## UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# INVESTIGATION POWER: THE INEFFECTIVENESS OF POLICE INVESTIGATION IN MALAYSIA

### MUHAMMAD SABRI BIN MOHD RAZIFF

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for degree of **Master of Enforcement**Law

**Faculty of Law** 

January 2018

#### **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the results of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student : Muhammad Sabri Bin Mohd Raziff

Student I.D. No. : 2014101551

Porgramme : Master of Enforcement Law

Faculty : Faculty of Law

Dissertation Title : Investigation Power : Ineffectiveness Police

Investigation of Malaysia

Signature of Student :

Date : January 2018

#### **ABSTRACT**

This research concerned on the Criminal Procedure Code. The right of investigating officer to complete the investigation paper. Many investigation officer been keen accused wrongly because the investigation paper cannot be refered to the Deputy Public Prosecutor in stipulated time. Many complaint concern corruptions, not having integrity and being lazy. This accusation can make any of investigating officer's morale to come down because of negative thoughts of certain people who do not know the procedure which has to followed by the investigating officer. This show failure of government to help investigating officer to complete the investigation paper. New amendment of the law is necessary and government must introduce the new law for the purpose enabling the investigating officer to complete investigation paper within stipulate a time without fail.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page		
AU'.	THOR'S DECLARATION	ii		
ABS	STRACT	iii		
ACI	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv		
TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES/CHART				
				LIS
CH	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1		
1.0	Research Background	1		
1.1	Problem Statement	4		
1.2	Research Question			
1.3	Research Objective			
1.4	Literature Review	5		
	1.4.1 Introduction	5		
	1.4.2 Conceptual	7		
1.5	Research Methodology			
1.6	Significant Contribution			
1.7	Scope and Limitation of Study	14		
CH	APTER TWO: MALAYSIA'S INVESTIGATION POWER	15		
	UNDER CRIMINAL LAW			
2.0	Introduction	15 16		
2.1	Objective			
2.2	Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	16		
2.3	Penal Code (Act 574)	17		
	2.3.1 Actus Reus	18		
	2.3.2 Mens Rea	19		
2.4	Procedure In Investigation In Cooperation of Witness			

	2.4.1	Section 13 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	20
	2.4.2	Section 51 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	21
	2.4.3	Section 111 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	22
	2.4.4	Section 112 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	24
СН	APTER	THREE: CHALLENGES IN INVESTIGATION OF	27
		WITNESSES	_,
3.0	Introd	uction	27
3.1	Sectio	on 13 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	27
3.2	Sectio	on 51 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	29
3.3	Sectio	on 111 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	29
3.4	Sectio	on 112 of The Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	32
CH	APTER	FOUR: COMPARISON WITH OTHER LAWS IN	34
		MALAYSIA AND OTHER COUNTRIES	
4.0	Introd	uction	34
4.1	Other	Laws In Malaysia	34
	4.1.1	Malaysian Anti-Corruption Commission (MACC) Act 2008	34
	4.1.2	Enforcement Agency Integrity Commission (EAIC) Act	38
		2009	
4.2	Laws	In Other Countries	41
	4.2.1	England	41
		4.2.1.1 Police And Criminal Evidence 1984 (PACE)	42
	4.2.2	United State of America	45
		4.2.2.1 United States Code (USC)	45
		<ul><li>4.2.2.1 United States Code (USC)</li><li>4.2.2.2 United State of America Patriot Act 2011</li></ul>	45 47