COMPARISON OF SUPERVISED CLASSIFICATION TECHNIQUE OF LAND USE MAP USING HIGH RESOLUTION IMAGE

MUHAMMAD FIRDAUS B MOHAMMAD HARUN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the award of Bachelor of Surveying Science and Geomatics (Hons)

Faculty of Architecture, Planning and Surveying
Universiti Teknologi Mara

July 2017

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis/dissertation was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the results of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student

Muhammad Firdaus B Mohammad Harun

Student I.D. No.

2014317501

Bachelor in Surveying Science and Geomatics (Hons.)

Programme

: AP220

Faculty

: Architecture, Planning & Surveying

Comparison Of Supervised Classification Technique

Thesis/Dissertation Title

Of Land Use Map Using High Resolution Image

Signature of Student

Date

July 2017

Approved by:

I certify that I have examined the student's work and found that they are in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department and University and fulfils the requirement for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Surveying Science and Geomatics (Honours).

Name of Supervisor: Suhaila Bt Hashim

Signature and date:

ABSTRACT

The land cover relate with physical feature of land surface. Land cover can be categories such as development area, vegetation areas, rural area, urban area and anything rely on the land surface. Remote sensing have been used to detect the changes of the land covers occurs by human activity. In this project, the objective is to generate supervised classification SPOT 7, to determine the accuracy of classification using maximum likelihood, minimum distance, mahalanobis distance and spectral angle algorithm and to produce the land use map. The algorithm were used to perform the supervised classification. The landuse were classified into six classes i.e. shrub, forest, paddy, cropland, build up and water. The accuracy assessment using error matrix method were done. A total of sixty (60) ground data were used to validate the accuracy of the classification. The result shows that maximum likelihood algorithm has the highest value for overall accuracy and overall kappa statistic which is 87% and 84% respectively. The lowest value shows by minimum distance algorithm is 68% and 61% respectively.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONFIRM	ii	
AUTHOR'	iii	
ABSTRAC	T	iv
ACKNOW	LEDGEMENT	v
TABLE O	FCONTENTS	vi
LIST OF T	ABLES	ix
LIST OF F	TIGURES	x
LIST OF A	ABBREVIATIONS / NOMENCLATURE	xii
CVV . DOTTO		
CHAPTER		
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Research Gap	2
1.3	Problem Statement	6
1.4	Research Aim & Objectives	7
1.5	Study Area	7
1.6	General Methodology	8
1.7	Significant of Study	9
1.8	Summary	9
CHAPTER	R 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Landuse / Land Cover	10
2.3	Landuse Application	11
2.4	Type of Land Cover	12
2.5	Method to Produce Landuse Map	14
2.6	Remote Sensing Process	15
2.7	Classification Using Remote Sensing	16
2.8	Supervised Classification	17
2.9	Classification Criteria	19
2.10	Algorithm in Supervised Classification	20

	2.11	Accuracy Assessment	22
	2.12	Summary	24
Cŀ	IAPTER 3	: METHODOLOGY	
	3.1	Introduction	25
	3.2	Research Methodology	25
	3.3	Selection of Study Area	27
	3.4	Selection of Software	28
	3.4.1	ENVI	28
	3.4.2	ArcGIS	29
	3.5	Data acquisition	29
	3.5.1	SPOT 7	30
	3.5.2	Ground Data Collection	31
	3.6	Pre-processing	32
	3.7	Landuse Classification	34
	3.7.1	Training Sample	34
	3.7.2	Recode	35
	3.7.3	Supervised Classification Using Four Different Algorithm	36
	3.8	Accuracy Assessment	36
	3.9	Area Calculation	40
	3.10	Produce Map	40
	3.11	Summary	41
CI	HAPTER 4	RESULT AND ANALYSIS	
	4.1	Introduction	42
	4.2	Comparison of Accuracy Assessment	42
	4.3	Comparison of Area of Classification	45
	4.4	Landuse Map	48
	4.5	Summary	53