

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF MALAYSIAN HONEY
AGAINST *MICROSPORUM GYPSEUM* AND
*EPIDERMOPHYTON FLOCCOSUM***

NUR ASHIKIN BINTI HASAN

**Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of Bachelor of Pharmacy (Hons.)**

Faculty of Pharmacy

2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful Alhamdulillah all praises to Allah for the strengths and His blessing in completing this thesis. This research work would not have been possible without the support of many people. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Rozaini Mohd Zohdi for the valuable guidance and advice. Her willingness to motivate me contributed tremendously to my work.

I would like to thank the CDDR postgraduate students especially Miss Siti Aisyah Sayadi for the on-going guidance, advice and patience during the study. Her technical advice was essential to the completion of this dissertation.

I would also like to express my deep sense of gratitude to my lab partner, Mohd Syamil bin Yasin and friends who have directly or indirectly helped me in this research.

Last but not least, I would like to convey my love and greatest thank to my family for their understanding and endless love through the duration of this course of study. Thank you very much for all the supports and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	
APPROVAL	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
CHAPTER ONE (INTRODUCTION)	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Significance of Study	4
1.4 Objective of Study	5
1.5 Hypothesis	5
CHAPTER TWO (LITERATURE REVIEW)	6
2.1 Dermatophytosis	6
2.2 Keratin and Virulence Factors of Dermatophytes	8
2.3 Dermatophytes	9
2.4 <i>Microsporium gypseum</i>	10
2.5 <i>Epidermophyton floccosum</i>	15
2.6 Conventional Antifungal Drugs	19
2.7 Alternative Treatment for Fungal Infection	22
2.7.1 Honey and its Properties	23
2.7.2 Manuka Honey	24
2.7.3 Malaysian Honey	26
CHAPTER THREE (MATERIALS AND METHODS)	28
3.1 Fungi Strains and Culture Media	28
3.2 Honey Samples	28
3.3 Honey Preparation	29
3.4 Inoculum Preparation	29
3.5 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Assay	30
3.6 Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC) Assay	32
CHAPTER FOUR (RESULT)	
4.1 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	34
4.1.1 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by Visual Inspection	34
4.1.2 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by Spectrophotometric Assay	38
4.2 Determination of minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC)	39
CHAPTER FIVE (DISCUSSION)	41
CHAPTER SIX (CONCLUSION)	46
BIBLIOGRAPHY	48

ABSTRACT

The emergence of antifungal resistance fungus has lowered the success rates of antifungal therapy thus becoming a major concern worldwide. This has resulted in the re-evaluation of the therapeutic use of ancient remedies including honey. The antibacterial activity of honey has been associated with its osmolarity, acidity, hydrogen peroxide, and non-hydrogen peroxide components. The aim of our study was to investigate the efficacy of Malaysian honeys namely Tualang, Acacia, Nenas and Kelulut as antifungal agent against *Microsporum gypseum* and *Epidermophyton floccosum*. The antifungal activity was examined by determining the MIC using the broth dilution assay on microtiter plates. The MFC was determined by re-inoculation into agar plates from a well with each concentration of honey where bacterial growth was inhibited (from the broth of MIC assay). The honey samples were tested at 70%, 60%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.1%, 1.6%, 0.8%, 0.4%, 0.2%, and 0.1% (v/v) dilution. The efficacy of Malaysian honey as antifungal agent was compared with Manuka honey which has been known to exhibit antifungal properties. Artificial honey was used as a control group. The result showed that three types of honey which are Tualang, Nenas and Acacia including Artificial honey had substantial antifungal activity against *M. gypseum* and *E. floccosum*. MIC values of Manuka honey are equivalent to other tested honeys and Artificial honey, which are 50% (v/v) for *M. gypseum* and 49% (v/v) for *E. floccosum*. However, only Manuka honey demonstrated fungicidal activity towards *M. gypseum* and *E. floccosum*. Among local honeys, Tualang honey had the lowest MIC value (50% v/v), and thus the best activity, against *M. gypseum*. Meanwhile, Acacia and Nenas honeys had higher MIC values against *M. gypseum* which is 55% (v/v) and 62% (v/v) respectively. When tested against *E. floccosum*, Tualang, Acacia and Nenas honeys suppress the growth of these fungi at concentration of 54% (v/v), 60% (v/v) and 63% (v/v) respectively. Unfortunately, Kelulut honey did not produce any substantial antifungal activity against *M. gypseum* and *E. floccosum*. Malaysian honeys exhibited variable activities against the two types of fungi. The differences in floral source of honey may contribute to the variation in the potency of the antibacterial activity. Further research should be done to assess the antifungal activity of Malaysian honeys to reveal its true potential.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Infectious diseases remain one of the most significant threats to human health with eukaryotic pathogens (fungi, parasites) being one of the major sources. Dermatophytosis which is caused by fungal dermatophytes is among the infectious diseases that affects approximately 20-25% of the world's population (Murray *et al.*, 1997). Dermatophytes which include Trichophyton, Microsporum and Epidermophyton have keratinolytic activity that enables them to invade the keratinized host structures in human and animal hosts and cause infections in the epidermal stratum corneum, nails, skin or hair (Kim *et al.*, 2011; Burmester *et al.*, 2011).

Recently, scientists have observed that *Microsporum* spp. and *Epidermophyton* spp. cause significant changes in epidemiology, etiology and the clinical pattern of mycotic infection (Skerlev & Miklić, 2010). *Microsporum canis* (*M. canis*) and *Microsporum gypseum* (*M. gypseum*) are zoophilic and geophilic fungi respectively (Ilkit *et al.*, 2007). *M. canis* takes animal as its reservoir to maintain its virulence factor after it has been transmitted to four different persons (Gupta & Summerbell, 2000). Skin, hair and nail mycoses of human and animals usually become the target living site for *M. gypseum* (Romano *et al.*, 1997). *Epidermophyton floccosum* (*E. floccosum*) is an anthropophilic dermatophyte and it