



**THE IMPACT OF LIQUIDITY ON THE CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN
MANUFACTURING SECTOR IN MALAYSIA: EVIDENCE FROM BURSA
MALAYSIA**

**NUR SHAKINA BT MUSLIMIN
2017662818**

**BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
WITH HONOURS (FINANCE)
FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA KOTA KINABALU, SABAH**

DECEMBER 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I have to thank my research supervisors, Madam Yvonne Joseph Ason. Without her assistance and dedicated involvement in every step throughout the process, this paper would have never been accomplished. I would like to thank you very much for your support

Most importantly, none of this could have happened without my family. To my parents and my sister it would be an understatement to say that, as a family, we have experienced some ups and downs in the past two and half years. Every time I was ready to quit, you did not let me and I am forever grateful. This dissertation stands as a testament to your unconditional love and encouragement. Especially my mother always gives me supported and encouragement through phone call every week.

Finally, a big thank you to everyone involved direct and indirect in providing backup and assistance in preparing this thesis. Hopefully this investigation and thesis can be used as a container useful knowledge for future generations.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	Pages
TITLE PAGE	
DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK	II
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENT	V
LIST OF TABLE AND FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	IX
ABSTRACT	X

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	1-3
1.1.2 Overview manufacturing sector	3-7
1.3 Problem statement	7-9
1.4 Research Question	9-10
1.5 Objective of study	10
1.6 Significance of study	11
1.7 Scope and Limitation	12-13
1.8 Organization of thesis	13-14

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 Theory	15
2.2.1 Trade off theory	15-16
2.2.2 Pecking order theory	16-17
2.3 Empirical evidence	17-19
2.4 Research framework	19-20
2.5 Hypothesis development	20-21
2.6 Summary of chapter	21

CHAPTER 3 DATA AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	22
3.2 Sample and data collection	22
3.3 Sampling design	22
3.3.1 Target population	22-23
3.3.2 Sampling technique	23-24
3.4 Panel Regression	25
3.5 Empirical model	25-26

3.6 Measurement of variable	26-27
3.6.1 Dependent variable	27
3.6.2 Independent variable	27-29
3.7 Summary of chapter	29

CHAPTER 4 FINDING AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Introduction	30
4.2 Descriptive statistics	30-33
4.2.1 Pooled OLS	33
4.2.2 Correlation matrix	34
4.2.3 Panel unit root test	35-36
4.3 BPLM test	36-37
4.4 Hausman test	37
4.5 Random effect	38-39
4.6 Diagnostic test	39
4.6.1 Multicollinearity test	39-40
4.6.2 Heteroscedasticity test	40
4.6.3 Serial correlation test	40-42
4.7 Summary of chapter	42

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Introduction	43
5.2 Conclusion	43-44
5.3 Recommendations	44-45

APPENDIX	46-49
REFERENCES	50-51

ABSTRACT

For a long time, liquidity of a company's asset and its impact on the optimal debt level has been a questionable issue among researchers in finance studies. Earlier examinations have shown that in certain countries, liquid companies expanded debt level while in different nations fluid organizations were not so much utilized but rather more routinely financed by their own capital. This study to determine the impact of liquidity on the capital structure in the manufacturing sector in Malaysia: evidence from Bursa Malaysia but only focus on one industry which is the Electrical and Electronic industry. Hausman test is applied whether this test to choose between two modal which random effect modal or fixed effect modal and this study chooses random effect modal. The liquidity of a company, which is the independent variable of this study, is measured by three common ratios which are: quick ratio, current ratio, and cash ratio. Additionally, the debt ratio represents the capital structures also known as leverage or debt financing. The results show the only the current ratio is significant with debt ratio and quick ratio and cash ratio are not significant to the debt ratio. According to the results, only the Current ratio has a negative effect on debt ratio; although, Quick ratio and Cash ratio is negatively related to debt ratio.