the Legal perspective	22
2.2.3.1 Section 299 and 300 of The Penal Code	22
2.2.3.2 The Current Practice Among Medical Practitioners	24
2.3 Conclusion	29

CHAPTER 3 : POSITION OF EUTHANASIA IN INDIA AS COMPARISON TO MALAYSIA

3.0 Introduction	30
3.1 Legislative setting in India	31
3.2 Jurisdictional and Legal Issues	32
3.3 Procedural Issues of Euthanasia in India as comparison to Malaysia	36
3.4 Evidential Issues that arose in India	41
3.5 Conclusion	41

CHAPTER 4: INTERVIEWS AND FINDINGS

4.1 Interview with medical respondents from the medical field	42
4.1.1 Dr Israr Ahmad	42
4.1.2 Dr Shahid Pervez	43
4.1.3 Nurul Aishah Eliza Versluis	43
4.2 Finding from interviews with respondents from the medical field	44