A STUDY OF TEACHERS' VIEWS ON THE SUITABILITY OF THE PRESCRIBED LITERARY TEXTS FOR FORM ONE AND FORM TWO CLASSES

FOTOSTAT TIDAK DIBENARKAN

by

ZAHRULLAILI BT. AHMAD ZAKARIA

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts.

February 2002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all I wish to extend my sincere thanks and appreciation to UiTM for granting me the scholarship to pursue this study. My greatest appreciation is for my supervisor Pn. Agnes Liau for her encouragement and extensive assistance. Her guidance and generous attention gave me the confidence needed to undertake this task. Just as significant to my progress are the staff of the School of Humanities who provided advice and expertise. The English Language teachers who participated in this study deserve mention for their contribution and co-operation during the collection of data for this study. A special thanks goes to Pn. Rohana Allias for helping me with the SPSS computer software. I am also extremely grateful to my family especially my mother, for their love and constant prayers. Finally and most important, I would like to thank my husband, Nazari Md. Saad, for his support and encouragement, and for making everything possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	i.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii -iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
ABSTRACT	vi - ix
CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION	
1.1. The Importance of English in Malaysia	1 - 2
1.2. The Implementation of a Literature Component in the English Language syllabus for Secondary Schools	2 - 6
1.3. Selection of Texts	7 - 8
1.4. Statement of the problem	9 - 10
1.5. Rationale of the study	11
1.6. Objective of the study	12
1.7. Significance of the study	13
1.8. Research Questions	14
1.9. Definition of terms	14 - 15
1.10. Limitations of the study	16
1.11. Summary	17
CAPTER TWO – LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Introduction	18
2.2. Literature in the Language Classrooms – The Underlying Issues and Concerns	18 - 24
2.3. Selecting Literary Texts	25 - 29
2.4. The importance of teachers' views in selecting texts	29 - 32
2.5. Summary	33

	Page
CHAPTER THREE – RESEARCH DESIGN	
3.1. Introduction	34
3.2. Instrumentation	34 - 36
3.3. The Subjects	36 - 40
3.4. Data Collection Procedures	40
3.5. Data Analysis	41
3.6. Summary	41
CHAPTER FOUR – RESULTS OF ANALYSES	
4.1. Introduction	42
4.2. Objectives for the use of the texts	43 - 46
4.3. Content of the texts	46 - 52
4.4. The teachers' teaching methodology in using the texts	52 - 56
4.5. Support and guidance for the teachers	56 - 60
4.6. Overall evaluation	61 - 67
CHAPTER FIVE – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1. Introduction	68
5.2. Conclusion	69 - 75
5.3. Recommendations	76 - 78
5.4. Summary	79
BIBLIOGRAPHY	80 - 83
APPENDICES	
Appendix A Text Evaluation Questionnaire	
Appendix B Form One Prescribed Literary Texts	
Appendix C Form Two Prescribed Literary Text	
Appendix D Sukatan Pelajaran Bahasa Inggeris	

LIST OF TABLES

1. Table 3.1. *The number of English Teachers in 6 selected urban Secondary Schools in Perlis.*

2. Table 3.2.*The number of English Teachers in 8 selected rural Secondary Schools in Perlis.*

3. Table 3.3. *Demographic Characteristics of The Subjects.*

4. Table 4.1. *Objectives for the use of the texts - Form One and Form Two teachers from urban schools*

5. Table 4.2. *Objectives for the use of the texts - Form One and Form Two teachers from rural schools*

6. Table 4.3. *Content of the texts - Form One and Form Two teachers from urban schools*

7. Table 4.4. *Content of the texts - Form One and Form Two teachers from rural schools*

8. Table 4.5. *Teaching Methodology- Form One and Form Two teachers from urban schools*

9. Table 4.6. *Teaching Methodology- Form One and Form Two teachers from rural schools*

10. Table 4.7. *Support and Guidance - Form One and Form Two teachers from urban schools*

11. Table 4.8. *Support and Guidance - Form One and Form Two teachers from rural schools*

12. Table 4.9. *Overall evaluation - Form One and Form Two teachers from urban schools*

13. Table 4.10. *Overall evaluation - Form One and Form Two teachers from rural schools*