

VOLUME 3 NO. 1
JUNE 2006

ISSN 1675-7017

SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH JOURNAL



SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH JOURNAL

Chief Editor

Prof. Dr. Rashidah Abdul Rahman,
Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Managing Editor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Loo Ern Chen,
Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Editorial Advisory and Review Board

Prof. Dr. Normah Omar, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Prof. Dr. Sardar M.N. Islam, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia
Prof. Dr. Faridah Hassan, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assistant Prof. Alexander N. Kostyuk, Ukrainian Academy of Banking of National
Bank of Ukraine, Sumy, Ukraine
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Razidah Ismail, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nor'azam Matstuki, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Roshayani Arshad, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nor Aziah Alias, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Dr. Sabarinah Sheikh Ahmad, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Maznah Wan Omar, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Dr. Megawati Omar, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Dr. Rashid Ameer, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Dr. Azizah Abdullah, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Dr. Azmi Abdul Hamid, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Dr. Kalsom Salleh, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Copyright © 2006 by Institute of Research, Development and Commercialisation (IRDC), Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means; electronics, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise; without prior permission in writing from the Publisher.

Social and Management Research Journal is jointly published by Institute of Research, Development and Commercialisation (IRDC) and University Publication Centre (UPENA), Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia.

The views and opinion expressed therein are those of the individual authors and the publication of these statements in the Scientific Research Journal do not imply endorsement by the publisher or the editorial staff. Copyright is vested in Universiti Teknologi MARA. Written permission is required to reproduce any part of this publication.

SOCIAL AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH JOURNAL

Vol. 3 No. 1

June 2006

ISSN 1675-7017

1. **Trade Liberalization and Manufacturing Growth in Malaysia:
A Cointegration Analysis** 1
*Karunagaran Madhavan
Deviga Vengedasalam
Veera Pandiyan Kaliani Sundram*
2. **Using Text Mining Algorithm to Detect Gender Deception
Based on Malaysian Chat Room Lingo** 11
*Dianne L.M. Cheong
Nur Atiqah Sia Abdullah @ Sia Sze Yieng*
3. **The Impact of Cash Flows and Earnings on Dividend:
Evidence from Southeast Asia Countries** 25
*Khairul Anuar Kamardin
Mohd Shatari Abdul Ghafar
Wan Adibah Wan Ismail*
4. **Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ): An
Empirical Analysis of the Value and Expectancy Theory** 47
*Wee Shu Hui
Maz Ainy Abdul Azis
Zarinah Abdul Rasit*
5. **The Structural and Functional Changes of Management
Accountants** 67
*Aliza Ramli
Suzana Sulaiman*

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 6. | Earnings Management and Sale of Assets <i>Nor'azam Mastuki</i> <i>Nihlah Abdullah</i> | 85 |
| 7. | Modelling Malaysian Road Accident Deaths: An Econometric Approach <i>Wan Fairos Wan Yaacob</i> <i>Wan Zakiyatussariroh Wan Husin</i> | 99 |
| 8. | Inter-relationship between Performance of Bursa Malaysia and Foreign Stock Markets <i>T. Chantrathevi P. Thuraisingam</i> <i>Tew You Hoo</i> <i>Dalila Daud</i> | 113 |
| 9. | Predicting Corporate Financial Distress Using Logistic Regression: Malaysian Evidence <i>Tew You Hoo</i> <i>Enylina Nordin</i> | 123 |
| 10. | Knowledge Management in Electronic Government: An Exploratory Study of Local Authorities in Malaysia <i>Kalsom Salleh</i> <i>Syed Noh Syed Ahmad</i> | 133 |

Trade Liberalization and Manufacturing Growth in Malaysia: A Cointegration Analysis

*Karunagaran Madhavan
Deviga Vengedasalam
Veera Pandiyan Kaliani Sundram
Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia
Email: karun774@perak.uitm.edu.my*

ABSTRACT

This study examines the impact of trade liberalization in the manufacturing sector in Malaysia. The theoretical framework for this study employs the Lucas model of "human capital model of endogenous model". This study also uses the cointegration test and error correction techniques to measure the impact of trade liberalization on Malaysian manufacturing sector during the period 1963 - 2003. The empirical results of cointegration test suggest that there exists a long run relationship between manufacturing output and its determinants of trade liberalization, labour, capital and education level. This study uses the error correction model (ECM) to determine the short-run dynamics around the equilibrium relationship and suggest that labour and trade liberalization have emerged as significant determinants for the manufacturing output in Malaysia.

Keywords: *Cointegration test, error correction techniques, economic growth*

Introduction

For the past few decades the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth in developing countries has been a major issue of debate among the development economists. The impact of trade liberalization on the economic growth provides mixed results. Studies have found evidence that trade liberalization would increase the economic growth (Balassa, 1971 and

1982; Bhagwati, 1978; Krueger, 1978; Romer, 1989; Edwards, 1992; Harrison, 1995; Savvides, 1995; Liu et al., 1997;). They argued that trade liberalization through export expansion, productivity growth and exposure to foreign competition will enhance the economic growth.

On the other hand, there are studies which found little evidence to support that trade liberalization will enhance the economic growth (Rodriguez & Rodrik 1999; Lewis et al. 2002 and Baldwin, 2003). They argued that trade liberalization through lower tariffs and non-trade restrictions would not guarantee economic growth. According to them the combination of lower trade restrictions with non-discriminatory exchange rate system, efficient monetary and fiscal policies as well as corrupt-free policies are vital to enhance economic growth.

Problem Statement

Trade liberalization is a global phenomenon. The implementation of World Trade Organization (WTO) and ASEAN Free Trade Agreement (AFTA) will promote trade liberalization in order to increase exports and productivity growth through exposure to foreign competition. This will give a significant impact on the manufacturing sector for overall developing countries like Malaysia.

Over the past two decades, the trends of manufacturing sector had gradually changed. To compete among the ASEAN countries in the manufacturing sector, the Malaysian government had implemented various instruments such as tariff policy, tax policy, incentives to firms, government policies, and policies to attract foreign direct investment. However, these policies do not promise Malaysia's capacity to compete compared with other ASEAN countries.

Trade liberalization may increase Malaysia's competitiveness in the manufacturing sector. However, trade liberalization may also reduce the competitiveness. Therefore, the impact of trade liberalization on Malaysian manufacturing sector is not fully explored.

Objective of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to empirically analyze the impact of trade liberalization on the Malaysian manufacturing sector by using the framework of endogenous growth model. The specific objective of this study is to examine the relationship between manufacturing output with trade liberalization, labour, capital and education. Furthermore, it is aimed at determining the short run and long run relationship between manufacturing output and the trade liberalization.

Empirical Framework

An aggregate production function framework developed by Lucas (1988) was used in this study to examine the relationship between trade liberalization and manufacturing growth for Malaysia. The following framework is as follows:

$$Y = f(K, L, EDU, TL) \quad (1)$$

Where Y, K, L, EDU and TL is the manufacturing output, capital, labour, education and index of trade liberalization.

The variable, EDU is used as a proxy for effective workforce in manufacturing sector according to the study by Mankiw, Romer and Weil (1992). The equation (1) is specified in log-linear form with an error term, u and can be written as:

$$LOUT_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 LLAB_t + \alpha_2 LCAP_t + \alpha_3 LTL_t + \alpha_4 LEDU_t + \mu_t \quad (2)$$

The elasticity of each parameter is expected to be positive ($\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 > 0$). If the time series variables of LOU_T, LLAB, LCAP, LTL and LEDU have unit roots (after tested for ADF and PP test), the equation (2) need to take the first difference of the variables and rewrite as shown below:

$$\Delta LOU_T = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \Delta LLAB_t + \alpha_2 \Delta LCAP_t + \alpha_3 \Delta LTL_t + \alpha_4 \Delta LEDU_t + \mu_t \quad (3)$$

The long run estimation cannot be obtained from the Equation (2). This is due to the procedure of differencing which results in a loss of valuable “long-run information” in the data (Maddala, 1992). Therefore, the error-correction term (ECM) is introduced to overcome the problem of long-run estimation. The specification of a general ECM model is written as:

$$\Delta LOU_T = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_{1i} \Delta LOU_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_{2i} \Delta LLAB_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_{3i} \Delta LCAP_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_{4i} \Delta LTL_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_{5i} \Delta LEDU_{t-1} + \beta_5 EC_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where EC_{t-1} is the error-correction term lagged one period.

Data Analysis

This study uses annual data for the period from 1963 to 2003. There are five variables used in the analysis such as manufacturing output (OUT), labour (LAB), capital (CAP), openness (TL) and education (EDU). We used the modern econometric program such as “E-views” to estimate the regression.

Findings and Conclusion

Unit Root Test

The unit root tests for stationarity were conducted at the level and first differences of all the five variables (OUT, CAP, LAB, TL and EDU) using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) and Philip-Perron (PP) tests.

The results of the unit root test (for stationary) using the ADF and PP are summarized in Table I.

Table I: Unit Root Test Results with ADF and PP Test

| Variable | Levels/First Differences | ADF Test | | Philip-Perron Test | | Conclusion |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| | | Without Trend | With Trend | Without Trend | With Trend | |
| LOUT | Level | -1.34 | -1.93 | -0.86 | -2.01 | I(1) |
| | First Difference | -7.77*** | -7.91*** | -7.71*** | -7.92*** | I(0) |
| LLAB | Level | -1.45 | -2.81 | -1.52 | 1.52 | I(1) |
| | First Difference | -7.69*** | -8.40*** | -7.47*** | -8.37*** | I(0) |
| LCAP | Level | -1.44 | -2.71 | -0.78 | -1.52 | I(1) |
| | First Difference | -3.17** | -3.74** | -3.97*** | -3.89*** | I(0) |
| LTL | Level | 0.09 | -3.55* | 0.37 | -0.47 | I(1) |
| | First Difference | -8.51*** | -8.46*** | -10.82*** | -11.47*** | I(0) |
| LEDU | Level | -3.99* | -3.08 | -4.66*** | -2.97 | I(1) |
| | First Difference | -4.50*** | -5.33*** | -4.45*** | -5.33*** | I(0) |

Note: *, **, *** denotes the rejection of the null hypothesis of unit roots at 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels respectively.

The results from the ADF tests indicate that all the time series data are not significant at all levels since the computed value is less than the critical value. Therefore, the null hypothesis cannot be rejected at 5% of confidence level and concluded that unit root problems exist at level with trends included and also when trends are excluded in the equation.

However, the first differences of all variables are stationary under the ADF with trends included and also when trends are excluded and therefore concluded that each of the data series is stationary after first differencing at the 1% and 5% significance level. Since, these variables are integrated of order 1, the first

differenced data are used in the model to run the ordinary least squared method to overcome spurious regression.

The Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root tests also confirms of the existence of non- stationarity for all variables at level. The results from the PP tests also indicate that all the time series data are not significant at all levels and concluded that unit root problems exist at level with trends included and also when trends are excluded in the equation.

Cointegration Test Results

We need to specify the order of lags (p) of Vector Autoregression Model (VAR) before carrying out the cointegration test. According to Pesaran and Pesaran (1997), it is optimal to select order of lags for VAR as 1 if the sample size is small. Since the sample size for this study is only 41 observation, it is best to employ $\rho = 1$.

In Table 2, the maximal eigenvalue statistics is 36.26 that is above than the 95% critical value of 33.46. Thus, the results reject the null hypothesis, $r = 0$, and it suggests of one cointegrating vector of $r = 1$. Therefore, we conclude that there is only one cointegrating relation among the variables. The maximal eigenvalue test result is consistent with the trace tests.

Table 2: Johansen – Juselius Maximum Likelihood Cointegration Tests

| NULL | ALTERNATIVE | STATISTIC | 95% CRITICAL VALUE |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
| MAXIMAL EIGENVALUE TEST | | | |
| $r = 0$ | $r = 1$ | 36.26962 | 33.46 |
| $r ? 1$ | $r = 2$ | 18.47613 | 27.07 |
| $r ? 2$ | $r = 3$ | 17.40628 | 20.97 |
| $r ? 3$ | $r = 4$ | 7.431368 | 14.07 |
| $r ? 4$ | $r = 5$ | 1.464377 | 3.76 |
| TRACE TEST | | | |
| $r = 0$ | $r ? 1$ | 81.04778 | 68.52 |
| $r ? 1$ | $r ? 2$ | 44.77816 | 47.21 |
| $r ? 2$ | $r ? 3$ | 26.30202 | 29.68 |
| $r ? 3$ | $r ? 4$ | 8.895744 | 15.41 |
| $r ? 4$ | $r ? 5$ | 1.464377 | 3.76 |

Notes: The test was performed using E-Views version 4 and r stands for the number of cointegrating vectors.

Table 3 below provides the estimates of long-run cointegration vectors.

Table 3: Estimates of Long-Run Cointegrating Vectors

| LOUT | LCAP | LEDU | LTL | LLAB |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1.000000 | 0.136656 | -0.970635 | -0.644038 | -0.928683 |
| | (0.09982) | (0.17827) | (0.09538) | (0.09613) |

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate standard errors.

The long-run equilibrium relations for Malaysian manufacturing sector can be written as below:

$$\text{LOUT} = -0.64\text{LTL} - 0.97\text{LEDU} + 0.13\text{LCAP} - 0.92\text{LLAB}$$

This may be due to the negative relationship between education and manufacturing output where the proxy used for education is the number of enrolment for secondary school.

Estimation of an Error-Correction Model (ECM)

This section will explain the results estimated from an error-correction model (ECM). According to Hendry's (1995) general to specific modeling approach, the initial step is to set the value of lags of the explanatory variables, and then delete the most insignificant differenced variables. We start the estimates by using with the 4 lags and tried various values of lags. After a few estimations, the model that fits the data best is presented in the Table 4.

The final results of the ECM as presented in Table 4 had passed the diagnostic tests. The Jarque-Bera statistics is used to test the normality test, which confirms the normality of residual where it fails to reject null hypothesis at 5% of significant level. Furthermore, test on first-order serial correlation was conducted with the Durbin-Watson statistics. The Durbin-Watson statistic is 1.85 as in Table 4, therefore there is no serial correlation at the 95% confidence level. The non-existence of serial correlation confirms with the Breusch-Godfrey's Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test results where it rejects the existence of serial correlation and therefore accepts the null hypothesis (no correlation exists between variables) when the p-value (0.51) is more than 0.05.

From Table 4, the ECM is estimated at -0.158, which is between 0 and -1 and is statistically significant at the 10% significance level. This implies that, the ECM has the correct sign and suggests a moderate speed of convergence to equilibrium. The statistical significance of the ECM confirms the presence of long-run equilibrium between the manufacturing output and the regressors (capital, labour and trade liberalization).

Table 4: Estimated Error Correction Model

| Variable | Coefficient | t- statistics |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Constant | 0.194614 | 3.96500** |
| Δ LMANU(-1) | -0.460871 | -1.72060 |
| Δ LMANU(-2) | -0.656872 | -2.20638 |
| Δ LEDU(-1) | -0.596020 | -1.19748 |
| Δ LEDU(-2) | 0.412542 | 0.90413 |
| Δ LTL(-1) | 0.131651 | 5.05068** |
| Δ LTL(-2) | 0.410916 | 3.8192 |
| Δ LCAP(-1) | -0.130897 | -0.46158 |
| Δ LCAP(-2) | 0.151184 | 0.50640 |
| Δ LLAB(-1) | 0.427984 | 1.83824*** |
| Δ LLAB(-2) | 0.579962 | 2.70171 |
| ECM _{t-1} | -0.158764 | -2.29127*** |
| R-squared = 0.735 | | |
| Adj R-squared = 0.623 | | |
| Normality Test = 2.73 (0.25) | | |
| Durbin-Watson = 1.85 | | |
| Serial Correlation LM = 2.41(0.51) | | |
| RESET = 4.02 (0.26) | | |

*, **, *** significant at the 1%, 5% and 10% significance level.

Conclusion

The empirical results of cointegration test (Johansen-Juselieus test) found one cointegrating vector and thus, exists a long run relationship between manufacturing output and regressors. The Johansen-Juselieus procedures for cointegration based on the VAR model have been used to find long and short run estimates of the relationship.

This study uses the error correction model (ECM) to determine the short-run dynamics around the equilibrium relationship. The study shows that labour and trade liberalization have emerged as significant determinants for the manufacturing output in Malaysia. However, education and capital does not provide significant results. Diagnostic test statistics showed no evidence of serial correlation, specification error and heteroscedasticity during the study period from 1963 to 2003. The stability test, CUSUM and CUSUM of Square test, also confirms of the stability of data over the sample period.

Contributions and Future Direction of the Study

The results of this research provide direction and insights to those who see potential advantages and future gains on the level of Malaysian manufacturing sectors. The results of this study suggest the importance for developing countries to embark on trade liberalization policies to enhance economic growth as well as standard of living.

Future research should also extend the study to trade liberalization and manufacturing sector using more data for variable or better proxies. The time period for future study should also extend to more than 40 observations consisting of annual series or quarterly data in order to obtain more precise result. Better proxy for variable such as LAB (labour) should use the cost of labour instead of the number of people employed in manufacturing sector. This study also can be extended by using the capital/labour ratio variable replacing both the variable capital and labour.

References

- Balassa, B. (1971). *The Structure of Protection in Developing Countries*, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Md.
- _____ (1982). *Developing Strategies in Semi-Industrial Economies*, Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press for the World Bank.
- Baldwin, R.E. (2003). *Openness and Growth: What's the Empirical Relationship?*, NBER Working Paper # 9578, Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Bhagwati, J.N. (1978). *Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: Anatomy and Consequences of Exchange Control Regimes*, Cambridge, MA: Ballinger for the National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Edwards, S. (1992). Trade Orientation, Distortions and Growth in Developing Countries, *Journal of Development Economics*, 39 (1), 31-57.
- Granger (eds) (1991). *Long Run Economic Relationships*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 267-276.
- Harrison, A. (1995). *Openness and growth: A Time series, Cross-Country Analysis for Developing Countries*, NBER Working Paper # 5221, Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.

- Henry, D.F. (1995). *Dynamic Econometrics*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Krueger, A.O. (1978). *Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: Liberalization Attempts and Consequences*, Cambridge, MA: Ballinger for the National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Lewis-Bynoe, D., Griffith, J. and Moore, W. (2002). Trade Liberalization and The Manufacturing Sector: The Case of the Small Developing Country, *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 20 (3), 272-287.
- Liu, X., Song, H. and Romilly, P. (1997). An Empirical investigation of the causal relationship between openness and economic growth in China, *Applied Economics*, 29, 1679-1686.
- Lucas, R.E. (1988). On the Mechanics of Economic Development, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 22 (1), 3-42.
- Maddala, G.S. (1992). *Introduction to Econometrics* (Second Edition), Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Mankiw, N.G., Romer, D. and Weil, D.N. (1992). A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CVII (2), 407-437.
- Pesaran, M.H. and Pesaran, B. (1997). *Working with Microfit 4.0: Interactive Econometric Analysis*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rodriguez, F. and Rodrik, D. (1999). *Trade Policy and Economic Growth: A Skeptic's Guide to The Cross National - Evidence*, NBER Working Paper # 7081, Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Romer, P.M. (1989). *What Determines the Rate of Growth and Technological Change?*, Policy, Planning and Research Working Paper # 279, Washington, D.C., The World Bank.
- Savvides, A. (1995). Economic Growth in Africa, *World Development*, 23 (3), 449-458.