

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**EVALUATION OF DEM ACCURACY
FROM UAV AND TANDEM-X
IMAGERY IN UiTM PERLIS**

NUR FAKIHIN AUNI BT AHMAD RAZALI

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

**Bachelor of Surveying Science and Geomatics
(Honours)**

Faculty of Architecture, Planning and Surveying

July 2018

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the results of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student : Nur Fakhin Auni Bt Ahmad Razali
Student I.D. No. : 2015402946
Programme : Bachelor of Surveying Science and Geomatic (Hons.) AP220
Faculty : Architecture, Planning and Surveying
Thesis Title : Evaluation of DEM Accuracy from UAV and TanDEM-X
Imagery in UiTM Perlis

Signature of Student :

Date : July 2018

ABSTRACT

Digital Elevation Model (DEM) is the common medium in analyzing the surface of the earth. The quality of DEM able to give information according to the applications that is need to be carried out. Nowadays, the use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) has become one of the famous equipment used in analyzing and monitoring the surface of the earth. The specifications of UAV gives user a high accuracy in monitoring the surface of the earth. Not only UAV, the development of satellite image also able to give the surface information of the earth same level as UAV. The new development of satellite image that is TanDEM-X has become one of the researchers' favorite data in analyzing DEM accuracy. For this research, a study is carried out in analyzing the DEM accuracy in between UAV and TanDEM-X imagery in UiTM Perlis. In analyzing DEM accuracy, there are few factors that will be measured especially the ground control measurement. The processing phase is carried out by using two types of software according to the two types of data that are UAV and TanDEM-X images. This research will be analyzed based on the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) value of the verification points. The result were then to be compared the elevation value with the extraction elevation value TanDEM-X. Furthermore, this research will be analyzed the structure of the DEM from both data by using Triangulation Irregular Network (TIN) method. The result of this research will show the comparison of the elevation value in between UAV and TanDEM-X and its visualization.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	i
AUTHOR’S DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Research Gap	2
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Research Questions	5
1.5 Aim & Objectives	5
1.5.1 Aim	5
1.5.2 Objectives	5
1.6 Research Methodology	5
1.7 Significant Of Study	7
1.8 Thesis Structure	8
1.9.1 Chapter One	8
1.9.2 Chapter Two	8
1.9.3 Chapter Three	8
1.9.4 Chapter Four	8
1.9.5 Chapter Five	8
1.9 Summary	9

3.4.3	TanDEM-X imagery	36
3.5	Data Processing	37
3.5.1	GCP Data Processing using Topcon Tools	37
3.5.2	Generating Orthometric Height	38
3.5.3	UAV Image Processing using Agisoft PhotoScan	41
3.5.4	TanDEM-X Image Processing using ArcGIS	46
3.5.5	TIN Structure Generation	46
3.4	Summary	48
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULT AND ANALYSIS		49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Accuracy Errors of GCP from Agisoft For UAV Images	49
4.3	Accuracy Assessment from DEM Elevation of UAV	50
4.3	Accuracy Assessment of DEM Elevation between UAV and TanDEM-X	54
4.4	Evaluation of DEM Accuracy Between UAV and TanDEM-X	57
4.4.1	Comparison of Contour Lines between UAV and TanDEM-X	57
4.4.2	Comparison of TIN Elevation between UAV and TanDEM-X	59
4.4.3	Correlation of DEM Elevation between UAV and TanDEM-X	61
4.4.4	Comparison of DEM structure between UAV and TanDEM-X	63
4.7	Summary	64
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION		65
5.1	Introduction	65
5.2	Conclusion	65
5.3	Limitations of Studies	66
5.4	Recommendation	67
BIBLIOGRAPHY		68
APPENDICES		73
APPENDIX A		74