

Development and validation of a nutrition education module on free sugar for individuals with metabolic syndrome: My 3S (Smart Sugar Study)

Wan Ling Chiang¹, Barakatun Nisak Mohd Yusof^{1, *}, Azrina Azlan², Irmis Zarina Ismail³, Zalina Abu Zaid¹

¹Department of Dietetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

²Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

³Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received

13 September 2024

Revised in revised form

25 December 2024

Accepted

19 February 2025

Published

1 March 2026

Keywords:

nutrition, sugars, metabolic syndrome, educational module

DOI:

10.24191/jchs.v11i1.10831

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a measure of an individual's risk of developing cardiovascular disease. This paper describes the development and validation of a nutrition education module, The My 3S (Smart Sugar Study) that focused on free sugar. **Methodology:** The My 3S have three phases: Phase 1 Needs assessment, Phase 2 Development and validation of nutrition education module, and Phase 3 Feasibility study. Phase 1 Needs assessment, which is a cross-sectional study was conducted using surveys on dietary free sugar of general population (n=209) and individuals with MetS (n=39), and clinical observation of dietetic consultation (n=20). The inclusion criteria included Malaysian; 30-65 years old; able to communicate in Malay or English; presence with MetS. The module development involved five experts in nutrition, dietetics, and medicine. The content validity was conducted using a content validity index (CVI) among five expert panels who were health care experts. And the face validity involved 32 target audiences and five expert panels. **Result:** The free sugar of individuals with MetS was 18.6 (9.09) % of total energy intake and the dietetic consultation did not include daily free sugar allowance. The developed module showed good content validity (CVI = 1.0) and face validity (average score of 78.3% to 91.7% from the expert panels and 96.9% to 100.0% from the target audiences). **Conclusion:** Individuals with MetS consumed high free sugar and there is lack of nutrition education module on free sugar for them. The newly developed nutrition education module can be used to educate individuals with MetS and high free sugar.

Corresponding author: Barakatun Nisak Mohd Yusof (bnisak@upm.edu.my)

<https://doi.org/10.24191/jchs.v11i1.10831>

©UiTM Press, Universiti Teknologi MARA

1. INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a measure of an individual's risk of developing diseases such as type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease [1,2]. The prevalence of MetS in the Asia-Pacific region is 12 to 37% [3]. In Malaysia, the prevalence of MetS is between 38 to 49% among the adult population, which is one of the highest in the Asia-Pacific region [4].

The dietary factors are responsible for more than 40% of the most common cardiometabolic-related deaths in the U.S. [5]. Studies showed that high sugar intake is among the contributing factors to the development of cardiometabolic risk (CMR) [6–10]. The free sugar is quickly absorbed and may lead to significant high blood glucose levels, leading to increased insulinemia. This in long term will result in glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, leading to increased CMR [11]. The World Health Organisation (WHO) issued dietary guidelines which recommend limiting free sugar intake to less than 10% of daily energy intake [12]. Malaysian population consumed high dietary sugar (85 g/day) [13]. And the availability of sugar (kg per capita per year) in Malaysia has risen by 91%, from 22.5 kg in 1963 to 42.9 kg in 2013 [14]. This rise in dietary sugar is in parallel with the increased prevalence of MetS in Malaysia.

Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials have been conducted to investigate the effect of lifestyle intervention focusing on dietary modification in individuals with CMR [15,16]. However, the positive impact of the nutrition education focusing on lifestyle modification was not sustained over time [17]. This may be because the participants become demotivated and discouraged from following a healthy diet. Incorporating a theoretical framework in the individualised nutrition care may improve the success of the lifestyle modification. This was supported by the U.K. Medical Research Council where it recommends using a theory to develop interventions [18].

The standard theoretical framework incorporated in the nutrition intervention include Health Belief Model (HBM), Social Cognitive Theory, Theory of Planned Behaviour, Self-determination Theory and others. The HBM is one of the theories used to study individuals' responses to symptoms and their responses to a diagnosed disease [19,20]. Its constructs include perceived susceptibility, perceived seriousness, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, self-efficacy, and cues to action [19,20]. The rationale of the HBM is that individuals with MetS will be more likely to follow the dietary advice if they believe that they are susceptible to CMR, serious consequence to them, and following the advice would lower the CMR [19,20]. A scoping review reported that integrating HBM in nutrition education positively impacted the outcome measures in individuals with MetS, particularly in improving dietary habits [21]. Hence, incorporating HBM in the nutrition education intervention would improve the sustainability of the outcomes of the intervention.

In Malaysia, there is a lack of nutrition education module focusing on dietary free sugar that incorporates a theoretical framework, tailored for individuals with MetS. Hence, the present study aimed to develop and validate a nutrition education module focusing on dietary free sugar for individuals with MetS. Dietitians are the key healthcare professionals to provide medical nutrition therapy and nutrition care plan to individuals with MetS in the outpatient healthcare setting. The objective of The My 3S (Smart Sugar Study) is to determine the feasibility of the nutrition education module focusing on free sugar among individuals with MetS. The nutrition education module will serve as one of the main education materials for clinical dietitian to utilise during the dietetic consultation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study design

The present study was conducted in three phases: Phase 1 Needs assessment, Phase 2 Development and validation of nutrition education module, and Phase 3 Feasibility study. Phase 1 Cross-sectional study and Phase 2 Development and validation of nutrition education module are complete, while Phase 3 Feasibility study is still in progress.

2.2 Phase 1 needs assessment

The study design of Phase 1, which is a cross-sectional study has been documented in another publication [22]. Sample size was calculated based on the objective of the study, using the standard formula $n = [(Z\alpha + Z\beta)/C]^2 + 3$ [23]. A sample size of 191 was needed with 95% level of significance and 80% power. The drop-out rate was assumed to be about 20%, hence a minimum sample size of 229 was needed. The cross-sectional study showed that the CMR among general population (adult Malaysians) was 39.6% and the quantity of sugars added in coffee was one of the factors that was significantly associated with CMR [22]. Hence, we further conducted a physical survey to investigate the amount of free sugar consumed by individuals with MetS, and a clinical observation to investigate the structure of free sugar education for patients with MetS during their dietetic consultation with the clinical dietitian.

2.2.1 Physical survey of daily sugar intake in patients with MetS

The inclusion criteria included Malaysian citizen; patients from the Hospital Sultan Abdul Aziz Shah (HSAAS); aged 30-65 years old; able to communicate in Malay or English; presence with MetS. The researcher obtained permission from HSAAS to access the list of patients' who are present with MetS and contact them via WhatsApp. The potential candidates were informed about the nature of the research and if they agreed to participate, an appointment would be arranged to meet the researcher at HSAAS. Potential candidates who agreed to participate in the survey signed the written informed consent. They provided their daily total sugar intakes by completing two questionnaires, which were the 24-hour diet recall and semi-quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) of added sugar intake [24].

The estimated basal metabolic rate (BMR) was calculated using the Harris-Benedict equations [25,26]. The reliability of dietary intake data was evaluated using the Goldberg method for the misreporting of energy intake [27]. All the foods obtained were analysed using Nutritionist Pro Software (Axxya Systems, United States) for energy and macronutrients. In addition, free sugar was analysed using the sugar database [14,28].

2.2.2 Clinical observation of dietetic consultation

The inclusion criteria included Malaysian citizen; patients from HSAAS; aged 30-65 years old; able to communicate in Malay or English; presence with MetS; seeing the clinical dietitian in HSAAS. The sample size estimation was 20 patients or until data saturation has been achieved.

The researcher obtained permission from the clinical dietitians in HSAAS to access the list of patients who are present with MetS and had face-to-face session with the clinical dietitian. The clinical dietitians who agree to let the researcher observed their session with patients signed the written informed consent. The researcher then sat in and observed the whole session without interfering with the discussion. The researcher filled up a clinical observation form where it included information about nutrition assessment, nutrition diagnosis, and nutrition intervention of the patients. A reference list of the recommendations related to sugar intake for the dietetic session was used to indicate the sugar-related information provided by the clinical dietitian.

2.3 Phase 2 Development and validation of nutrition education module

2.3.1 Development of nutrition education module

The content of the nutrition education module was developed based on the international and local guidelines, which were the Medical Nutrition Therapy and Clinical Practice Guidelines [12, 29–38]. A psychosocial theory, the Health Belief Model (HBM) was incorporated in the module. Besides, scientific

facts, strategies used in other nutrition education interventions, and findings of the needs assessment study were also included in the module. To better fit the dietary intake of Malaysian adults, local and common food choices were incorporated in the module. This module also included information from Phase 1 Needs assessment, which were the foods and drinks that are high in free sugar that were commonly consumed by individuals with MetS and maximum daily free sugar allowance for individuals with MetS.

The module development involved three dietitians (B.N.M.Y., Z.A.Z. and W.L.C.), a nutritionist (A.A.), and a physician (I.Z.I.). The module consisted of a flip chart and a booklet, which was used by the dietitian during the consultation sessions with patients with MetS in the nutrition education intervention. Clear and simple language and realistic and suitable examples were used in the module to facilitate effective communication.

2.3.2 Validation of the nutrition education module

The face and content validation of the nutrition education module was done by the expert panels and target audiences. The selection criteria for the expert panels were health care experts, who had a background in nutrition and dietetics, and with experience in providing the health care process to individuals with MetS. Meanwhile, the selection criteria for the target audiences were patients from HSAAS, Malaysian citizens, aged 18 to 65 years old, Malay literate, and present with MetS.

The evaluation process was conducted from November 2022 to January 2023. The validation form, adapted from a local study was used to determine the face and content validation of the module [39]. Face validity was conducted to determine the degree to which the module appears, on its face value, to deliver the aspects that it intends to deliver [40]. Meanwhile, content validity is the extent to which a measurement tool detects the different facets of an entire aspect area [41].

2.3.2.1 Validation by expert panels

The validation form for the expert panels consisted of seven aspects, which were scientific accuracy, content, format, illustration, design and layout, presentation and organisation, and quality of information. The scientific accuracy and content were used to evaluate the content validity of the module and the remaining aspects were used for face validity. The components in the module were rated using the four-point Likert scale (1 = not relevant, 2 = some revision required, 3 = relevant but needs minor revision, and 4 = very relevant).

A content validity index (CVI) was used to quantify the content validity and the proportion of agreement on the appropriateness of the aspects was expressed between zero and one [42,43]. To determine the CVI, the number of experts who rated the components of the two aspects (scientific accuracy and content) as relevant, which is rating 3 and 4, were divided by the total number of experts [43]. A CVI of more than 0.79 indicates that the item is acceptable, 0.7 to 0.79 indicates that the item needs revision and less than 0.7 indicates that the item should be eliminated [43].

For face validity of the module, the rating of the components in each aspect (format, illustration, design and layout, presentation and organisation, and quality of information) were determined. The average score of each aspect has to be at least 75%, to be considered appropriate [44].

2.3.2.2 Validation by target audiences

The target audiences were required to evaluate the module and completed a validation form that consisted of five aspects: format, illustration, design and layout, presentation and organisation, and quality of information. The components of the aspects were rated as '1 = yes' or '0 = no'. The average score of each aspect was determined and only those aspects with at least 75% were considered appropriate [44]. The validation process was carried out until data saturation had been achieved.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of Phase 1 data was performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 25. All statistical tests were set at a significance level of $p < 0.05$. Distribution normality of continuous data was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Descriptive analysis was conducted to determine the means and standard deviations for continuous data, and percentages and frequencies for categorical data.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Phase 1 physical survey of free sugar intake in patients with MetS

A total of 39 patients with MetS aged 30 to 65 years old from HSAAS participated in the survey. By using the Goldberg method for the misreporting of energy intake, 5 misreporting patients were excluded from the analysis. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the patients involved in the survey. The median age of the patients was 44.0 years, with an interquartile range (IQR) of 36.00 to 52.00. About half (52.9%) of the patients were male and 97.1% were Malay. A total of 17.6% of them were overweight and 79.4% were obese.

Table 1. General characteristics of the participants (n=34)

| Variables | n (%) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Age (year) | Median (IQR): 44.0 (36.00 to 52.00) |
| Age category | |
| 30-39 | 10 (29.4) |
| 40-49 | 12 (35.3) |
| 50-59 | 9 (26.5) |
| 60 and above | 3 (8.8) |
| Sex | |
| Male | 18 (52.9) |
| Female | 16 (47.1) |
| Ethnicity | |
| Malay | 33 (97.1) |
| Chinese | 0 (0.0) |
| Indian | 1 (2.9) |
| Others | 0 (0.0) |
| Height (m) | Mean (SD): 1.6 (0.09) |
| Weight (kg) | Mean (SD): 88.4 (22.83) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | Median (IQR): 32.4 (28.11 to 36.69) |
| BMI category (kg/m²) | |
| <18.5 | 0 (0.0) |
| 18.5-22.9 | 1 (2.9) |
| 23.0-27.5 | 6 (17.6) |
| >27.5 | 27 (79.4) |
| Supplement usage | |
| Yes | 18 (52.9) |
| No | 16 (47.1) |

| | |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Cigarette smoking | |
| Yes | 4 (11.8) |
| No | 30 (88.2) |
| Past medical history | |
| Type 2 diabetes | |
| Yes | 22 (64.7) |
| No | 12 (35.3) |
| Dyslipidaemia | |
| Yes | 34 (100.0) |
| No | 0(0.0) |
| Hypertension | |
| Yes | 24 (70.6) |
| No | 10 (29.4) |
| Others | |
| Yes | 4 (11.8) |
| No | 30 (88.2) |

Source: Wan Ling Chiang et al (2026)

The mean energy intake of the participants was 1725.1 (370.55) kcal/day, while the carbohydrate, protein and fat intake were 55.1 (7.50) % total energy intake (TEI), 15.2 (2.44) % TEI and 30.1 (6.65) % TEI, respectively. The median fibre was 4.8 g (2.63 to 6.98) and mean free sugar was 18.6 (9.09) % TEI. The dietary energy and nutrient intakes of the participants was shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive findings of dietary energy and nutrient intakes of the participants (n=34)

| Dietary variables | Range | Intake | Recommendation |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Mean (SD) | |
| Energy (kcal/d) | 1195 – 2710 | 1725.1 (370.55) | 1780 – 2460 ^a |
| Carbohydrate (% TEI) | 32 – 69 | 55.1 (7.50) | 55 – 70 ^a |
| Protein (% TEI) | 9 – 20 | 15.2 (2.44) | 10 - 15 ^a |
| Fat (% TEI) | 18 – 49 | 30.1 (6.65) | 20 - 30 ^a |
| Fibre (g) | 1 – 18 | 4.8 (2.63 to 6.98)* | 20 – 30 ^b |
| Free sugar (% TEI) | 4 – 36 | 18.6 (9.09) | <10 ^c |
| Vitamin A (mcg RE) | 62 – 837 | 450.6 (161.83) | - |
| Thiamine (mg) | 0 – 2 | 0.7 (0.58 to 0.82)* | 1.1 – 1.2 ^a |
| Riboflavin (mg) | 0 – 3 | 1.1 (0.47) | 1.1 – 1.3 ^a |
| Niacin (mg) | 6 – 33 | 11.4 (9.20 to 13.61)* | 14 - 16 ^a |
| Folate (mcg) | 49 – 736 | 236.6 (190.94 to 282.27)* | 400 ^a |
| Vitamin C (mg) | 7 – 213 | 93.4 (44.63) | 70 ^a |
| Vitamin D (mg) | 0 – 6 | 0.0 (-0.31 to 0.31)* | 5 - 10 ^a |
| Vitamin E (mcg) | 3 – 32 | 6.3 (3.86 to 8.75)* | 7.5 - 10 ^a |
| Calcium (mg) | 183 – 978 | 477.0 (203.44) | 800 - 1000 ^a |
| Iron (mg) | 1 – 45 | 17.4 (7.78 to 27.02)* | 11 - 29 ^a |
| Zinc (mg) | 2 – 20 | 5.4 (4.08 to 6.72)* | 4.9 – 6.7 ^a |
| Selenium (mcg) | 0 - 99 | 26.6 (16.37 to 36.84)* | 25 - 33 ^a |

*Median (IQR); ^a Recommendations by the Malaysian RNI; ^b Recommendations by the Malaysian Dietary Guideline;

^c WHO recommendation; RE, retinol equivalent.

The food groups in the FFQ of added sugar intake was classified into the descending order of their contribution to the free sugar intake. About 2/3 (66.9%) of the free sugar intake of the patients were contributed by mixed drinks, where majority were homemade or non-franchised coffee/ tea/ malt drink. This order was followed by canned/box/bottle drink (7.1%), miscellaneous (including jam, coconut milk jam [kaya] and honey).

3.2 Clinical observation of dietetic consultation

A total of 20 patients with MetS aged 30 to 65 years old from HSAAS participated in the clinical observation. Table 3 shows the demographic characteristics of the patients. The mean age of the patients was 50.8 (10.88) years. About 40% of the patients were male, 85.5% were Malay and 60% were first visit. A total of 65.0% of the patients has obesity and 70% of the patients had high fasting blood glucose, 45.0% had high triglyceride and 35.0% low HDL-cholesterol and 60.0% had high blood pressure.

During the clinical observation, the dietitians asked patients to reduce foods/ drinks high in sugar, discussed the types of foods/ drinks high in sugar and suggested the alternatives to reduce foods/ drinks high in sugar. The dietitians also educated patient about the recommended type and amount of dietary sugar intake. However, the dietitians did not inform patients about his/her maximum daily free sugar allowance and educate patients about calculating the daily free sugar.

Table 3. General characteristics of the participants (n=20)

| Variables | n (%) |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Age (year) | Mean (SD): 50.8 (10.88) |
| Age category | |
| 30-39 | 1 (5.0) |
| 40-49 | 8 (40.0) |
| 50-59 | 6 (30.0) |
| 60-65 | 5 (25.0) |
| Sex | |
| Male | 8 (40.0) |
| Female | 12 (60.0) |
| Ethnicity | |
| Malay | 17 (85.0) |
| Chinese | 1 (5.0) |
| Indian | 2 (10.0) |
| Others | 0 (0.0) |
| Dietetic consultation | |
| New | 12 (60.0) |
| Follow-up | 8 (40.0) |
| Duration of follow-up (months) | 3 |
| Height (m) | Mean (SD): 1.6 (0.09) |
| Weight (kg) | Mean (SD): 84.5 (23.15) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | Mean (SD): 31.8 (7.09) |
| BMI category (kg/m²) | |
| <18.5 | 0 (0.0) |
| 18.5-22.9 | 2 (10.0) |
| 23.0-27.5 | 5 (25.0) |
| >27.5 | 13 (65.0) |

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| HbA1c (%) | Mean (SD): 7.3 (1.62) |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L) | Median (IQR): 6.5 (5.70 to 7.30) |
| High fasting blood glucose (≥ 5.6 mmol/ L) or diabetes) | |
| Yes | 14 (70.0) |
| No | 6 (30.0) |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L) | Mean (SD): 1.6 (0.56) |
| High triglyceride (≥ 1.7 mmol/ L or on triglycerides treatment) | |
| Yes | 9 (45.0) |
| No | 11 (55.0) |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.3 (0.42) |
| Low HDL-cholesterol (< 1.0 mmol/ L in men or < 1.3 mmol/ L in women or on HDL- cholesterol treatment) | |
| Yes | 7 (35.0) |
| No | 13 (65.0) |
| High blood pressure ($\geq 130/ 85$ mmHg or on anti-hypertensive therapy) | |
| Yes | 12 (60.0) |
| No | 8 (40.0) |

Source: Wan Ling Chiang et al (2026)

3.3 Development and validation of nutrition education module

A total of five experts, composed of three clinical dietitians, a community dietitian, and a lecturer were invited to review, provide feedback and judge the validation of the nutrition education module. The three clinical dietitians are working in the clinical field and the community dietitian is from the Disease Control Division, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. The lecturer, with a background in nutrition and dietetics, is currently working at a local government university.

A total of 32 patients with MetS, aged 18 to 65 were recruited from the HSAAS to participate in the validation of the nutrition education module. Table 4 shows the characteristics of the patients. Most (43.8%) of the subjects were in the age range of 40 to 49 years old, 90.6% were Malay, 90.6% had a tertiary education level and 68.8% were working. The median Body Mass Index (BMI) for the subjects was 27.7 kg/m², where 40.9% of them were in the BMI range of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m².

Table 4. Characteristic of target audiences (n=32)

| Characteristics | Male (n=12) n (%) | Female (n=20) n (%) | Overall (n=32) n (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years) (median [IQR]) | 42.5 (35.00 to 50.00) | 48.0 (41.00 to 55.00) | 46.0 (38.00 to 54.00) |
| Age group | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| 18 to 29 | 4 (33.3) | 3 (15.0) | 7 (21.9) |
| 30 to 39 | 5 (41.7) | 9 (45.0) | 14 (43.8) |
| 40 to 49 | 3 (25.0) | 8 (40.0) | 11 (34.4) |
| 50 to 60 | | | |
| Ethnicity | | | |
| Malay | 11 (91.7) | 18 (90.0) | 29 (90.6) |

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Chinese | 1 (8.3) | 1 (5.0) | 2 (6.2) |
| Indian | 0 (0.0) | 1 (5.0) | 1 (3.1) |
| Others | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Education level | | | |
| No formal | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Primary education | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Secondary education | 1 (8.3) | 2 (10.0) | 3 (9.4) |
| Tertiary education | 11 (91.7) | 18 (90.0) | 29 (90.6) |
| Employment status | | | |
| Working | 9 (75.0) | 13 (65.0) | 22 (68.8) |
| Not working | 3 (25.0) | 7 (35.0) | 10 (31.2) |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) (kg/m²) (median [IQR]) | 27.5 (23.39 to 31.61) | 28.7 (24.34 to 33.07) | 27.7 (23.92 to 31.49) |
| BMI category | | | |
| <18.5 | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| 18.5 to 24.9 | 2 (16.7) | 5 (25.0) | 7 (21.9) |
| 25.0 to 29.9 | 6 (50.0) | 7 (35.0) | 13 (40.6) |
| ≥30.0 | 4 (33.3) | 8 (40.0) | 12 (37.5) |

Source: Wan Ling Chiang et al (2026)

3.3.1 Development of a nutrition education module

The nutrition education module consists of a flip chart and a booklet that will be used in the Phase 3 nutrition education intervention. The constructs of HBM (perceived susceptibility, perceived seriousness, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, self-efficacy, and cues to action) were incorporated in the flip chart and booklet (Table 5).

The flip chart is a nutrition guide for clinical dietitian's use during the consultation sessions with patients with MetS. It has a dietitian's view (detailed explanations) and a patient's view (simple language and infographics). The flip chart is double-sided and consists of 30 pages. The dimensions of the flip chart are 7.5 inches x 10.8 inches.

The booklet provides detailed information of free sugar, including the quantity of free sugars in foods and drinks that were commonly consumed by individuals with MetS, as reported in Phase 1 Needs assessment. It also provides information of the maximum daily free sugar allowance based on patients' daily energy intake, which the dietitians did not inform the patients during the dietetic consultation. Besides, the booklet contains a fill-in-the-blank activity, crossword puzzles, and a scenario of calculating daily sugar intake to facilitate learning and critical thinking. The booklet is double-sided and consists of 31 pages. The dimensions of the booklet are 5.9 inches x 8.3 inches.

Table 5. Description of dietary modification based on the Health Belief Model constructs

| No. | Health Belief Model constructs | Topic | Description | Tools |
|-----|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------|
| 1 | Perceived susceptibility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevalence of MetS Risk factors of MetS Free sugar intake in Malaysia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overview of the MetS and the prevalence of overweight/ obese, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidaemia in Malaysia Discussion on the risk factors of MetS based on the subjects' medical | Flipchart |

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | condition and emphasise subjects' susceptibility to cardiometabolic conditions | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overview of free sugar and the consumption of free sugar among Malaysian population | |
| 2 | Perceived severity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health complications of MetS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion on the severity and complication of cardiometabolic conditions in relation to high free sugar intake | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flipchart and booklet |
| 3 | Perceived benefits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Benefits of adhering to the dietary recommendation and reducing free sugar | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion on the benefits of adhering to the dietary recommendation and reducing free sugar intake based on the subjects' medical condition | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flipchart and booklet |
| 4 | Perceived barriers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Barriers of adhering to the dietary recommendation and reducing free sugar | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion on the barriers when adhering to the dietary recommendation and reducing free sugar intake based on the subjects' background including socioeconomic status and dietary behaviour | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Booklet |
| 5 | Cues to action | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines of free sugar intake Strategies on reducing free sugar Application of Free Sugar Point System | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion on the international and local guidelines of free sugar Discussion on tips to reduce free sugar Calculate maximum free sugar intake using Free Sugar Point System, based on daily energy intake | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flipchart and booklet |
| 6 | Self-efficacy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Set personal goal | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion on the personal goals | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Booklet |

Source: Wan Ling Chiang et al (2026)

3.3.2 Content validation by the expert panels

Both the two aspects “scientific accuracy” and “content” obtained a CVI of 1.0, indicating an excellent agreement on the appropriateness of the aspects. Three out of five experts agreed that the “scientific accuracy” was relevant but needs minor revision. Their comments were (a) to provide more explanation on the difference between added sugar and free sugar, (b) to elaborate more about different types of sugar, and (c) not to use ‘sugar exchange’ to avoid confusion with ‘carbohydrate exchange’. Other minor changes were to replace technical terms with layman terms and some grammatical errors. All the feedback from the experts was reviewed and the nutrition education module was revised based on the feedback.

3.3.3 Face validation by the expert panels and target audiences

The average score of the aspects by the expert panels ranged from 78.3% to 91.7%, and 96.9% to 100.0% by the target audiences, both were considered appropriate ($\geq 75.0\%$) (Table 6). The comments of the experts

included reformulating illustrations and to standardise the font size, as well as having more examples and pictures of food items. In terms of format, all the audiences commented that the size of the letters was appropriate, the spaces between the letters and words facilitate reading, and the font was easy to read. All the audiences also commented that the illustration was properly labelled and the colour was appropriate, the design and layout were harmonious, and the length of the sentence is suited to the comprehension level of the target audience. However, they suggested including more pictures and fewer words, elaborating on the difference between added sugar and free sugar, and some grammatical errors.

Table 6. Face validity of nutrition education module by the experts (n=5)

| Criteria | Experts (n=5) Percentage (%) | Target audiences (n=32) Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Format | 91.7 | 100.0 |
| Illustration | 86.0 | 98.1 |
| Design and layout | 80.0 | 97.9 |
| Presentation and organisation | 88.8 | 97.7 |
| Quality of information | 78.3 | 96.9 |

Source: Wan Ling Chiang et al (2026)

4. DISCUSSION

The physical survey on dietary free sugar showed that individuals with MetS consumed high free sugar, which exceeded the World Health Organisation recommendation of no more than 10% of the total daily energy intake. This was in line with the finding of a local study, where Malaysians consumed high sugar intake [13]. This high sugar intake is paralleled by consequent rises of MetS, where the prevalence of MetS in Malaysia is higher than the prevalence of MetS of the worldwide adult population [3,4]. Excessive dietary sugar is associated with MetS where it affects the regulation of lipid and carbohydrate metabolism directly or indirectly, by promoting positive energy balance, resulting in weight gain, dyslipidaemia, insulin resistance and glucose intolerance or T2DM and hypertension [8–10,45,46]. The clinical observation of dietetic consultation also confirmed the lack of nutrition education module on free sugar intake for individuals with MetS. Therefore, it is vital to develop a nutrition education module targeting individuals with MetS on their free sugar intake.

We have successfully developed a nutrition education module focusing on dietary sugar for individuals with MetS. This module was developed based on the finding of the needs assessment study and would be used by the clinical dietitians as one of the educational materials when providing care for patients with MetS. The goal of the education intervention is to educate patients with MetS on daily sugar calculation, and manage their daily meal plan more effectively, which then encourages long-term behavioural changes in sugar intake.

The developed nutrition education materials were tailored to the needs of Malaysian adults with MetS who had high free sugar intake. We incorporated local food choices to encourage behavioural change. This is because tailored print materials were more effective than non-tailored materials in influencing individuals to change their health-related behaviours [47]. Besides, we used pictures in our educational materials as the use of pictures in addition to the written and spoken text increases the patient's attention, recall, and adherence to the recommended dietary advice [48].

We incorporated HBM into our nutrition education module and the topics of the module were based on the constructs: perceived susceptibility; perceived severity; perceived benefits; perceived barriers; cues to action; self-efficacy. This is because the use of a theoretical framework in developing educational interventions improves the success of the interventions [18]. A scoping review reported that nutrition interventions that incorporated theories had positive impacts in reducing the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juice in children and adolescents [49]. Moreover, integrating HBM in

three to 12 months of nutrition education has been shown to improve dietary habits, physical activity levels, knowledge, health belief, and anthropometric and MetS factors in individuals with MetS [21].

In our study, we had five expert panels and they agreed on the appropriateness of the aspects for content validity. Studies have recommended at least five experts in module evaluation to avoid chance agreement [50,51]. Hence, the number of our experts was adequate and able to provide complementary areas of expertise in evaluating the module. The CVI is commonly used to measure the content validity of the developed education materials [52,53]. Previous studies of various areas had used CVI to measure the content validity of their educational materials and amendments were made until the validated final version was reached [39,44].

Our study was the first study in Malaysia to develop a nutrition education module focusing on free sugar education that is tailored for individuals with MetS whose free sugar intake exceed the recommendation. It was developed based on scientific evidence and the latest guidelines. The nutrition education module has also been validated by expert panels and target audiences. The limitation of the study is that the module was developed in the Malay language only and this may cause language barriers in individuals who are not Malay literate. Moreover, the information in the nutrition education module may be difficult for patients to understand. Hence, clinical dietitians need to assist patients when using the nutrition education module.

5. CONCLUSION

Individuals with MetS consumed high free sugar and there is a notable lack of nutrition education modules addressing free sugar intake tailored for them. The newly developed nutrition education module from My 3S (Smart Sugar Study) can be used to educate individuals with MetS and high free sugar intake. It will also be used in the Phase 3 Feasibility study to determine the feasibility of this nutrition education module, with the aim to increase nutrition knowledge, particularly dietary sugar among individuals with MetS.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the subjects of this research for their participation and support.

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Authors declare none.

8. AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

WLC, BNMY, AA, IZI and ZAZ participated in the conception and design of the study protocol. WLC involved in the writing of the manuscript. BNMY involved in the critical revision of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript that has been submitted.

9. ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethics approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of Universiti Putra Malaysia (No.: JKEUPM-2023-044). Only participants with written, informed consent were recruited. This study is registered under ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05746000.

10. REFERENCES

1. Agyei-Mensah, S., & De-Graft Aikins, A. (2010). Epidemiological transition and the double burden of disease in Accra, Ghana. *Journal of Urban Health*, 87, 879–897. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-010-9492-y>
2. De Magalhães Cunha, C., Costa, P. R. F., De Oliveira, L. P. M., Queiroz, V. A. D. O., Pitangueira, J. C. D., & Oliveira, A. M. (2018). Dietary patterns and cardiometabolic risk factors among adolescents: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 119(8), 859–879. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518000533>
3. Ranasinghe, P., Mathangasinghe, Y., Jayawardena, R., Hills, A. P., & Misra, A. (2017). Prevalence and trends of metabolic syndrome among adults in the Asia-Pacific region: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 17, Article 101. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4041-1>
4. Lim, K. G., & Cheah, W. K. (2016). A review of metabolic syndrome research in Malaysia. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 71(Suppl 1).
5. Carbone, S., Billingsley, H. E., & Lavie, C. J. (2019). The effects of dietary sugars on cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular disease-related mortality: Finding the sweet spot. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 94(12), 2452–2465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.10.017>
6. Zainuddin, N. Z. S., Shahar, S., Safii, N. S., Haron, H., & Omar, M. A. (2018). Sugar intake and metabolic syndrome among older adults in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Nutrition*, 24, 163–174.
7. Olatona, F. A., Onabanjo, O. O., Ugbaja, R. N., Nnoaham, K. E., & Adelekan, D. A. (2018). Dietary habits and metabolic risk factors for non-communicable diseases in a university undergraduate population. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*, 37, Article 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-018-0152-2>
8. Chiang, W. L., Azlan, A., & Yusof, B.-N. M. (2023). Sugar consumption pattern among cardiometabolic risk individuals: A scoping review. *Current Diabetes Reviews*, 19(1), e240322202560. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1573399818666220324095435>
9. Te Morenga, L. A., Mallard, S., & Mann, J. (2013). Dietary sugars and body weight: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ*, 346, e7492. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e7492>
10. Te Morenga, L. A., Howatson, A. J., Jones, R. M., & Mann, J. (2014). Dietary sugars and cardiometabolic risk: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials of the effects on blood pressure and lipids. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 100(1), 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.081521>
11. Ferreira-Junior, M. D., Cavalcante, K. V. N., Mota, A. P. C. da, & Gomes, R. M. (2023). Dietary sugars during critical phases of development and long-term risk of non-communicable diseases. *Diabetology*, 4(3), 273–292. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diabetology4030021>
12. World Health Organization. (2015). WHO guideline: Sugars intake for adults and children. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549028>

13. Amarra, M. S. V., Khor, G. L., & Chan, P. (2016). Intake of added sugar in Malaysia: A review. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 25(2), 227–240. <https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.2016.25.2.13>
14. Chong, C. P., Shahar, S., Haron, H., & Che Din, N. (2019). Habitual sugar intake and cognitive impairment among multi-ethnic Malaysian older adults. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 14, 1331–1342. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S211534>
15. Marcos-Delgado, A., Hernández-Segura, N., Fernández-Villa, T., Molina, A. J., & Martín, V. (2021). The effect of lifestyle intervention on health-related quality of life in adults with metabolic syndrome: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(3), 887. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18030887>
16. van Namen, M., Prendergast, L., & Peiris, C. (2019). Supervised lifestyle intervention for people with metabolic syndrome improves outcomes and reduces individual risk factors of metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism*, 101, 153988. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2019.153988>
17. Choo, J., Yoon, S. J., Ryu, H., Park, M. S., Lee, H. S., Park, Y. M., et al. (2016). The Seoul metropolitan lifestyle intervention program and metabolic syndrome risk: A retrospective database study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(7), 667. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13070667>
18. Tougas, M. E., Hayden, J. A., McGrath, P. J., Huguet, A., & Rozario, S. (2015). A systematic review exploring the social cognitive theory of self-regulation as a framework for chronic health condition interventions. *PLOS ONE*, 10(8), e0134977. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134977>
19. Glanz, K., Rimer, B. K., & Viswanath, K. (Eds.). (2008). *Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
20. Janz, N. K., & Becker, M. H. (1984). The Health Belief Model: A decade later. *Health Education & Behavior*, 11(1), 1–47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109019818401100101>
21. Chiang, W. L., Yusof, B. N. M., & Azlan, A. (2021). Integrating Health Belief Model in nutrition education for individuals with cardiometabolic risk: The state-of-the-art. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11, 381–403.
22. Chiang, W. L., Barakatun Nisak, M. Y., Azrina, A., Irmis, Z. I., & Zalina, A. Z. (2022). Has dietary intake changed the cardiometabolic risk during COVID-19 lockdowns among a sample of Malaysian adults? *Proceeding B-ICON*, 1, Article 25. <https://doi.org/10.33088/bicon.v1i1.25>
23. Hulley, S. B., Cummings, S. R., Browner, W. S., Grady, D. G., & Newman, T. B. (2013). *Designing clinical research* (4th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
24. Nik Shanita, S., Norimah, A. K., & Abu Hanifah, S. (2012). Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) for assessing sugar consumption among adults in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Nutrition*, 18, 283–293.
25. Kien, C. L., & Ugrasbul, F. (2004). Prediction of daily energy expenditure during a feeding trial using measurements of resting energy expenditure, fat-free mass, or Harris-Benedict equations. *The*

- American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 80(4), 876–880. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/80.4.876>
26. Pinto, A. S., Chedid, M. F., Guerra, L. T., Álvares-da-Silva, M. R., Araújo, A. de, Guimarães, L. S., et al. (2016). Estimating basal energy expenditure in liver transplant recipients: The value of the Harris-Benedict equation. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cirurgia Digestiva*, 29(3), 177–180. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-6720201600030013>
 27. Goldberg, G. R., Black, A. E., Jebb, S. A., Cole, T. J., Murgatroyd, P. R., Coward, W. A., et al. (1991). Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy physiology: 1. Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-recording. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 45, 569–581.
 28. Sharifah Azizah, T. N., Nik Shanita, S., & Hasnah, H. (2015). Amount and types of sugars in selected commercial and traditional kuih in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *International Food Research Journal*, 22, 2642–2649.
 29. Evert, A. B., Dennison, M., Gardner, C. D., Garvey, W. T., Lau, K. H. K., MacLeod, J., et al. (2019). Nutrition therapy for adults with diabetes or prediabetes: A consensus report. *Diabetes Care*, 42(5), 731–754. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0014>
 30. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2017). *Clinical practice guidelines: Primary & secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease (1st ed.)*. Health Technology Assessment Unit, Medical Development Division, Ministry of Health Malaysia. <https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Penerbitan/CPG/CARDIOVASCULAR/3.pdf>
 31. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2018). Clinical practice guideline: Management of hypertension (5th ed.). https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Penerbitan/CPG/CARDIOVASCULAR/Hypertension/CPG_Management_of_Hypertension_5th_Edition_2018.pdf
 32. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2017). Clinical practice guidelines: Management of dyslipidaemia (5th ed.). https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Penerbitan/CPG/Cardiovascular/CPG_Management_of_Dyslipidaemia_5th_Edition_2017.pdf
 33. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2015). Clinical practice guidelines: Management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Penerbitan/CPG/Endocrine/CPG_T2DM_5th_Edition_2015.pdf
 34. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2013). Medical nutrition therapy guidelines for type 2 diabetes mellitus (2nd ed.). https://mems.my/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/MNT_Guidelines_for_Type_2_Diabetes_Mellitus_2nd_Edition.pdf
 35. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2005). Medical nutrition therapy guidelines for hypertension.
 36. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2005). Medical nutrition therapy guidelines for hyperlipidaemia.

37. National Coordinating Committee on Food and Nutrition, Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2021). Malaysian dietary guidelines 2020. <https://hq.moh.gov.my/nutrition/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/MDG-2020.pdf>
38. Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2023). Clinical practice guidelines: Management of obesity (2nd ed.). https://www.moh.gov.my/images/04-penerbitan/penerbitan-klinikal/panduan-amalan-klinikal/CPG_Management_of_Obesity_Second_Edition_2023.pdf
39. Lau, X. C., Wong, Y. L., Wong, J. E., Koh, D., Sedek, R., Jamil, A. T., et al. (2019). Development and validation of a physical activity educational module for overweight and obese adolescents: CERGAS programme. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(9), 1506. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091506>
40. Devon, H. A., Block, M. E., Moyle-Wright, P., Ernst, D. M., Hayden, S. J., Lazzara, D. J., et al. (2007). A psychometric toolbox for testing validity and reliability. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 39(2), 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2007.00161.x>
41. Rungtusanatham, M. (1998). Let's not overlook content validity. *Decision Line*, 29(4), 10–13.
42. Davis, L. L. (1992). Instrument review: Getting the most from a panel of experts. *Applied Nursing Research*, 5(4), 194–197. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0897-1897\(05\)80008-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0897-1897(05)80008-4)
43. Abdollahpour, I., Nedjat, S., Noroozian, M., & Majdzadeh, R. (2011). The process of content validity in instrument development. *Iranian Journal of Epidemiology*, 7(2), 66–74.
44. Teles, L. M. R., de Oliveira, A. S., Campos, F. C., Lima, T. M., da Costa, C. C., Gomes, L. F. de S., et al. (2014). Construction and validation of an educational manual for companions during labor and birth. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP*, 48(6), 977–984.
45. Cheungpasitporn, W., Thongprayoon, C., Edmonds, P. J., Srivali, N., Ungprasert, P., Kittanamongkolchai, W., et al. (2015). Sugar and artificially sweetened soda consumption linked to hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension*, 37(7), 587–593. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10641963.2015.1026044>
46. Stanhope, K. L. (2016). Sugar consumption, metabolic disease and obesity: The state of the controversy. *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences*, 53(1), 52–67. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10408363.2015.1084990>
47. Kreuter, M. W., Oswald, D. L., Bull, F. C., & Clark, E. M. (2000). Are tailored health education materials always more effective than non-tailored materials? *Health Education Research*, 15(3), 305–315. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/15.3.305>
48. Houts, P. S., Doak, C. C., Doak, L. G., & Loscalzo, M. J. (2006). The role of pictures in improving health communication: A review of research on attention, comprehension, recall, and adherence. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 61(2), 173–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2005.05.004>
49. Chiang, W. L., Azlan, A., & Mohd Yusof, B. N. (2022). Effectiveness of education intervention to reduce sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juice in children and adolescents: A scoping review. *Expert Review of Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 17(3), 179–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17446651.2022.2060818>

50. Zamanzadeh, V., Ghahramanian, A., Rassouli, M., Abbaszadeh, A., Alavi-Majd, H., & Nikanfar, A. R. (2015). Design and implementation content validity study: Development of an instrument for measuring patient-centered communication. *Journal of Caring Sciences*, 4(2), 165–178. <https://doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2015.017>
51. Parmenter, K., & Wardle, J. (2000). Evaluation and design of nutrition knowledge measures. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, 32(5), 269–277. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3182\(00\)70575-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3182(00)70575-9)
52. Waltz, C. F., & Bausell, R. B. (1981). *Nursing research: Design, statistics, and computer analysis* (2nd ed.). F.A. Davis Company.
53. Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2006). The content validity index: Are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 29(5), 489–497. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20147>



© 2026 by the author. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

About the Authors

Author 1

Wan Ling Chiang, MSc is a PhD student in the Department of Dietetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. She previously obtained a Master of Medical Science from University of Cyberjaya. Her research interests focus on dietary sugars, metabolic syndrome, cardiometabolic risk, and the development and validation of theory-based nutrition education interventions. Her work integrates clinical nutrition practice with evidence-based approaches to support sustainable lifestyle modification among high-risk populations. She can be reached at chiangwanling@outlook.com

Author 2

Barakatun Nisak Mohd Yusof, PhD is a professor in the Department of Dietetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Her research focuses on metabolic obesity, diabetes, cardiometabolic risk, Ramadan nutrition, and medical nutrition therapy. She leads interdisciplinary clinical and translational metabolic research at both national and international levels. She supervises postgraduate research and is actively involved in national nutrition policy development and professional dietetics leadership. Her scholarly work spans clinical intervention studies, module development, and metabolic health research. She can be reached at bnisak@upm.edu.my

Author 3

Azrina Azlan, PhD is a professor in the Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Her research interests include nutritional biochemistry, development and analysis of functional foods/nutraceuticals and culinary nutrition. She has extensive experience in laboratory-based research and nutrition related chronic diseases studies. Her work contributes to understanding nutrient-related health outcomes and evidence-based dietary recommendations. She can be reached at azrinaaz@upm.edu.my

Author 4

Irmi Zarina Binti Ismail, PhD, MMed (Family Medicine) is a Medical Lecturer and Family Medicine Specialist in the Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. She obtained her MBBS from Universiti Sains Malaysia and Master of Medicine (Family Medicine) from Universiti Malaya, and later completed her PhD. Her clinical and research interests include metabolic syndrome, chronic disease management, women's health, and primary care research. She is actively involved in postgraduate supervision and primary care research initiatives. She can be reached at irmiismail@upm.edu.my

Author 5

Zalina Abu Zaid, PhD is a senior lecturer and clinical dietitian in the Department of Dietetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Her expertise includes medical nutrition therapy, oncology nutrition, outpatient metabolic clinic management, and structured nutrition education interventions for individuals with cardiometabolic risk. She is actively involved in cancer-related nutrition research and contributes to the development of patient education tools and clinical nutrition protocols. Her work focuses on translating evidence-based guidelines into practical dietetic care across metabolic and oncology settings. She can be reached at zalina@upm.edu.my