Muhamad Noor Mohamed . Raja Nurul Jannat Raja Hussain . Mardiana Mazaulan . Noor Azila Azreen Md Radzi . Nurul Ain Abu Kasim . Nur Hani Syazwani Bakri . Ummi Khaltum Mohd Mokhtar . Mohd Aizzat Adnan . *Editors*

Proceedings of the

1st International Summit Conference on Exercise Science, Sports Management, Outdoor Recreation, and Physical Education, ExSPORT 2024, 28th - 29th August, Malaysia

Exporting Research Insights to Practical Applications in Sports Turning Challenges into Opportunities

ORGANIZED BY







Educati Univers



SUPPORTED BY

Mag

A Comparative Analysis of Technical Proficiencies: Viktor Axelsen Versus Anders Antonsen in Men's Singles Badminton During the 2023 World Tour



Muhammad Nabil Esa, Arisya Ismail, Anis Shafira Abdul Harith, Muhamad Noor Mohamed*, Raja Nurul Jannat Raja Hussain, Sharifah Maimunah Syed Mud Puad, and Muhamad Safiq Saiful Annur.

Abstract This study conducts a comparative analysis of technical characteristics between top worldranking and Top 1 Malaysia Athletes in men's singles badminton matches during the 2023 World Tour. The objective of this research is to analyse gameplay between two players, providing insights for coaches and athletes to enhance their training and performance techniques. The focus of this study is Viktor Axelsen, the top 1 world ranking, and Lee Zii Jia, the Malaysian athlete. The technical characteristics that have frequently been analysed were (serve, drop, net, smash, lob, defence, drive and clear). Variables were obtained using YouTube videos and notational analysis r = 0.99 and % of error 0.3%. Mann-Whitney U was used to describe and to determine the difference in the technical characteristics used by the Top 1 World Ranking and Top 1 Malaysia Athletes, between the groups' analyses, significant found out only seven out of 16 (success and unsuccess) which was lob unsuccess (p = 0.03, r = 0.252), clear success (p = 0.001, r = 0.60), clear unsuccess (p = 0.021, r = 0.28), drive unsuccess (p = 0.001, r = 0.46), defend unsuccess (p = 0.001, r = 0.51), drop unsuccess (p = 0.35, r = 0.23) and smash unsuccess (p = 0.51), drop unsuccess (p = 0.35, r = 0.23) and smash unsuccess (p = 0.51). 0.016, r = 0.29). The study revealed that technical characteristics can improve player performance for better tournament results. It highlights the importance of using these characteristics as guides for improving performance. Effective play increases the chances of winning, while poor performance leads to losing matches.

Keywords: Badminton, technical characteristics, Danish player, Mann-Whitney U test, performance analysis.

Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA Negeri Sembilan Branch, Seremban Campus, Malaysia.

M.N., Esa, A., Ismail, A.S., Abdul Harith, M.N., Mohamed* (, R.N.J., Raja Hussein, S.M., Syed Mud Puad, and M.S., Saiful Annur.

^{*}Corresponding author: muhamad_noor@uitm.edu.my

I. INTRODUCTION

Badminton is characterized by high-speed movements and shot executions, which demand high tactical, technical, and psychological abilities as well as a high level of physical conditioning [1]. Badminton is a sport characterized by intermittent efforts of moderate to high intensity, caused by short and repetitive actions [2]. Viktor Axelsen and Anders Antonsen are two prominent figures in men's singles badminton, each with distinct playing styles and technical characteristics. Axelsen is known for his aggressive style of play, characterized by powerful smashes and swift footwork [3]. On the other hand, Antonsen is recognized for his tactical finesse, employing a combination of deceptive shots and strategic court coverage to outmaneuver opponents [3]. In terms of achievements, Axelsen has secured numerous titles, including the World Championships and the All England Open, showcasing his dominance at the highest level of the sport [3]. Technical analysis allows coaches and analysts to dissect the playing styles of players such as Axelsen and Antonsen, identifying their strengths and weaknesses in different areas of the game.

II. METHODS

This study used a non-probability sampling approach, specifically "purposive" sampling, to compare the technical characteristics of renowned Danish badminton players. The men's singles badminton matches for the year 2023, which took place from January to December 2023., were analyzed. The athletes participated in 10 tournaments, and the study included 86 match videos (N = 86), covering all rounds from the first to the final. Data was collected from the Badminton World Federation's (BWF) official website. The study focused on measuring technical characteristics such as smash, drive, lob, net, drop, defend, clear, and serve. Video data for analysis was sourced from YouTube, and world ranking information was obtained from the BWF website.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The non-parametric test, which is the Mann-Whitney U test, was used to analyze the data. The Mann-Whitney test was used for variables that did not have a normal distribution. [2]. This is to determine if there were any significant differences in technical characteristics (serve, lobbing, clear, netting, drive, defend, drop shot, and smash) between Viktor Axelson and Anders Antonsen. The value of alpha has been set at 0.05. Significant differences were found in six out of 16 technical categories (both success and unsuccess rates). However, 10 technical characteristics didn't show significant differences. These included serve success and unsuccess, lob success and unsuccess, net success and unsuccess, drive success, defend success, and smash success and unsuccess. The *p*-value for these 10 characteristics was greater than 0.05. (Table 1). The first technical characteristic discussed in this study was clear success. Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 17.50) makes more successful clear shots than Viktor Axelsen (*mean* = 8.98). The clear shot is important in badminton because it helps move opponents to the backcourt, giving players time to get into an attacking position [4]. The study also looked at clear unsuccess. Viktor Axelsen has a lower mean value of clear unsuccess (*mean* = 1.55) compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 3). Failing to execute a clear shot well can lead to losing points, giving the opponent an advantage [5]. Next, the study discussed drive

unsuccess. Viktor Axelsen (*mean* = 0.55) had fewer unsuccessful drives compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 1.39). A higher rate of unsuccessful drives, as seen with Antonsen, can indicate difficulties in maintaining precision and control under pressure. The drive shot is crucial for its speed and effectiveness, especially in doubles matches [6]. For unsuccessful defenses, Viktor Axelsen (*mean* = 4.03) had fewer unsuccessful defenses compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33). Effective defense relies on good anticipation and positioning [7]. Poor defensive shots can result in losing points [8]. Another characteristic discussed was drop shot success. Viktor Axelsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 7.33) had fewer successful drop shots compared to Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 15.83). A drop shot requires precision and finesse and is a key technique in badminton [9]. Lastly, in drop shot unsuccess, it shows Viktor Axelsen (*mean* = 0.33) and Anders Antonsen (*mean* = 0.72). The drop shot, along with other shots like the smash, net shot, and clear, are essential components of a player's arsenal in badminton [10]. The lower unsuccess rate for Viktor Axelsen implies that he likely has better precision and consistency in performing drop shots.

Test	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value		Effect Size
Serve Success	Mann-Whitney U 848	0.463	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.0788
Serve Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 890	0.744	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.0326
Lobbing Success	Mann-Whitney U 828	0.425	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.1005
Lobbing Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 797	0.249	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.1342
Clear Success	Mann-Whitney U 335	< 0.001	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.6364
Clear Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 478	< 0.001	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.4804
Netting Success	Mann-Whitney U 811	0.345	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.1190
Netting Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 763	0.167	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.1712
Drive Success	Mann-Whitney U 754	0.151	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.1804
Drive Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 568	0.001	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.3832
Defend Success	Mann-Whitney U 831	0.443	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.0967
Defend Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 309	< 0.001	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.6647
Drop Shot Success	Mann-Whitney U 256	< 0.001	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.7223
Drop Shot Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 727	0.051	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.2098
Smash Success	Mann-Whitney U 838	0.477	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.0897
Smash Unsuccess	Mann-Whitney U 755	0.137	Rank Biserial Correlation	0.1793

TABLE 1MANN-WHITNEY U TEST RESULT

p < 0.05

Based on past journals [2], descriptive statistics (mean, minimum, and maximum standard deviation) were used to present the data collected. Based on the data collection, the highest amount of mean and standard deviation was netting success for both Viktor Axelsen (*mean* \pm *SD* = 41.100 \pm 17.047) and Anders Antonsen (*mean* \pm *SD* = 44.522 \pm 15.722), while the lowest amount of mean and standard deviation was serve unsuccess for both Viktor Axelsen (*mean* \pm *SD* = 0.325 \pm 0.526) and Anders Antonsen (*mean* \pm *SD* = 0.304 \pm 0.553).



Fig. 1 Mean value for performance indicators

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, clear success, clear unsuccess, drive unsuccess, defend unsuccess, drop shot success, and drop shot unsuccess showed a significant difference between Viktor Axelsen and Anders Antonsen. The study demonstrated that technical characteristics are essential for determining the performance of badminton players.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all members of the research team for their invaluable contributions to this study. Your dedication, expertise, and collaborative spirit were instrumental in the successful completion of this research. We would also like to acknowledge the participation of all individuals who contributed their time and data to this study. Your support is greatly appreciated. Finally, we would like to thank the editors and reviewers of Exercise Science, Sport Management, Outdoor Recreation, and Physical Education (ExSPORT) 2024 for their insightful comments and suggestions, which significantly improved the quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Y. Abdullahi and B. Coetzee, "Notational singles match analysis of male badminton players who participated in the African Badminton Championships," Journal of Sports Sciences, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 689-698, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1080/24748668.2017.1303955. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2017.1303955.
- J. Barreira and J. G. Cren Chiminazzo, "Who, how and when to perform winner points and unforced errors in badminton matches? An analysis of men's single matches in the 2016 Olympic Games," Journal of Sports Sciences, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1023-1032, May 2020, doi: 10.1080/24748668.2020.1766838. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2020.1766838.
- J. R. Sari and A. Ahdika, "Mendel soft computing journal," Mendel Soft Computing Journal, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 77-88, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.13164/mendel.2023.1.037. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.13164/mendel.2023.1.037.
- [4] S. Shen, J. Teng, G. Fekete, Q. Mei, J. Zhao, F. Yang, and Y. Gu, "Influence of torsional stiffness in badminton footwear on lower limb biomechanics," Journal of Sports Sciences & Medicine, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 323-332, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.52082/jssm.2024.196. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.52082/jssm.2024.196.

- [5] C. Manrique and J. A. González-Badillo, "Physiological responses to badminton competition," British Journal of Sports Medicine, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 62-67, Jan. 2003, doi: 10.1136/bjsm.37.1.62. [Online]. Available: https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/37/1/62.
- [6] A. Alcock and N. T. Cable, "A comparison of singles and doubles badminton: heart rate response, player profiles and game characteristics," Journal of Sports Sciences, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 777-787, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1080/24748668.2009.11868479. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2009.11868479.
- [7] S.-R. Zhou et al., "A novel badminton training method based on biofeedback and virtual reality," arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04872, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04872.
- [8] C.-C. Wang, "The effect of different feedback methods on badminton forehand drive performance in young athletes," Frontier in Psychology, vol. 13, p. 799293, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.799293.
- [9] A. H. Mohd Rasidin, M. K. Muhammad Ikmal, R. R. Omar, A. Yaakub, and L. S. Ahmad Tajudin, "Clinical audit on badminton-related ocular injuries in a tertiary hospital in Malaysia," Cureus, vol. 14, no. 10, e30769, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.7759/cureus.30769. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30769.
- Y. Wang, L. Xu, H. Jiang, L. Yu, H. Wu, and Q. Mei, "Biomechanical effects of the badminton split-step on forecourt lunging footwork," Bioengineering (Basel), vol. 11, no. 5, p. 501, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.3390/bioengineering11050501.
 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering11050501.