

**SHOOTING METHOD WITH ROOT FINDING TO SOLVE
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS**

ARYANI RIMA MATAKIM

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of
Bachelor of Science
Mathematical Modelling and Analytics (Hons.)**

**College of Computing, Informatics and Mathematics
Universiti Teknologi Mara**

February 2025

ABSTRACT

The shooting method is an effective numerical approach for solving boundary value problems (BVPs) by converting it into initial value problems (IVPs). This method involves guessing initial conditions and iteratively adjusting them until the solution satisfies the boundary conditions at the other end of the domain. Root-finding algorithms are important in this process since they help to solve the nonlinear equations that result from the mismatch between the computed and desired boundary conditions. In this study, the result of the shooting method combined with various root-finding algorithms such as the Bisection method, Secant method, Newton's method, Ridder's method, Halley's method, Brent's method, Modified Newton method and Improved Ostrowski's method to solve BVPs is evaluated. The aim of the study is to analyze the effectiveness of these algorithms regarding their convergence rate, accuracy, and robustness. Several second-order nonlinear boundary value problems are tested to assess the effectiveness of each method. The findings indicate that, Shooting Method with Ridder Method is the best method for method that required two initial guesses since it offers the lowest error and fastest CPU time making it good for problems where robustness is critical. For one-guess method, Shooting Method with Improved Ostrowski Method is the best method as it achieves the lowest error, fastest CPU time, and fewest iterations. The results highlight the effectiveness of the shooting method with root-finding algorithms in providing accurate and efficient solutions to BVPs, making it a valuable tool in scientific and engineering applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all those who have contributed to the successful completion of this research. First and foremost, I am profoundly thankful to my supervisor, Dr. Nur Idalisa binti Norddin for her invaluable guidance, encouragement, and insightful feedback throughout this project. Her expertise and patience have been instrumental in shaping this work.

I am also grateful to University Technology MARA for providing the necessary resources and facilities to carry out this research.

I extend my heartfelt thanks to my colleagues and peers for their constructive discussions and support during this journey. On a personal note, I would like to thank my family and friends for their unwavering support and encouragement.

Finally, I am deeply thankful to everyone who contributed directly or indirectly to this work. This achievement would not have been possible without their support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION BY THE SUPERVISOR	1
DECLARATION BY CANDIDATE	2
ABSTRACT	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	4
LIST OF TABLES	8
LIST OF FIGURES	9
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION OF RESEARCH	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background of Study	1
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Objectives	4
1.5 Significance of the Project	4
1.6 Scope of Project	5
1.7 Project Benefits	6
1.8 Definition of Terms and Concept	6
1.9 Organization of Project	7
CHAPTER TWO	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Boundary Value Problems	9
2.3 Shooting Method	10
2.4 Root Finding Algorithms	11
2.5 Error Analysis	15

2.6	Conclusion	15
CHAPTER THREE		16
3.1	Introduction	16
3.2	Fundamentals concepts for Shooting method with Root Finding algorithm	16
3.3	Research Step	19
3.4	Tested boundary value problems	22
3.5	Conclusion	23
CHAPTER FOUR		24
4.1	Introduction	24
4.2	Solution Algorithm	24
4.2.1	Shooting Method with Root Finding	25
4.3	Implementation of Shooting Method with Secant Method	40
4.4	Conclusion	43
CHAPTER FIVE		44
5.1	Introduction	44
5.2	Result and Analysis	44
5.3	Number of iterations	45
5.3.1	Number of iterations for method required two initial guesses	45
5.3.2	Number of iterations for method required one initial guesses	47
5.4	Analysis of Error	49
5.4.1	Error analysis for method required two initial guesses	50
5.4.2	Error analysis for method required one initial guesses	53
5.5	CPU Time	55
5.5.1	CPU time for method required two initial guesses	55
5.5.2	CPU time for method required one initial guesses	57