

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**INVESTIGATION AND  
CHARACTERISATION OF SURFACE  
CRACKS IN METAL COMPONENTS  
USING TIME OF FLIGHT  
DIFFRACTION (TOFD) TECHNIQUE  
IN COMPARISON TO  
ALTERNATING CURRENT FIELD  
MEASUREMENT (ACFM)  
TECHNIQUE**

**JEFFRY JAMIL**

Thesis submitted in fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
**Master of Science**

**Faculty of Applied Sciences**

**August 2014**

## AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted by other any other academic institution or non-institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student : Jeffry Bin Jamil

Student I.D No : 2008394623

Programme : Master of Science

Faculty : Faculty of Applied Sciences

Thesis/Dissertation

Title : Investigation and Characterisation of Surface  
Cracks in Metal Components using Time of Flight  
Diffraction (TOFD) Techniques in comparison to  
Alternating Current Field Measurement (ACFM)  
Technique

Signature of Student :



Date : August 2014

## ABSTRACT

This research describes an investigation and characterisation of Time of Flight Diffraction (TOFD) technique when it interacts with surface defects in metal component. Four steel plates of thickness 19 mm were used in this study. Electrical Discharge Machine (EDM) was used to fabricate the artificial surface cracks with various depths, angles and orientations. In case of TOFD technique, two TOFD probes of 5 MHz at angle  $60^\circ$  and  $70^\circ$  were used in this study with the different Probe Center Spacing (PCS). The latter was determined by using ESBeamTool software. Difficulty was experienced in using TOFD at an angle of  $60^\circ$  for detecting and sizing surface defects whereas successful results were achieved at angle  $70^\circ$ . The characteristic of defect signal was analysed based on surface defect severity. TOFD works well for most cases but surface crack that shallower cracks of 3.0 mm with inclination angle  $40^\circ$  (Notch 8) and  $50^\circ$  (Notch 9) could not be detected. This is due to the fact that crack signal were superimposed with the lateral wave and cannot be resolved separately. This is compliant with the proven practical limitation of the TOFD technique in detecting and sizing of shallower defects. The ACFM Standard Weld probe (256/5 kHz, TSC Inspection) was applied to the samples. The characteristic signals generated by the surface cracks obtained from Bx, Bz and 'butterfly plot' displays were evaluated using ASISTant software. In case comparison between ACFM and TOFD techniques, the study also revealed that small mean errors and standard deviation of depth and length using the ACFM technique makes more accurate than TOFD technique. The outcomes of these studies can be useful for planning an inspection of simple geometry component such as plate and pipe.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Bissmillahirrahmanirrahim.

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the strengths and His blessing in completing this thesis. Next, I also like to extend my eternal gratitude to all those who have helped in the project at the SIRIM Berhad and Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UiTM.

Special appreciation goes to my supervisor Dr. Syed Yusainee Syed Yahya for supervision, guidance and support without him the work could not have been completed. Forever thanks to and in debt to my parents; Mr. Jamil Jalal and Mrs. Rohaya Omar for their permanent endless love, prayers, support and encouragement throughout my education. Finally, the acknowledgements would be incomplete without giving special thanks to Dr. Khazali Hj Mohd Zin for all of his technical guidance, support and enlightening insights into the world of Ultrasonics.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <b>AUTHOR'S DECLARATION</b>                    | <b>ii</b>   |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>                                | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>                        | <b>iv</b>   |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>                       | <b>v</b>    |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                          | <b>ix</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                         | <b>xi</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>                   | <b>xix</b>  |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>               | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1 Background of the Study                    | 1           |
| 1.2 Problem Statement                          | 2           |
| 1.3 Objectives of Study                        | 3           |
| 1.4 Scope of Work                              | 3           |
| 1.5 Limitation of Study                        | 4           |
| 1.6 Significant of Study                       | 4           |
| 1.7 Organisation of the Thesis                 | 5           |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>          | <b>6</b>    |
| 2.1 Non-destructive Testing of Crack Defects   | 6           |
| 2.2 Non-Destructive Testing Inspection Methods | 7           |
| 2.2.1 Magnetic Particle Inspection             | 7           |
| 2.2.2 Liquid Penetrant Inspection              | 8           |
| 2.2.3 Eddy Current Testing                     | 9           |
| 2.2.4 Radiography Testing                      | 10          |