## UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# THE EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZER ON THE GROWTH OF COCOA SEEDLINGS

### MOHAMMAD AFIF BIN OSMAN

Final Year Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the

Degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons). Plantation

Technology and Management

Faculty of Plantation and Technology

January 2015

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this Final Year Project was carried out in accordance with

the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my

own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. The final

year project report has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-

academic institution for any other degree or qualification.

In the event that my Final Year Project is found to violate the conditions mention

above, I voluntarily waive the right of conferment of my bachelor degree and agree to

be subjected to the disciplinary rules and regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA.

Name of Candidate

MOHAMMAD AFIF BIN OSMAN

Candidate's ID No.

2012273204

Programme

Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Plantation

Technology and Management

Faculty

Plantation and Agrotechnology

Title

The Effects of Organic Fertilizer on the Growth

of Cocoa Seedlings

Signature of Candidate

•

Date

: 06 March 2015

iii

#### **ABSTRACT**

A study was conducted in rain shelter at Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) kampus Jasin for three months to investigate the effects of organic fertilizers. The treatments were poultry dung, cow dung, compost EFB, poultry dung + cow dung, poultry dung + compost EFB, cow dung + compost EFB and NPK 15:15:15. The fertilizer we applied at 0.25kg per plant in the polybag size 10 inch times 12 inch and arranged into completely randomized design (CRD) replicated five times. The plants watered daily at 0.35 litre and the data were collected once a week. The growth performance on cocoa seedling as demonstrated show the result that poultry dung, cow dung, compost EFB can be used as fertilizer. However, this fertilizer still cannot fulfil the demand of cocoa seedlings. All of the treatments showed positive reaction towards growth. The parameters that have significant different are numbers of leaves and dry weight.

## TABLES OF CONTENT

<b>ABS</b>	STRACT	iv
ABS	STRAK	v
ACI	vi	
TAB	vii	
LIST	ix	
	LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST	Γ OF ABBREVATION	xi
CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Cocoa industry in Malaysia	1
1.2	Problem statement	4
1.3	Research objectives	4
1.4	Hypothesis	4
1.5	Scope and limitation	4
	APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Overview cocoa production	6
2.2	Background of cocoa	7
	2.1.1 Scientific classification	7
2.3	Organic fertilizer	8
2.4	Animal dung	10
2.5	Compost	11
2.6	Shade	11
	APTER 3 METHODOLOGY	12
3.1	Research methodology	12
3.2	Soil and fertilizer analysis	12
3.3	Research design	15
	3.1.1 Experimental layout	15
3.4	Data collection	16
	3.1.2 Height	17
	3.1.3 Stem girth	17
	3.1.4 Number of leaves	17
	3.1.5 Dry weight	17
3.5	Research Analysis	17
	APTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION	18
4.1	Introduction	18
4.2	Result and discussion	18
	4.1.1 Girth of cocoa plant	18
	4.1.2 Height of cocoa plant	20
	4.1.3 Numbers of leaves of cocoa plant	22
	4.1.4 Dry weight	23
	4.1.5 Soil analysis	25
	4.1.6 Fertilizer analysis	25

CHA	PTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	27
5.1	Introduction	27
5.2	Conclusion	27
5.3	Recommendation	28
	5.1.1 Place and time	28
	5.1.2 Fertilizer	29
CITED REFERENCES		30
APP	ENDICES	32
CUR	ICULUM VITAE	42

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Cocoa cultivated area by region in Malaysia	1
2.1	Dried cocoa bean production in Malaysia	6
4.1	ANOVA table for girth of cocoa plant	19
4.2	Effect of all treatment on girth using Tukey's, comparison at P>0.05	19
4.3	ANOVA table for height of cocoa plant	21
4.4	Effect of all treatment on height using Tukey's, comparison at P>0.05	21
4.5	ANOVA table for numbers of leaves of cocoa plants	22
4.6	Effect of all treatment on number of leaves using Tukey's, comparison at $P>0.05$	22
4.7	ANOVA table for girth of cocoa plant	24
4.8	Effect of all treatment on dry weigh using Tukey's, comparison at $P{>}0.05$	24
4.9	Nutrients content in soil	25
4.10	Nutrients in Treatment 1 until 4	25
4.11	Nutrients in Treatment 5 until 8	26