VOLUME 6 NO. 2 DEC 2009

ISSN 1675-7009

SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH JOURNAL



Research Management Institute 🕼



SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH JOURNAL

Chief Editor Prof. Dr. Zaiki Awang, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Managing Editor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Razidah Ismail, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Editorial Board

Prof. Dr. Ir. Wahyu Kuntjoro, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Dr. Salmiah Kasolang, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Muhammad Azmi Ayub, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Dr. Ichsan Setya Putra, Bandung Institue of Technology, Indonesia Prof. Dr. Mohd. Nasir Taib, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Prof. Dr. Ir. Shah Rizam Mohd. Shah Baki, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Prof. Dr. Titik Khawa Abd. Rahman, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Prof. Dr. Luciano Boglione, University of Massachusetts Lowell, USA Prof. Dr. K. Ito, Chiba University, Japan Prof. Dr. Abu Bakar Abdul Majeed, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Prof. Dr. Tony Centeno, London South Bank University, United Kingdom Prof. Ir. Dr. Ideris Zakaria, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia Prof. Dr. Abd. Aziz Dato' Abd. Samad, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn, Malavsia Prof. Sr. Ir. Dr. Suhaimi Abd. Talib, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Kartini Kamaruddin, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hamidah Mohd. Saman, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Dr. Robert Michael Savory, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Hanapiah Abidin, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Dr Nordin Abu Bakar, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Copyright © 2009 Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means; electronics, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise; without prior permission in writing from the Publisher.

Scientific Research Journal is jointly published by Research Management Institute (RMI) and University Publication Centre (UPENA), Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia.

The views and opinion expressed therein are those of the individual authors and the publication of these statements in the Scientific Research Journal do not imply endorsement by the publisher or the editorial staff. Copyright is vested in Universiti Teknologi MARA. Written permission is required to reproduce any part of this publication.

Scientific Research Journal

V	Vol. 6 No. 2 December 2	2009	ISSN 1675-70	009
1.	Influence of Retrogression and Re-Aging Stress Corrosion Cracking Resistance on A Deraman R. Aziz M. R. Y. Yaakob	Heat Treatment to Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloy		1
2	Implementation of E-rental Housing via Ge Message Through Mobile Phone Suzana Ahmad Norzehan Sakamat Noorazida Mohd Idris	neric Notification	1	3
3.	Characterization of MPI Communication Pa a Heterogeneous Cluster Siti Arpah Ahmad Mohamed Faidz Mohamed Said Norazan Mohamed Ramli Mohd Nasir Taib	rimitives on	2	3
4.	A Study of Climate Data Using Least Squa the Fast Fourier Transform <i>Masriah Hj Awang</i> <i>Zainazlan Md Zain</i> <i>Nur Sa'aidah Ismail</i>	are Method and	3	1

 Design and Development of Universal Data Logger for Testing Vehicle Performance Zuraidi Saad Noor Hafizi Norma Azhar Efendi Md Saad Fairul Nazmie Osman Khairul Azman Ahmad

Influence of Retrogression and Re-Aging Heat Treatment to Stress Corrosion Cracking Resistance on AI-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloy

Deraman R.¹, Aziz M. R. and Y. Yaakob

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia ¹Email: rasdi925@ppinang.uitm.edu.my

ABSTRACT

The Al-Zn-Mg-Cu allov is classified as a high strength to weight ratio material and is widely used in the aerospace structures. This alloy is susceptible to severe localized corrosion induced by heat treatment. The objective of this study is to elucidate alternative heat treatment techniques, which reduce the alloys susceptibility to Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC). A series of different heat treatments have been performed in the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy using cube shaped and C-ring specimens that had been T6- and T7-tempered and undergone Retrogression and Re-aging (RRA) heat treatments. The specimens were exposed to hardness testing, optical testing and immersion testing in a corrosive environment. The effectiveness of the heat treatments was evaluated with respect to improvements in corrosion resistance and the longevity of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy. The susceptibility of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy to SCC has been directly related to the precipitation of MgZn, particles at the grain boundaries. Precipitation hardening of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy increases the hardness of the material, but increases susceptibility to SCC failure. RRA treatment greatly improved the corrosion resistance and longevity of the alloy combined with minimal strength reduction.

Keywords: Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloy, Stress Corrosion Cracking, Precipitation, Retrogression, Re-aging

ISSN 1675-7009

^{© 2009} Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia.

Introduction

Aluminium and its alloys are categorized as low density materials, with respect to steel, possessing high electrical and thermal conductivities, and resistant to corrosion in atmospheric environments. The strength and hardness of some metal alloys may be enhanced by the formation of extremely small uniformly dispersed particles of a second phase within the original phase matrix, which interfere with the motion of dislocations; such phase transformations may be induced by appropriate treatments [1]. The formation of these particles occurs slowly at room temperature (natural aging), but can be promoted through heating in a temperature range of 100-200 °C (artificial aging).

Heat-treated Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy is widely used in many aircraft structure industries, but it is susceptible to Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC). The susceptibility of this alloy is inherently linked to heat treatments, which change the microstructure of the alloy to yield more optimum mechanical properties. The low resistance to localized corrosion of particular microstructures represents a problem in the application of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy in the aerospace industry [2]. For the purpose of use in aircraft, the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy is normally aged up to the T6 temper condition in order to increase the strength. However the T6 temper conditioned alloy is highly susceptible to SCC due to the exposure to continually applied stress. In order to produce an alloy with a more acceptable SCC resistance, the alloy has to be over-aged, which equates to the T7 tempered condition.

An investigation by Andrzej *et al.* [3] proposed exposing the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy to Retrogression and Re-aging (RRA) treatment after achieving the T6 tempered condition to improve both the strength and SCC resistance. Viana *et al.* [4] state that the RRA treatment requires retrogression at higher temperatures than for tempering followed by reaging. Robinson [5] suggests that retrogression is performed locally on a specimen at temperatures as high as 195 °C for 40 minutes followed by reaging at 120 °C for 24 hours.

The presented work assesses the effect of the different heat treatments (T6-, T7-tempered and RRA) on the SCC of C-ring Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy specimens with a static load in corrosive environment. Microstructural changes, induced by the different heat treatments, are also investigated.

Methodology

The Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy chosen for this study was in the form of a recently manufactured thick rolled bar with dimensions approximately 1000 (L-Longitudinal) \times 50 (LT-Long Transverse) \times 50 mm (ST-Short Transverse). The mechanical properties of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy are presented in Table 1 [6].

Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloy				
Designation	Al-Zn-Mg-Cu			
Young's Modulus (GPa)	70.33			
Yield Strength (MPa)	515			
Ultimate Strength (MPa)	557			
Elongation (%)	14.7			

Table 1: Mechanical Properties for as Received Sample o	f
Al-Zn-Mg-CuAlloy	

In this investigation, two types of specimen were produced: the Cring for stress corrosion cracking testing and cubes for hardness and microstructure testing. The cube shape was machined to 15 mm \times 15 mm \times 10 mm with a tolerance of \pm 0.05 mm. The Vickers hardness was measured using a load of 20 kg and a loading time of 20 seconds at various locations on the cube shaped specimens. Six C-ring specimens were produced using a CNC turning machine according to the ASTM standard G 38, Figure 1.



Figure 1: Sketches of the Orientation of Cube Shape and C-ring Specimens Employed (All dimensions are in millimeters)

Scientific Research Journal

Two heat treatment methods were applied to the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy; namely a conventional method (Table 2) and an RRA heat technique (Table 3). The RRA heat treatment required Retrogression (R) of T6 tempered specimens in a heated stirred oil bath. Upon completion of the retrogression the specimens were immediately cooled under a stream of flowing water. Finally the specimens were re-aged (RA) at $120 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours in an air-circulating electric oven. The final RRA specimens were stored in a refrigerator to avoid any adverse effects from exposure to ambient conditions.

	Test	1 st st	age	2 nd s	tage	3 rd s	tage
Code	Condition	Temp.	Time	Temp.	Time	Temp.	Time
		(°C)	(min)	(°C)	(hr)	(°C)	(hr)
CR1	As machined	-	-	-	-	-	-
CR3	T6 temper	490	30	120	24	-	-
CR7	T7 temper	490	30	120	24	175	5

Table 2: Conventional Heat Treatment Method Parameters

Table 3: RRA Heat Treatment Method Parameters

Test		3rd stage (Re	trogression)	4th stage (Re-aging)		
Code	Condition	Temp. (°C)	Time (min)	Temp. (°C)	Time (hr)	
CR4	T6+RRA	160	29	120	24	
CR5	T6+RRA	180	9	120	24	
CR6	T6 + RRA	200	2	120	24	

SCC tests were performed using C-ring specimens immersed in an aerated 3.56 wt % NaCl solution at a pH of 3 with a 2.75 mm deflection stress level. The failure time of the heat treated specimens is used to evaluate the SCC susceptibility. Jones [7] recommended that any two dissimilar metals or alloys are coupled in the presence of a corrosive electrolyte; one is preferentially corroded while the other is protected from corrosion. Thus, to avoid the bolt and nut holding the specimen in place from coming in electrical contact with C-ring specimen during the SCC test, a nylon washer and PVC seal were used, Figure 1. The C-ring specimen was stressed by tightening the bolt and the nut until the desired final outer diameter was attained. The stress applied to the C-ring specimens has been calculated according to Equation (1), Lesmana [8].

$$\sigma_{\rm app} = \frac{4.\Delta E.t.Z}{\pi (\varphi_0 - t)^2} \tag{1}$$

where

 σ_{app} is applied stress (MPa), E is the modulus of elasticity (MPa), Δ is the change of out side diameter of C-ring due to stress applied (mm), Z is the correction factor for curved beams, t is the wall thickness (mm),

 ϕ_0 is the outside diameter of C-ring before stressing (mm).

The stressed specimens were immersed into a test solution in a transparent corrosion cell using a glass holder. The immersion C-rings were observed periodically until specimen failure occurred.

Results and Discussion

The standard composition of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy is presented in Table 4 together with the specimen chemical compositions determined from XRF analysis. The results indicate that the chemical compositions of the machined alloy specimens are very similar to those of the standard Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy, where Zn and Mg are the major elements. The addition of Zn and Mg to the aluminium wrought alloys improves the strength of the alloy, especially in the range of 3-7.5 % Zn. Magnesium and zinc form MgZn₂, which results in a far greater response to heat treatment than that for the binary aluminium-zinc system. Smith [9] stated that the intermetallic compound, MgZn₂, is the main strengthening precipitate for this alloy and may be attributed to the high solubility of zinc and magnesium, which enables the formation of high density precipitates with intrinsic high strength. The improved stress corrosion cracking resistance may be attributed to the presence of chromium.

Although alloys tend to be relatively more isotropic, they can exhibit significant anisotropic behaviour. Bayoumi [10] reported that the failures do not usually occur as result of engineering applied stresses, but rather that residual stresses may be compounded and result in failure. Residual stresses may be inherent aspects of the fabrication process. To evaluate such residual stresses cube shaped specimens were viewed using an optical microscope in three different directions to elucidate the alloy

_	Weight Percent (wt %)				
Elements	Standard Composition of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy	As-machined sample Composition			
Aluminum (Al)	90.07	89.971			
Zinc (Zn)	5.6	5.200			
Magnesium (Mg)	2.5	2.800			
Copper (Cu)	1.6	1.500			
Chromium (Cr)	0.23	0.160			
Others		0.369			

Table 4: Standard and Sspecimen Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy Chemical Composition

structure on a microscopic level and ascertain the existence of residual stress points.

The orthographic micrographs of an Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy specimen, Figure 2, shows the grain structures of the specimen after RRA heat treatment. The figure clearly illustrates that these alloys have a highly directional grain structure. The directional effects relate to the rolling direction and based on the fragment grain flow it is evident that the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy specimen derives from a flat rolled product. RRA treatment produces microstructures that closely match those found in the SCC resistant T7 specimens. Furthermore, dislocations which invariably develop during quenching from solution are annealed during the retrogression treatment, which contributes to better SCC performance [11]. The specimen was etched in order to enable distinction between the recrystallized grains (clear) and the unrecrystallized grain fragments, which appear dark due to the precipitation of fine subgrains at the boundaries.



(a) L-LT plane

(b) L-ST plane

(c) ST-LT plane



The average hardness values for the differently treated Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy are presented in Figure 3. The conventional treatment, which constitutes solution heat treatment followed by quenching (CS2), results in a significant drop in hardness compared to the untreated received specimen (CS1). The decrease in hardness is caused by the dissolution of MgZn₂ particles (η phase), which provide greater strength to the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy, and the formation of a single solid phase, which is relatively soft and weak.



Figure 3: Average Hardness of Different Heat Treated Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloys

After solution heat treatment the hardness of the T6 tempered specimen (CS3) has increased due to the effect of aging, whereby the solute particles diffuse into clusters that distort and strengthen the material. The formation of finely dispersed second phase particles in the alloy induce lattice strain in the aluminium matrix that restricts dislocation flow and is the same phenomenon which occurs during the RRA heat treatment (CS4, CS5 and CS6). It is of note that the hardness for the over-aging specimen (CS7) decreases, because the precipitates begin to coarsen and the average particle spacing gradually increases due to heating at a high temperature (175 °C) for about 5 hours. The conclusion is that the RRA heat treatment is the best hardening technique, because both the RRA specimens exhibit improved hardness compared to the specimens acquired using the conventional treatment method.

The SCC investigation evaluated 6 C-ring units, which had undergone different heat treatments and been immersed in an aerated 3.56 wt%

NaCl solution at pH 3 held at room temperature under a stress level of 2.75 mm. The applied stress for each specimen was obtained using Equation (1), Table 5. The CR1 specimen is subjected to the lowest applied stress (274.35 MPa), all the other specimens are exposed to an applied stress in the range 282.37-309.83 MPa.

Code	t(mm)	Ø _。 (mm)	Ø _f (mm)	Δ (mm)	$Ø_{f}/t$	Ζ	E (GPa)	σ (MPa)
CR1	4.96	47.91	45.52	2.75	9.66	0.934	31.20	274.35
CR3	4.97	47.85	45.46	2.75	9.63	0.931	33.39	294.21
CR4	4.99	48.05	45.64	2.75	9.63	0.931	34.76	304.95
CR5	4.98	47.94	45.54	2.75	9.63	0.931	34.82	306.28
CR6	4.99	48.04	45.64	2.75	9.63	0.931	35.30	309.83
CR7	5.00	48.03	45.63	2.75	9.61	0.930	32.11	282.37

Table 5: Stress Level and Applied Stress for Each Specimen

The experimental results indicate that the RRA increases the SCC resistance of the alloy. Even though the initial applied stress is higher (for specimens C4, C5 and C6), the time of failure is longer (Table 6) than that for the T6 tempered specimen (CR3). Based on observation, cracking propagation for all specimens did not start at the centre of the C-ring, as shown in Figure 4. Theoretically, if the rectangular bar is bent to form a C-ring shape, the weak point may be located at the centre of the C-ring since it is the point of maximum bending and thus theoretical stress. However, in this investigation the C-ring was machined in the longitudinal and short transverse plane of the rolled bar direction, Figure 4. Thus, the orientation of grain particles will have an inherent effect on the strength of the C-ring, which is in accordance with the work of Bagab [10] who stated that the distribution of particles affects the hardness and yield strength. The short transverse grain orientation is far more susceptible to failure by SCC than the other two orientations, due to the flattening and elongation of the grain structure in the short transverse and longitudinal directions, respectively.

The T6 tempered specimen, which underwent RRA, (CR6) and the over-aged (CR7) specimen (CR7) did not fail for the duration of the test period (30 days). The specimens did however undergo exfoliation corrosion (Figure 5), whereby the surface alloy grains are lifted up by the force of expanding corrosion products occurring at the grain boundaries. Exfoliation

Table 6: Test Results for C-ring Heat Treated Specimens Immersed in an Aerated 3.56 wt% NaCl Solution at pH 3 and Room Temperature Under 2.75mm Stress Deflection

Code	Time of Failure
CR1	Fractured 6 days after immersion.
CR3	Fractured 10 days after immersed.
CR4	Started to crack after 15 days immersed and totally fractured 4 days later.
CR5	Started to crack after 17 days immersed and totally fractured 5 days later.
CR6	Unbroken in 30 days duration testing.
CR7	Unbroken in 30 days duration testing.



(a) Crack initiation on the topof the C-ring specimen CR1. Magnification 10×.

(b) Side view showed thecrack growth on thespecimen CR3. Magnification 7×

(c) Side view showed thecrack growth on thespecimen CR4. Magnification 7×

Figure 4: The Influence of Orientation and Grain Particles on the Cracking Propagation of the Alloy Specimens



(a) Specimen CR6. Magnification 12×

(b) Specimen CR7. Magnification 12×

Figure 5: Exfoliation Corrosion (a) The T6 tempered/RRA Specimen (CR6) and (b) the Over-aged Specimen (CR7) [12] is most likely to occur in wrought products such as extrusions, thick sheets and thin plates formed by rolling, because of the resultant highly elongated grain structure. Andreatta *et al.* [2] reported that over-aging distinctly improves resistance to intergranular corrosion, because the size of the MgZn₂ particles at the grain boundaries increases their inter particle distance.

Conclusions

In this study, the microstructure and corrosion behaviour of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys exposed to different heat treatments has been investigated and evaluated using a combination of stereo- and optical-microscopy. The following conclusions have been drawn:

- 1. Generally, Retrogression and Re-aging heat treatment decreases the stress corrosion/cracking susceptibility of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy in comparison to that for the T6 tempered alloy.
- 2. Stress-corrosion cracking failure can be minimized through design solutions, which reduce tensile stresses in the short transverse direction of the material.
- 3. Retrogression and Re-aging is more effective at higher retrogressed temperatures when combined with soaking for a short period of time and results in significant increases in the stress corrosion/cracking resistance of the alloy, but exfoliation corrosion is still evident.

References

- [1] W. D. Callister, 2003. *Materials Science and Engineering an Introduction*, 6th Edition (Wiley) pp. 370-376.
- [2] F. Andreatta, H. Terryn and J. H. W. De Wit, 2004. Corrosion Behaviors Of Different Tempers of AL-ZN-MG-CU Aluminum Alloy, *Journal of Electrochimica Acta*, 49, pp. 2851–2862.
- [3] Andrzej Zielinski, Malgozata Warmuzek, Elzbieta Jezierska and Jacek Charzanowski, 2002. Influence of Retrogression and Reaging Heat Treatment On Microstructure, Mechanical and Chemical Behaviour of An Al-Zn-Mg Alloy, *Advances in Materials Science*, 2, pp. 33-41.

- [4] F. Viana, A. M. P. Pinto, H. M. C. Santos and A. B. Lopes, 1999. Retrogression And Re-Aging Of 7075 Aluminium Alloy: Microstructural Characterization, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 92, pp. 54-59.
- [5] J. S. Robinson, 2000. Improving the stress corrosion cracking resistance of 7010 by retrogressing and reaging, Materials Science Forum, *Proceedings of ICAA7, The 7th International Conference on aluminium alloys*, 9-14 April 2000, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA, vol. 331, pp. 1653-1658.
- [6] Y. Xue, H. El Kadiri, M. F. Horstemeyer, J. B. Jordon and H. Weiland, 2006. *Micro of Multistage Fatigue Crack Growth In a High-Strength Aluminium Alloy*, Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems, Mississippi State University.
- [7] A. J. Denny, 1996. Principles and prevention of Corrosion. 2nd Edition (Prentice Hall Inc), pp. 334-355.
- [8] E. Lesmana, 1999. Studi kerentanan paduan Aluminium 7075-T6 Pada Stress Corrosion Cracking Dalam Larutan NaCl 3.56 % Berat. (M. Eng. Thesis, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia), pp. 4.
- F. S. William and Javad Hashemi, 2006. Foundations of Materials Science and Engineering. 4th Edition (Mc Graw Hill), pp. 406-410.
- [10] M. B. Bagab, 1996. The Mechanics and Mechanisms of Fracture in Stress Corrosion Cracking of Aluminium Alloys, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 54, pp. 879-889.
- [11] K. S. Ghosh, K. Das and U. K. Chatterjee, 2003. Stress Corrosion Cracking Behaviour of Retrogressed and Reaged 1441 Al-Li-Cu-Mg-Zr Alloy, *The Journal of Corrosion Science and Engineering*, 6, pp. 14.
- [12] J. E. Hatch, 1995. Aluminium, Properties and Physical Metallurgy, *American Society for Metals*, Metals Park, Ohio, pp. 264-264.