Technological Advancement in Non-Audit Services and Their Roles in Tax Avoidance

NURFAZILAHAINI MUHAMAD HANAFI
nfazila428@uitm.edu.my

Introduction

Long-term tax avoidance has become a major topic of academic study since global tax systems are getting more complicated. Recently, businesses adopting sophisticated strategies to minimize tax liabilities. Traditionally, audit companies provided non-audit services (NAS). However, nowadays these services have changed a lot mostly due to improvements in technology. These services which include tax advisory and consulting are very important in shaping how businesses plan their taxes, especially in the context of tax avoidance. Integrating technologies like artificial intelligence (AI), data analytics and blockchain have changed the scope of NAS. This raises question on what is their role in long-term tax avoidance practices. Numerous studies have been done on the legal and moral problems that come up with NAS (DeFond & Zhang, 2014; Krishnan & Yu, 2017), but not much has been written about how technological innovations in NAS affect tax avoidance strategies.

Scholars have long debated the implications of audit firms providing NAS, arguing that this could result to conflicts of interest, compromised audit quality, and regulatory issues (Chen et al., 2021). But there has not been much focus on how these services, powered by emerging technologies might improve or change tax avoidance strategies. As more and more advanced technological tools are used for tax planning, it is important to assess how these new technologies advancement affect corporate behaviour when it comes to long-term tax avoidance strategies. This study tries to fill in that gap by looking into investigating the intersection of technological advancements in NAS and their role in facilitating or mitigating tax avoidance.

Significance of the Study

This research is significant for several reasons. It fills a significant gap in the literature by addressing the impact of technology on the transformation of NAS and its consequences for tax avoidance. Secondly, it provides insights on how businesses use technological advancements in tax planning and advising services to minimise taxes which may have an impact on corporate governance standards and regulations. Finally, this study has implications for auditors, regulators and policymakers by illuminating the ethical considerations related to the integration of technology in NAS.

Research Questions

- How the technological advancements in NAS transformed corporate tax avoidance strategies?
- What are the roles of specific technologies (e.g., AI, blockchain, data analytics) play in shaping the effectiveness of NAS in long-term tax avoidance?
- What are the potential ethical and regulatory challenges posed by the integration of technology in NAS concerning tax avoidance?

Objectives and Rationale

- To explore the impact of technological advancements in NAS on long-term tax avoidance strategies.
- To understand how the role of emerging technologies such as AI and data analytics tools influence corporate tax planning strategies.
- To contribute to ongoing debate regarding the regulation of NAS, particularly on recent technological advancements that may either enhance transparency or exacerbate tax avoidance practices.

Contribution to the Field

This paper aims to contribute to the existing body of knowledge on long-term tax avoidance by focusing on the role of technology in NAS from a different angle. By focusing on the technological aspects of NAS, this research advances the discourse beyond traditional concerns related to auditor independence and conflicts of interest. Additionally, it also provides practical insights on how to balance technological innovation with ethical and regulatory standards, which is useful for legislators and regulators who are responsible for monitoring the provision of NAS.

In summary, this study investigates the impact of technological advancements in NAS on corporate tax avoidance, aiming to fill a critical gap in the literature. The following sections of this paper are organized as follows: Section 2 provides a comprehensive literature review, synthesizing existing research on NAS, tax avoidance and the role of technology. Section 3 concludes with policy implications, limitations and directions for future research.

Literature Review

Overview of Non-Audit Services and Tax Avoidance

Non-audit services have long been an important part of audit firms' operations, which providing consulting, advisory and tax planning services to clients. Historically, the main concern regarding NAS has been its potential to compromise auditor independence, adversely affecting audit quality (DeFond & Zhang, 2014). NAS, especially tax consulting services is associated with aggressive tax practices that minimise company tax obligations (Krishnan & Yu, 2017). Recent technological advancements have brought a new dimension to NAS, transforming its function in corporate tax strategies.

Numerous researches have investigated the relationship between NAS and tax avoidance. Chen, Krishnan and Yu (2021) contend that although NAS can improve tax planning efficiency, it also poses a risk of encouraging aggressive tax avoidance strategies. Lennox and Wu (2018) address the regulatory issues associated with NAS, emphasising its potential for exploitation by companies aiming to reduce tax obligations through sophisticated strategies. Nevertheless, although these studies provide significant insights, they predominantly concentrate on conventional NAS and neglect the impact of future technologies on NAS's effect on tax avoidance.

Technological Advancements in NAS

Recent technological breakthroughs, especially in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning and data analytics have transformed NAS. Omoteso (2019) indicates that AI is progressively employed in tax consulting services to enhance tax planning, evaluate risks and uncover tax-saving options.

Data analytics enables companies to swiftly process extensive financial data and facilitate immediate insights into tax planning methods (Warren & Burrows, 2020). Blockchain, despite being in its nascent phase of use, holds the potential to improve transparency and mitigate fraudulent activities in tax reporting (Pérez et al., 2020).

Despite the increasing adoption of these technologies, there exists a significant lack of study about their particular influence on long-term tax avoidance strategies. Although certain studies (e.g., PwC, 2021) have investigated the potential of technology to enhance tax compliance, the existing literature predominantly neglects the possibility for these innovations to be utilised for tax minimisation. This gap highlights the necessity for research on how companies utilise technology to implement sustained aggressive tax avoidance strategies.

The Role of NAS in Facilitating Tax Avoidance

Numerous studies underscore Hanlon and Heitzman (2010) present an extensive analysis of tax avoidance literature, highlighting that companies frequently utilise NAS to execute intricate tax-saving methods that obscure the distinction between lawful tax planning and avoidance. These techniques encompass exploiting tax legislation loopholes, shifting profits to low-tax jurisdictions and utilizing tax havens.

The integration of technology with NAS further complicates this relationship. Technologies such as AI and data analytics can enhance tax transparency and compliance by detecting hazards and assuring adherence to tax legislation (PwC, 2021). Conversely, these tools can detect and exploit legal loopholes, enabling companies to pursue aggressive tax avoidance without attracting regulatory attention. The duality of technology in NAS poses NAS presents a significant challenge for regulators and policymakers.

Ethical and Regulatory Concerns

The provision of NAS by audit companies poses ethical concerns, specifically about auditor independence and conflicts of interest (DeFond & Zhang, 2014). When audit firms offer both audit and non-audit services, there exists a risk of compromised objectivity, particularly if financial incentives motivate them to assist clients in tax minimisation. Recent regulatory changes, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, aim to restrict the offering of NAS by audit companies to mitigate these risks (Krishnan & Yu, 2017). Nevertheless, these regulations have failed to evolve alongside technological improvements in NAS, resulting in a regulatory gap that corporations may exploit.

Furthermore, Pérez et al. (2020) indicate that the integration of technology into NAS introduces new ethical dilemmas. AI-driven tax advisory services can pinpoint tax-saving opportunities that, while legally legal, may not adhere to the law's intended intent. This raises issues over the ethical implications of employing advanced technology to enable aggressive tax avoidance, especially considering the increasing public scrutiny of corporate tax practices.

Methodologies in Existing Research

Most of studies on NAS and tax avoidance involves quantitative methodologies, utilising archival data from business financial statements, audit reports, and tax filing. Lennox and Wu (2018) analyse an extensive dataset of publicly listed enterprises to investigate the correlation between NAS and tax avoidance, utilising regression analysis to evaluate the influence of NAS on tax avoidance results.

Chen et al. (2021) used statistical modelling to investigate the influence of NAS on the implementation of aggressive tax strategies.

Although these approaches yield significant insights, they also have limitations. Archival data frequently lacks the necessary granularity to evaluate the specific impact of technology in NAS, particularly with emerging tools such as AI and blockchain. Furthermore, most of research concentrate on short-term tax avoidance, resulting in a deficiency in the literature regarding the long-term effects of NAS and technical advancements on tax avoidance strategies.

Gaps and Research Contributions

Even though there is growing body of literature on NAS and tax avoidance, several gaps remain. First, there is lack of studies regarding the impact of technological advancements on NAS and tax avoidance. Second, existing research tends to focus on short-term tax avoidance, overlooking the potential for NAS to facilitate more sustained long-term strategies. Finally, there is a need for more qualitative research to complement the existing quantitative studies, particularly in exploring the ethical and regulatory challenges posed by technological advancements in NAS.

Conclusion

This literature review has identified significant themes in the research on NAS and tax avoidance, encompassing the changing role of technology, ethical and regulatory problems and the constraints of current methodologies. This study seeks to enhance the subject by revealing how technology improvements in NAS are transforming business tax avoidance strategies. This study will examine how companies utilise technology such as AI, data analytics and blockchain for long-term tax avoidance, providing new insights into the ethical and regulatory impacts of these practices.

References

Chen, L., Krishnan, G. V., & Yu, W. (2021). Non-audit services and audit quality: The role of technological advancements. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 59(4), 1024-1050. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2021.00475.x

DeFond, M. L., & Zhang, J. (2014). A review of archival auditing research. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 58(2-3), 275-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2014.09.002

Hanlon, M., & Heitzman, S. (2010). A review of tax research. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(2-3), 127-178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2010.09.002

Krishnan, G. V., & Yu, W. (2017). The impact of auditor-provided non-audit services on earnings quality: Evidence from regulatory changes. *The Accounting Review*, 92(4), 73-99. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-51528

Lennox, C. S., & Wu, X. (2018). A review of the impact of non-audit services on audit quality and tax avoidance. *Accounting and Business Research*, 48(2), 155-173. https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2017.1361331

Omoteso, K. (2019). The use of artificial intelligence in tax advisory services: Ethical implications. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 164(2), 307-320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04152-7

Pérez, C., Santacruz, L., & Torrez, J. (2020). Blockchain and tax compliance: A new frontier in tax reporting. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 28(4), 123-135. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-06-2020-0089

PwC. (2021). The impact of technology on tax advisory and planning services. *PwC Insights*.