Universiti Teknologi MARA

A Spatial Site Yield Potential Model of Oil Palm

Haslinda Musa

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Applied Science Faculty of Applied Science

December 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------|------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | iv |
| LIST OF FIGURES | v |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | viii |
| ABSTRACT | ix |

CHAPTER

| 1 | INTRODUCTION | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|----|--|--|
| | 1.1 | Introduction | 1 | | |
| | 1.2 | Plantation Management | 1 | | |
| | 1.3 | Geographic Information System | 2 | | |
| | 1.4 | Objectives | 3 | | |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Brief Overview of Oil Palm | 4 | | |
| | | 2.1.1 Soil Requirements | 4 | | |
| | | 2.1.2 Suitable Zones for Oil Palm | 6 | | |
| | | 2.1.3 Uses of Palm Oil | 7 | | |
| | 2.2 | Site Yield Potential Model of Oil Palm | 7 | | |
| | | 2.2.1 Definitions of Yield | 9 | | |
| | | 2.2.1.1 Genetic Yield | 9 | | |
| | | 2.2.1.2 Site Yield | 10 | | |
| | | 2.2.1.3 Actual Yield | 10 | | |
| | | 2.2.2 Concept of Site Yield Potential (SYP) Model | 11 | | |
| | | 2.2.3 Validation of SYP Model | 12 | | |
| | 2.3 | 2.3 A Short Description of Geographic Information System (GIS) | | | |
| | | 2.3.1 A Brief History of GIS | 13 | | |
| | | 2.3.2 How GIS Works | 14 | | |
| | | 2.3.3 Geographic Information System (GIS) in Relation to | | | |
| | | Modeling | 14 | | |
| 3 | MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Study Area | 18 | | |
| | | 3.1.1 Soil | 18 | | |
| | | 3.1.2 Relief and Drainage | 18 | | |
| | | 3.1.3 Rainfall | 21 | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 3.2 Data Conversion | | | 22 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---|----|
| | | 3.2.1 | Planting Material Data | 23 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Planting Density Data | 23 |
| | | 3.2.3 | Plant Rooting Activity Data | 24 |
| | | 3.2.4 | Soil Moisture Deficits Data | 25 |
| | | 3.2.5 | Slope Data | 26 |
| | | 3.2.6 | Planting Pattern Data | 27 |
| 3.3 GIS and GPS Processing | | | | 29 |
| | | 3.3.1 | Data Captured and Processes | 29 |
| | | 3.3.2 | Database Development | 30 |
| | | 3.3.3 | Generation of Spatial Data | 36 |
| | | 3.3.4 | Data Integration | 53 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | | | |
| | 4.1 | Valida | tion of Model | 60 |
| | | 4.1.1 | Scatter Graph | 60 |
| | | 4.1.2 | Model Accuracy | 70 |
| | 4.2 | Valida | tion of Slope Generation | 72 |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | | | |
| | 6.1 | Conclu | usions | 78 |
| | 6.2 | Recon | nmendations | 80 |
| DEE | ODDU | TEC | | 02 |
| REF | ERENC | ES | | 82 |
| APPI | ENDIC | ES | | |
| | I | The va model | alue of root mean square error (RMSE), absolute difference, ing efficiency (EF) and relative root mean square error SE) | 89 |
| | п | The va | alue of slope measured by two different methods. Method 1 | 09 |

 II
 The value of slope measured by two different methods. Method 1

 is determined by using a clinometer at the approximate site while

 Method 2 is determined by Digital Elevation Model generated

 from the Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) model
 90

A SPATIAL SITE YIELD POTENTIAL MODEL OF OIL PALM

ABSTRACT

By HASLINDA BINTI MUSA

The site yield potential (SYP) has been used as a realistic target for the plantation management to achieve and maximize the oil palm production. This yield potential is currently computed using an empirical and numerical model, which is non-spatial. However, the oil palm yields are known to vary spatially across the plantation. To represent this, Geographical Information System (GIS) and Global Positioning System (GPS) technologies have been incorporated into the model. This has resulted in a spatial SYP model of oil palm that allows the management to quickly compare the target and actual yields over the whole plantation. The SYP model gave poor relative root mean square error of 18.12% and efficiency of -1.60, indicated that the model tended to over-estimate the site yield potential. These poor results were mainly caused by replanting area, the attacks of Rhinoceros beetles and wrong identification of Rengam soil series. When the above fields were removed from the analysis, the model gave low relative root mean square error of 10.9%. However, it still over-estimated the site yield potential by about 5% on average. Apart from this bias, the model performed satisfactorily based on the standard model parameters for precision. Results also show that the mean difference between slopes measured using clinometer and the readily available topographical map of Malaysia with a 20 m contour intervals using triangulated irregular network (TIN) model, were only 0.43 degree, which was below the accuracy of the clinometer of 1 degree, although they were statistically different. Therefore, an empirical model using GIS can be used as a tool to help the management and agronomists to focus on the main problems especially fields with large yield gap. This site yield potential model will require extensive validation before it can be adopted by the oil palm industry.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Malaysia has led technological and managerial developments in oil palm cultivation and marked improvements in yield and reduction in costs of production, which were achieved from advances in agronomic practices, breeding and management (Davidson, 1991). The palm oil industry has important economic implications for our country, Malaysia. Each year, the industry brings in valuable foreign exchange earning to the country, contributing to about 7% of the country's Gross Domestic Product. It provides employment for many in the country, especially to the rural population where it is more crucial.

However, the national oil palm yields have shown little or no improvement over the last two decades (Tinker, 2000). On the other hand, the real palm oil price has declined since 1950 while the production costs have increased almost linearly from 1993 onwards (Goh et al., 1999). The dire consequence is declining profits, which can lead to the non-sustainability of the oil palm industry. It is therefore vital that we elevate the oil palm productivity in order to increase revenue and reduce production costs. The causes for the lack of impact in producing a high standard in management for better production must be figured out to make the oil palm industry more competitive in the future.

1.2 Plantation Management

Plantation management is traditionally based on large-scale extensive practices. Hence, there is a need to review and improve all factors that will contribute to yield reduction and make changes where necessary. The first step is probably to set a