## LEGALISING CONTINGENCY FEES IN MALAYSIA

MUHAMMAD AMIN BIN ABDULLAH 2012412152
SITI NUR NABILAH BINTI MUHAMMAD 2012413748
RIZWANA BINTI JAMAL ABDUL NAZAR 2012415276

"Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Law (Hons)"

Universiti Teknologi Mara

Faculty of Law

December 2014

"The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others."

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, we would like to extend our gratefulness to Allah for giving us chance to complete this final year project paper. We would also like to express our deepest appreciation to everyone who was directly or indirectly involved in the process of making this paper. A special gratitude to our final year project advisor, Dr. Tunku Intan Mainura Binti Tunku Makmar Nizamuddin, whose contribution in stimulating ideas and encouragement had significantly helped us to successfully coordinate our project within the given time. Her willingness to motivate us contributed greatly to the completion of our final year project paper.

We also would like to thank the respondents who were willing to cooperate with us while the interviews were conducted. Their responses were very much valued and the information obtained from them were analysed as the findings of our research. For this, we are very grateful.

This final year project paper has been carried out by a great team consisting of Muhammad Amin Bin Abdullah, Rizwana Binti Jamal Nazar and Siti Nur Nabilah Binti Muhammad. We worked together in order to successfully complete this final year project paper.

## **ABSTRACT**

This research paper provides for a proposal to legalise contingency fees in Malaysia. Contingency fees is a mode of payment of legal fee where payment to a lawyer will only be made upon the success of a case in court. In this paper, the researchers have provided an analysis of the legal position of contingency fees in Malaysia. Also, comparison had been made with respect to the legal position of the fees in several countries which are the United States of America, the United Kingdom and Singapore. The data that are crucial for analysis in this research was obtained from a series of interview conducted to three target groups consisting of the Malaysian Bar Council, lawyers and laymen. Finally, several recommendations are made by the researchers for the legislature in terms of regulating of the application of contingency fees by lawyers in Malaysia which might be applied by the legislature in the future if this fee is legalised.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement Abstract		ii :::
Table of Contents		iii iv
List of Cases		vi
List of Statutes		vii
CHAPTER ONE: I	NTRODUCTION	
1.0 Introduction		1
1.1 Research Background		
1.2 Problem Statement		
1.3 Research Questions		
1.4 Research Objectives		
1.5 Research Methodology		5
1.6 Scope of Research		6
1.7 Limitation		7
1.8 Significance of the Study		7
1.9 Conclusion		8
CHAPTER TWO: I	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.0 Introduction		
2.1 Literature Review		9
2.2 Conclusion		17
CHAPTER THREE:	LEGAL POSITION OF CONTINGENCY FEES:	
	MALAYSIA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
ā.	UNITED KINGDOM AND SINGAPORE LEGAL	
	POSITION	
3.0 Introduction		
3.1 Legal Position of Contingency Fees in Malaysia		

3.1.1 Prevalent Practices with regards of Payment of Legal Fee in Malaysia	21
3.2 Legal Position of Contingency Fees in Singapore	21
3.3 Legal Position of Contingency Fees in United States	23
3.4 Legal Position of Contingency Fees in United Kingdom	25
3.5 Conclusion	27
CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS	
4.0 Introduction	28
4.1 Analysis on The Legal Position of Contingency fees in Malaysia in comparison with the USA, UK and Singapore.	28
4.2 Analysis on the results of the interview with the Bar Council	29
4.3 Analysis on the result of the interview with Lawyers	30
4.4 Analysis of the result of the interview with Laymen	32
4.5 Conclusion	34
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	e .
5.0 Introduction	36
5.1 Conclusion of Research	36
5.2 Recommendations	37
5.3 Conclusion	38
Bibliography	39
Appendices	41
Appendix 1 : Interview with the Malaysian Bar Council	41
Appendix 2 : Interview with Lawyers	
Appendix 3 : Interview with Laymen	54