

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**INVESTIGATION OF THE
DIELECTRIC PERMITTIVITY
DIVERSITY AGAINST
SOIL CONTAMINATION
BY GPR DUE TO
LNAPL**

ZULFADHLI BIN KASMURI

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Geomatics Science and Surveying

Faculty of Architecture Planning and Survey

January 2018

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the results of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student : Zulfadhli bin Kasmuri

Student I.D. No. : 2014276334

Programme : Bachelor Degree of Geomatics Science and Surveying
– AP220

Faculty : Architecture Planning and Survey

Thesis : Investigation of the Dielectric Permittivity Diversity
against Soil Contamination by GPR Due to LNAPL

Signature of Student :

Date : January 2018

ABSTRACT

The most crucial parameter to be investigated for environmental engineering survey was the dielectric permittivity of the sub-surface material by using Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR). A limitation occurred on the GPR result when Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL) posed into the soil that commonly analysed by dielectric permittivity. Other factors that affected soil contamination parameter were temperature and moisture content which caused the dielectric permittivity diversity in time propagation. This research motivated the contaminated soil investigation with a focus on the temporal dielectric permittivity variation due to the presence of LNAPL. GPR method was used to gain the dielectric permittivity variation with aid of analysis regarding the time-based of LNAPL presence that interacted relatively with the soil moisture and temperature. Diesel filled to contaminate the dry sandy site. GPR measurement carried out by using 800MHz frequency while the temperature and soil moisture reading recorded during scanning. Dielectric permittivity of LNAPL zone retrieved based on dielectric contrast in radargram, GPR signal amplitude, time travel, and electromagnetic wave velocity. Uncontaminated soil permittivity was 9.591 among 13.5% of moisture and 27°C temperature recorded. Highest dielectric permittivity value identified was 17.674 at the higher moisture percentage and temperature in the last observation. LNAPL caused a high loss of electromagnetic wave scattering, but sometimes increased the radar signal amplitude, and lead the dielectric permittivity to its higher value for larger increment of soil moisture at the saturated sand. By using the average of absolute percentage error, found that the difference between actual and calculated depth of pipe were 0.038% error in average. Root Mean Square (RMS) in velocity analysis resulted 0.045 m/ns correction for a succession of velocity. Normalised Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE) produced 0.089 of similarity between the de-noised and raw GPR data. This research attributed analysis uses for the subsurface engineering and comes about reliable with discoveries from geophysical study that conceivable to recognize contaminant.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF PLATES	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Significance of Study	4
CHAPTER TWO	5
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL)	5
2.3 Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)	8
2.3.1 Basic Principle of GPR	9
2.4 Dielectric Permittivity	13
2.4.1 Dielectric Permittivity Estimation	16

3.7.1	Soil Moisture and Temperature Test for Contaminated Soil	50
3.7.2	GPR Scan for Contaminated Soil	51
3.8	2D Data Processing	52
3.8.1	Move Start Time (Static Correction)	52
3.8.2	Gain Function	53
3.8.3	Curve Fitting	53
3.8.4	Velocity Correction	54
3.8.5	Dielectric Permittivity Estimation	57
3.9	Reflection Coefficient	58
3.10	Accuracy Analysis	59
3.10.1	Average Absolute Percentage Error	59
3.10.2	Velocity Analysis (RMS)	60
3.10.3	Normalised Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE)	61
3.11	Correlation Coefficient	62
3.12	Regression Analysis	63
3.13	3D Model Mapping	64
CHAPTER FOUR		67
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		67
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Dielectric Permittivity of Uncontaminated Soil	67
4.3	Dielectric Permittivity Diversity of Soil Contamination	69
4.4	Reflection Coefficient of GPR Measurement	71
4.5	Dielectric Permittivity Effect on the Time Travel	72
4.6	Soil Moisture Effect on Temperature	75
4.7	Soil Moisture and Temperature Effect on Permittivity	77
4.8	Accuracy Analysis	80
4.9	Effect of Soil Contamination Towards Depth Measurement	81
4.10	B-Scan of GPR Data by Time Propagation	83
4.11	3D-Cube of GPR Data by Time propagation	85
4.12	Time Slice-Cuts of GPR Data by Time Propagation	87