## **UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

# A SURVEY STUDY ON KNOWLEDGE OF ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE (AD) AMONG PHAMACISTS IN SELANGOR

#### THIMARUL HUDA BINTI MAT NURI

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of requirements for the degree

of

**Master in Pharmacy Practice** 

**Faculty of Pharmacy** 

January 2017

### **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the results of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, University Technology MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research

Name of Student	: Thimarul Huda Binti Mat Nuri	
Student ID	: 2015600128	
Programme	: Master in Pharmacy Practice	
Faculty	: Pharmacy	
Dissertation Title	: A survey study on knowledge of	
	Alzheimer's Disease (AD) among	
	pharmacists in Selangor	
Signature of Student	:	
Date	: January 2017	

#### ABSTRACT

**Background**: Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common cause of dementia. There are about 50,000 people in Malaysia who suffer from the disease and most of them are not diagnosed. Pharmacists are a part of the healthcare team, however the depth of their knowledge in managing AD is unknown and some of them handle AD patients without specializing in neurology and geriatry. This study is to assess the level of AD knowledge among pharmacists in health clinics and hospitals and to identify the relationship between demographic characteristics and the knowledge of AD.

Method: Questionnaire consisting of demographic backgrounds and a validated 30 items- Alzheimer disease knowledge scale (ADKS) tool was used to assess AD knowledge. There were 7 health clinics and 9 hospitals recruited by convenience sampling and manual surveys were distributed to nearby healthcare clinics and hospitals. For the pharmacists working in distant areas, email was used to distribute the questionnaires. The score was compared and evaluated across demographics categories. Results: 445 pharmacists were obtained with diverse demographic background, the overall total mean score was 18.76(3.62): for healthcare professionals the mean score was 19.05(3.69) and for hospitals it was 18.47(3.56). Generally the level of AD knowledge was moderate and there was no difference in AD knowledge between pharmacists in health clinics and hospitals (p=0.095). For the individual ADKS domain, there was significant result shown in care giving (p=0.033) where hospital pharmacists possessed higher scores than health clinics, domains of symptoms and course of disease were shown to have the lowest score. These two domains are more medical-oriented, whilst treatment and care giving had the highest score. TPN and Outpatients unit had the highest score. However the TPN sample was too small so it may not represent the real population of the TPN unit. Clinical pharmacists which have direct contact with patients had lower scores than outpatient pharmacists and this suggests that AD patients are usually treated as outpatients. Three independent predictors were found in this study: Malays ethnicity, aged less than 30 and outpatients' pharmacists. These three independent variables gave positive relationship to AD score. Conclusion: Majority AD knowledge among pharmacists in Selangor was at a moderate level. Malay, outpatient pharmacists and those respondents aged less than 30 scored the highest. Limitations in this study were the background on training and experience on AD should be incorporated so that the findings can be more accurate in evaluating the AD knowledge individually. This study suggests and supports the suggestion for ongoing education and training programme on AD for pharmacists in various disciplines.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
LIST OF TABLE	viii

1	<b>CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION</b>
1	1.1 BACKGROUND
2	1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENTS
	1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES
4	1.4 RESEARCH QUESTION

5	CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW
5	2.1 INTRODUCTION
10	2.2 INSTRUMENTATION FOCUSING ON DOMAIN
10	2.2.1 Life Impact
11	2.2.2 Risk Factors
13	2.2.3 Symptoms
14	2.2.4 Treatment and Management
15	2.2.5 Assessment and Diagnosing.
16	2.2.6 Care Giving
17	2.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY
17	2.4 RATIONALE OF THE STUDY

CHAPTER THREE : METHODOLOGY18
3.1 STUDY DESIGN18
3.2 MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENT
3.3 POPULATION AND SAMPLE
3.4 SUBJECT SELECTION
3.4.1 Inclusion Criteria23
3.4.2 Exclusion Criteria
3.5 QUESTIONNAIRES DISTIBUTION PROCESS
3.6 DATA ANALYSIS
3.7 ETHICAL APPROVAL25
CHAPTER FOUR : RESULTS26
4.1 SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS
4.2 LEVEL KNOWLEDGE OF AD AMONG PHARMACISTS IN HOSPITALS
AND HEALTH CLINICS
4.3 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AD KNOWLEDGE AND DEMOGRAPHIC
CHARACTERISTICS
4.4 DIFFERENCE IN ADKS DOMAINS SCORE BETWEEN HOSPITALS
AND HEALTH CLINICS PHARMACISTS
4.5 MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL TO PREDICT AD SCORE 35
CHAPTER FIVE : DISCUSSION
CHAPTER SIX : CONCLUSION40
REFERENCES41
APPENDICES