



UNIVERSITI
TEKNOLOGI
MARA

Institut
Pengajian
Siswazah

THE DOCTORAL RESEARCH ABSTRACTS

Volume: 13, Issue 13

April 2018

13th ISSUE



Name : AHMAD SARUDDIN BIN ENDUT

Title : RESPONSIVE INTERNAL PROGRAM EVALUATION AS AN QUALITY MONITORING MECHANISM ALTERNATIVE AT HEI IN MALAYSIA: A CASE STUDY

Supervisor : ASSOC. PROF. DR. RAMLAH MOHD TAJUDDIN (MS)
 PROF. DR. HABIBAH ASHARI (CS)

The exponential changes in technology and economic environment have resulted in greater changes to the educational landscape all over the world. These changes in the forms of internationalization and massification of enrolment have highlighted the growing concerns and crucial needs for quality and quality assurance in education. Proactive and responsive measures are continually in demand as important elements towards continuous improvement. Accreditations, peer reviews and quality audits are several external quality monitoring mechanisms that have been developed by quality assurance agencies with the motive to enhance accountability and improvement of quality. The first objective of this study is to take a proactive measure at an institutional level in developing an internal quality monitoring mechanism. With the motive for improvement, this mechanism is responsive to the stakeholders' need for information in its development and in evaluating the quality of educational program by taking into consideration the issues and concerns of stakeholders as advance organizers of the evaluation. The foci of quality consist of quality as exceptional or excellence, quality as transformation and quality as fitness for purpose are designed and targeted at the output and outcome dimensions of a program which will complement the existing mechanisms that targeted only on inputs and processes. This developed internal quality monitoring mechanism is conceptually framed on the Logic Model for evaluation, modified Stake's responsive evaluation approach, Bennett's Targeting Outcomes of Programs Hierarchy and utilizes

Logic Model's archetype indicators as its parameters. Qualitative in design and exploratory in its approach, the mechanism was piloted on an existing diploma program offered by one of Majlis Amanah Rakyat's educational institutions to achieve the second objective of this study. Lastly, the mechanism of evaluation is then evaluated in a metaevaluation process by sifting it through several criteria to achieve the third objective of the study. These criteria include the standards under the Area 7 of Code of Practice of Institutional Audit and Code of Practice for Program Accreditation developed by Malaysian Qualification Agency's, the Constructivist Evaluation Guidelines and Checklists, the Qualitative Evaluation Checklist, the AEA Guiding Principles and the JCSEE Program Evaluation Standards. Ultimately, the metaevaluation has shown that this newly developed internal quality monitoring mechanism has adhered to most of the guidelines, standards and checklist items in the opted criteria and has the potential to periodically be utilized to monitor, review and evaluate a program for quality enhancement and improvement. The study also has shown that this newly developed internal quality monitoring mechanism empowers the academics at institutional level and also the relevant stakeholders to proactively participate in monitoring the quality of education at institutional level.