Universiti Teknologi MARA

The Discrete-Event Simulation (DES) Model for Hospital Admission and Discharge Process

Nik Zahirah Adilah Binti Mohd Zaki

Report submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Management Mathematics Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

November 2018

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I certify that this report and the research to which it refers are the product of my own work and that any ideas or quotation from the work of other people, published or otherwise are fully acknowledged in accordance with the standard referring practices of the discipline.

.....

NIK ZAHIRAH ADILAH BINTI MOHD ZAKI 2016338411

NOVEMBER 30, 2018

ABSTRACT

Hospital crowding has turned into a noteworthy boundary to have an appropriate emergency care. Patients who always go to hospital need to wait for a long time for them to be treated by the specialist. The individuals that require admission need to wait much longer in order to get a bed to be admitted to the hospital. Plus, sometimes there is also congestion upon admission because of the patient need to pay the payment deposit in order to get admitted. Patient who pays the bills using cash usually does not bring a lot of money upon admission. Hence, this will lead to congestion in the hospital and increases the patient waiting times. The objective of this study are to identify the method to reduce patient waiting times, to ascertain patient waiting times if a counter was added and to determine patient waiting times if no deposit was imposed. The work involves the development of a simulation model that characterizes the current situation (actual data) and the new proposed scenarios (scenario 1 and scenario 2) for the patient admission and discharge process. These models are created in ARENA simulation tool which is a discrete simulator. The model is then used to evaluate and compare the different scenarios in order to decide the best one. The results of the simulation show that the scenario with three counters with deposit imposed is the best. So, the patient admission and discharge waiting time will be reduced by adding the number of counters with deposit imposed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CONTENT | ${f S}$ | PAGE | |
|-----------------------|---|------|--|
| SUPERVIS | ii | | |
| DECLARATION | | | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | | | |
| ABSTRAC' | V | | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | | | |
| LIST OF TABLES | | | |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | | | |
| | | | |
| CHAPTER | ONE: INTRODUCTION | | |
| 1 1 | | 1 | |
| 1.1 | Background of the Study | 1 | |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 4 | |
| 1.3 | Objective of the Study | 5 | |
| 1.4 | Scope of the Study | 5 | |
| 1.5 | Significance of the Study | 5 | |
| CHAPTER | TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | | |
| 2.1 | Admission Scheduling | 7 | |
| 2.2 | Elective Admission | 9 | |
| 2.3 | Emergency Department | 10 | |
| 2.4 | Computer Simulation of Healthcare Process | 11 | |
| 2.5 | Summary | 12 | |

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| | 3.1 | Method of Data Collection | | | | |
|-----|------|-----------------------------|--|----|--|--|
| | 3.2 | Method of Data Analysis | | | | |
| | 3.3 | ARENA Model | | | | |
| | | 3.3.1 | Patient Arrival | 15 | | |
| | | 3.3.2 | Waiting for Bed Queue | 17 | | |
| | | 3.3.3 | Patient Receives Care in Bed | 19 | | |
| | | 3.3.4 | Patient Discharge | 21 | | |
| | 3.4 | Summ | nary | 24 | | |
| СНА | PTER | FOUR: | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | | | |
| | 4.1 | Actua | l Data of Waiting Time of The Patient who Pay Either | | | |
| | | Using Panel and Self-Paying | | | | |
| | | 4.1.1 | ARENA Model for Adding One More Counter, | | | |
| | | | Deposit are Imposed (Scenario 1) | 26 | | |
| | | 4.1.2 | ARENA Model for Original Number of Counter, | | | |
| | | | No Deposit Required (Scenario 2) | 32 | | |
| | | 4.1.3 | Admission Panel Waiting Time Under Scenario 1 | | | |
| | | | (Adding One More Counter, Deposit are Imposed) and | | | |
| | | | Scenario 2 (Original Number of Counter, No Deposit | | | |
| | | | Required) | 38 | | |
| | | 4.1.4 | Discharge Panel Waiting Time Under Scenario 1 | | | |
| | | | (Adding One More Counter, Deposit are Imposed) and | | | |
| | | | Scenario 2 (Original Number of Counter, No Deposit | | | |
| | | | Required) | 40 | | |
| | 4.2 | Summ | nary | 42 | | |
| СНА | PTER | FIVE: (| CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | | | |
| | 5.1 | Conclusion | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Recommendations | | | | |