THE EFFICIENCY IN EXECUTING THE LAWS AND THEIR ENFORCEMENT REGARDING PIG'S WASTE MANAGEMENT: A CASE STUDY ON THE NIPAH VIRUS OUTBREAK IN NEGERI SEMBILAN

By

| NURUL'AIN BT SHAFIE | (2006146251) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| SALIHAH BT RAMLI | (2006146269) |
| SUHAIDARIAH BT AHMAD | (2006146287) |
| SURAYA BT ISMAIL | (2006146289) |

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons.)

Universiti Tekonologi MARA Faculty of Law

April 2009

The students/ authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, pork is the most consumed meat by the people all around the world. Due to this fact, there is an increase demand of pork and intensive pigs rearing have to be done in order to meet the consumers need. The usual problem with the intensive pigs rearing is the improper pig's waste management which eventually will lead to other problems such as environmental pollution and affecting the public's health. This research focuses on the laws and their execution regarding pig's waste management and also to figure out the link between pig's waste management and the outbreak of Nipah virus in Negeri Sembilan in 1999. The final outcome from this research is that the laws and their execution regarding pig's waste management are sufficient and there is no connection between pig's waste management and the outbreak of Nipah virus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Abstra List of | | ii iii vii viii |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| СНАР | PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 | Introduction Problem Statement Objectives of the Study Literature Review Research Methodology Limitations of the Study Significance of the Study Conclusion | 1 7 8 8 15 16 16 |
| СНАР | TER TWO: THE LINKAGE OF THE PIG'S WASTE MANAGE THE LAWS, EXECUTION OF THE LAWS AND THE VIRUS OUTBREAK IN NEGTRI SEMBILAN. | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 18 |
| 2.2 | Terminologies | 18 |
| 2.3 2.4 | An Overview of the Scenario of Nipah Virus Outbreak The Pig's Waste Management System During the Occurrence of | 19 |
| 2.4 | | 20 |
| 2.5 | The Pig's Waste Management System After the Occurrence of | 20 |
| 2.0 | • | 21 |
| 2.6 | • | 22 |
| 2.7 | | 22 |
| 2.8 | The Enforcement of Laws | |
| 2.9 | Conclusion | 23 |
| СНАР | TER THREE:LEGAL MECHANISM ON THE PIG'S WASTE MANAGEMENT IN NEGERI SEMBILAN | |
| 3.1 | Overview on the Environmental Legal Apparatus 3.2.1 Environmental Quality Act 1974 (EQA 1974) 3.2.1.1 Inferences on the EQA 1974 3.2.1.2 Environmental Protection Authority on the EQA 1974 | 24 26 26 26 31 32 |
| | • | |

| | 3.2.2 | Waters Act 1920 (WA 1920) | 35 |
|------|--------|--|----|
| | | 3.2.2.1 Inferences on the WA 1920 | 35 |
| | | 3.2.2.2 Environmental Protection Authority on the WA 1920 | 36 |
| | | 3.2.2.3 Commentary on the WA 1920 | 37 |
| | 3.2.3 | Local Government Act 1976 (LGA 1976) | 39 |
| | | 3.2.3.1 Inferences on the LGA 1976 | 39 |
| | | 3.2.3.2 Environmental Protection Authority on the LGA 1976 | 40 |
| | | 3.2.3.3 Commentary on the LGA 1976 | 41 |
| | 3.2.4 | Enactment No. 9 of the Pig Rearing Enactment 1980 | |
| | | (EPRE 1980) | 43 |
| | | 3.2.4.1 Inferences on the EPRE 1980 | 43 |
| | | 3.2.4.2 Environmental Protection Authority on the EPRE 1980 | 44 |
| | | 3.2.4.3 Commentary on the EPRE 1980 | 45 |
| 3.3 | Concl | usion | 46 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| CHAI | PTER F | OUR: OVERVIEW ON THE PIG'S WASTE MANAGEMEN | ٧T |
| 4.1 | Introd | uction | 51 |
| 4.2 | | biologist's Perspective | 52 |
| 1.2 | | ntroduction | 52 |
| | | The Origin of Nipah Virus | 52 |
| | | Microbiologist's View on Pig's Waste Management | 53 |
| | | Differences between Japanese Encephalitis (JE) and Nipah virus | 53 |
| | | Conclusion | 54 |
| 4.3 | | tment of Environment's Perspective | 54 |
| | 4.3.1 | | 54 |
| | 4.3.2 | Department of Environment's View on Pig's | ٠, |
| | | Waste Management | 55 |
| | 4.3.3 | Enactment No.9 of 1980 Pig Rearing Enactment 1980 | 56 |
| | | Enforcement's Deed | 56 |
| | | 4.3.4.1 Pre-Nipah Virus Outbreak | 56 |
| | | 4.3.4.2 Post-Nipah Virus Outbreak | 57 |
| | 4.3.5 | Quality of River | 57 |
| | | 4.3.5.1 Pre-Nipah Virus Outbreak | 57 |
| | | 4.3.5.2 Post-Nipah Virus Outbreak | 58 |
| | 4.3.6 | Conclusion | 58 |
| 4.4 | Depart | ment of Veterinary Services's Perspective | 58 |
| | 4.4.1 | Introduction | 58 |
| | 4.4.2 | Department of Veterinary Services's View on Pig's | |
| | | Waste Management | 59 |
| | 4.4.3 | The Pig's Waste Management System during the Nipah | |
| | | Virus Outbreak | 62 |
| | 4.4.4 | The Pig's Waste Management System after Nipah | |
| | | Virus Outbreak | 62 |
| | 4.4.5 | Conclusion | 62 |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter lays down an overview on the issue of Nipah virus that has been caused by water pollution due to pig's waste management in Negeri Sembilan. Besides that, there is a brief discussion on the issues and matters regarding the research conducted on the efficiency in executing the laws regarding pig's waste management. It will be viewed from the scope of the study, its limitation, the methods used in conducting this research and its significance.

As we know, water is one of the most essential man's requirements for life. According to Dr. Zulkifli Abdul Rahman from Department of Environment Malaysia, the demand of water will be increased to 60% from 9,543 Millions of Liters per Day (Mld) in 1995 to 15,285 Mld in 2010 and it will be further increased to 113% from to 20,338 in 2020.

Based on the percentage, the maintenance of clean water resources is very important to meet the future needs. For example, if the clean water resources have not been protected the daily life will be affected and the daily routines such as bathing and cooking cannot be done.

In 2006, a total of 1,064 water quality monitoring stations located within 146 river basins were monitored. Out of these 1,064 monitoring stations, 619 (58%) were found to be clean, 359 (34%) slightly polluted and 86 (8%) polluted.² Stations located upstream were generally clean, while those downstream were either slightly polluted or polluted. In terms of river basin water quality, 80 river basins (55%) were clean, 59 (40%) slightly polluted and 7 (5%) were polluted.³

One of the activities which contributed to this crisis is the improper of wastes management. Improper wastes management might lead to disastrous impact not only to humans but also to the

3 Ibid.

Dr. Zulkifli Abdul Rahman, 'Water Quality Management in Malaysia', Handout, 11th online available at, http://www.iges.or.jp/jp/ltp/pdf/fr2.pdf accessed on 10 January 2009 p.3.

² 'River Water Quality Status', online available at http://www.doe.gov.my/en/content/river-water-quality-status accessed on 22 January 2009.