# Performance Analysis of a Wideband LNA Utilizing 0.18µm Technology with HBM ESD protection

Nor Aida Binti Nordin Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia 40450 Shah Alam,Selangor, Malaysia E-mail: noraidanordin85@yahoo.com

Abstract-This paper presents the performance analysis of wideband low noise amplifier (LNA) design circuits utilizing 0.18µm CMOS technology. The objective of this performed analysis of a LNA design that need achieve sufficiently large gain and low noise figure, compare the design with other design, and to verify the effect of parameter Rf and Ls to S-parameter. This LNA design was expected to achieve a peak power gain of 13.8 dB. Within the 3 dB bandwidth from 2.6 GHz to 6.6 GHz, the noise figure (NF) is in a range of 4.0 dB to 6.5 dB and the input reflection coefficient, S11 is below -13.0dB.The standard specification for LNA with bandwidth from 2.6GHz is ISDB. This usually used for digital audio and video broadcasting application. By using Cadence Virtuoso as an EDA tool as a simulation tool, the result are obtained. The simulation result had almost achieved the target and this analysis had performed successfully simulation.

*Index Terms*—CMOS, Electrostatic discharge (ESD), low noise amplifier (LNA), wideband.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Low-noise amplifier (LNA) is an electronic amplifier used to amplify very weak signals. LNA is the simple block in receiver most front ends .Using an LNA, the effect of noise from subsequent stages of the receive chain is reduced by the gain of the LNA, while the noise of the LNA itself is injected directly into the received signal. LNA is a part that amplifying the signal plus bring a minimal amount of noise to the signal.

Wideband is a term usually used in communication to describe a wide range of frequencies in a spectrum. A wideband amplifier is an electronic circuit providing constant amplification with a ratio of its low corner frequency to its high corner frequency of more than an octave. The human body model (HBM) is the most commonly used model for characterizing the susceptibility of an electronic device to damage from electrostatic discharge (ESD). The model is a simulation of the discharge which might occur when a human touches an electronic device. In general, a tradeoff exists between the parasitic capacitance and ESD level. This effect becomes more critical for RF front-end circuits, which could seriously degrade the input reflection coefficient, gain, bandwidth, and also noise characteristic. [3]For RFIC design, the ESD protection circuit can no longer be treated separately. Instead, it must be considered simultaneously with other blocks for achieving best overall performance. [4]

The primary objective is to perform analysis of the LNA design that need to achieve sufficiently large gain and low noise figure to suppress the additive noise at the subsequent stages. The LNA circuit with HBM ESD needs to achieve the requirement as within the 3 dB bandwidth from 2.6 GHz to 6.6 GHz, the noise figure (NF) is in a range of 4.0 dB to 6.5 dB and the input reflection coefficient,  $S_{11}$  is below -13.0dB. Other than that, this paper also focused on the effect of parameter value,  $R_f$  and  $L_s$  to the S-parameter and Noise Figure and the comparison between other LNA design.

Different technique were proposed for the wideband RF LNA design, and less work that including ESD protection [5]-[9].In this study, a wideband LNA with a high level of ESD protection was proposed using 0.18µ m MOS transistors.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

## A. LNA Configuration

There is several type of LNA topologies can be chose to design the LNA. Each of them will give different effect to the circuit performance. Some examples of the basic topologies are shunt input termination, shunt-series feedback amplifier, common gate amplifier; sources degraded common source amplifier and other.

For shunt input termination, the input matching adds more than 6dB noises to the Noise Figure (NF) whiles for common gate figure minimum value for NF but the transistor channel are in input signal.

In this paper, the cascade amplifier with a RC shunt-shunt feedback was proposed. The advantage of this topology are the gain sensitivity will reduces, overall gain become steady and widening of bandwidth of amplifier. Single ended topologies with cascade transistor are use for LNA implementation. The benefit of use this type of topology because cascade transistor helps to increase output gain and helps to reduce S21.Futhermore, output inductor resonates with output load will maximize output power transfer and gain at resonance frequency. The value of NF will also reduce.

## B. LNA Parameter

In LNA design, Scattering parameter (Sparameter) and noise figure are the important parameter. Many electrical properties of networks of components (inductors, capacitors, resistors) may be expressed using S-parameter.S-parameter shown the level of feedback from the output of an amplifier to the input and therefore influences its stability.

The following is a representation of a signal wave in a two-port electrical-element.



Fig.1 .Block diagram of S-parameter

 $a_1$  is the wave into port 1  $b_1$  is the wave out of port 1  $a_2$  is the wave into port 2  $b_2$  is the wave out of port 2

Where

The S-parameters for this conventional element are defined in standard microwave textbooks as follows as:

$$b1 = S_{11} a_1 + S_{12} a_2 b2 = S_{21} a_1 + S_{22} a_2$$
(4)

The physical significance of the S-parameters is described as (5) - (8). Equation (5) is the reflection coefficient at port 1 when port 2 in terminated with matched load ( $a_2 = 0$ ) while (6) is the reflection coefficient at port 2 when port 1 in terminated with a matched load ( $a_1 = 0$ ). The attenuation of wave travelling from port 2 to port 1 and from port 1 to port 2 is define in (7) and (8).

$$S_{11} = b_1/a_1$$
 when  $a_2 = 0$  (5)

 $S_{22} = b_2/a_2$  when  $a_1 = 0$  (6)

 $S_{12} = b_1 / a_2$  when  $a_1 = 0$  (7)

$$S_{21} = b_2/a_1$$
 when  $a_2 = 0$  (8)

S-parameters are defined with respect to reference impedance that is typically 50 ohms. For 50-ohm S-parameters with the 2-port element terminated with 50 ohms at each port, the  $S_{21}$  parameter represents the voltage gain of the element from port 1 to port 2.

Noise Figure is a measure of degradation of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), caused by components in a radio frequency (RF) signal chain. NR is defined as the ratio of signal to noise ratio at the output to that at the input.

For a 2-port circuit, the noise figure is the signalto-noise ratio at the input, divided by the signal-tonoise ratio at the output. It has units of dB. The total noise is the transmitted input noise plus the noise contributed by the network. The transmitted input noise represents the portion of the incident thermal noise (kTB, where k=Boltzmann's constant, 1.380658 x 10-23 J/K, T=290 K, B=1 Hz) which passes through the system.

The noise factor of a system is defined as (9) where  $SNR_{in}$  and  $SNR_{out}$  are the input and output power signal-to-noise ratios, respectively.

$$F = \frac{\mathrm{SNR}_{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{SNR}_{\mathrm{out}}} \tag{9}$$

The noise figure is defined as (10) where  $SNR_{in,dB}$  and  $SNR_{out,dB}$  are in decibels (dB). The noise figure is the noise factor, given in dB is expressed in (11).

$$NF = 10 \log \left(\frac{\text{SNR}_{\text{in}}}{\text{SNR}_{\text{out}}}\right) = \text{SNR}_{\text{in,dB}} - \text{SNR}_{\text{out.dB}}$$
(10)  
$$NF = 10 \log (F)$$
(11)

If several devices are cascaded, the total noise factor can be found with Friis' Formula (12), where  $F_n$  is the noise factor for the *n*-th device and  $G_n$  is the power gain (linear, not in dB) of the *n*-th device.

$$F = F_1 + \frac{F_2 - 1}{G_1} + \frac{F_3 - 1}{G_1 G_2} + \frac{F_4 - 1}{G_1 G_2 G_3} + \dots + \frac{F_n - 1}{G_1 G_2 G_3 \cdots G_{n-1}},$$
 (12)

## C. Circuit Description

The wideband LNA design objective is to choose the MOSFET parameters (i.e. size of Width and Length). The circuit is design for the wideband LNA with the ESD protection.

The general block diagram of a LNA is shown in Fig. 2, where two identical MOSFETs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  form a cascode amplifier with a RC shunt-shunt feedback. In this work, the transistor M1 and M2 have the gate widths of 64µm and 52µm respectively. A flat  $S_{21}$  can be obtained above a wide bandwidth with low input/output of reflection coefficient by the proper adjusting of resistive component  $R_f$  and capacitive component  $C_f$ . Finally ,The value of  $R_c$  and  $C_f$  are 1.2k $\Omega$  and 1.1pF is selected.

For a better wideband matching, a source degeneration inductor of 0.2nH and a gate inductor of 2.7nH are also employed in the common source (CS) stage. A small inductor of 70pH is inserted between the CS and common-gate (CG) stages to further extend the bandwidth. To protect the gate oxide of during an ESD zap a large resistor of 5 k is used at the gate of CG. A capacitor of 1pF is added to provide an ac ground of the CG stage. To further the bandwidth and gain enhancement, the inductor of 9.3nH is used as inductive peaking. The transistors  $MB_1$  and  $MB_2$  are utilized as the output buffer to the 50 ohm measurement environment. [10]



Fig.2 .Circuit topology for proposed ESD-Protected LNA

## D. ESD Protection Circuit

The ESD protection blocks are also shown in Fig. 2, is build with a double-diode topology ( $D_p$  and  $D_n$ , together with the power clamp that designed by four transistors ( $M_n,M_p,M_c$ , and  $M_{ESD}$ ) and one resistor. The discharge paths for the four different ESD testing modes, i.e., positive (PD mode) and negative (ND mode) to  $V_{DD}$ , and positive (PS mode) and negative (NS mode) to  $V_{ss}$  are also indicated in the figure. In the PD and NS modes, the discharge current only flows through the diode, where as the discharge paths include both the diode and clamp in the PS and ND modes.

Double diodes at the input side of LNA are the important part for the ESD discharge paths and critical for the input matching network. To have sufficient ESD performance and almost no effect on the input matching network at the desired frequency band the two ESD diodes are optimize together with the power clamp. A large ratio of width and length (W=0.8  $\mu$ m and L=30  $\mu$ m) is proposed in this design to maximize the overall perimeter. With this structure, the ESD parasitic capacitance primarily exists in the bottom area and the current flows mainly through the edges of the diodes. The diode are also designed to utilize the maximum allowed contact and via density to reduce series resistance.

In addition, the MOS capacitor  $M_c$  and Ptype poly resistor result in a RC time delay is to ensure  $M_{ESD}$  functions are correctly during an ESD event.  $M_{ESD}$  is designed by a multi-finger topology with a total gate width up to ~2000  $\mu$ m to sustain a high ESD current level. This large size of  $M_{ESD}$  with a low on-resistance also allows the power clamp to consume less voltage budget under a certain current level, and thus relaxes the ESD diode design and requirement. [10]

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To validate the wideband CMOS LNA design concept, a 2.6-6.6 GHz LNA ESD-protected was implemented in a 0.18  $\mu$ m RF CMOS technology. A complete graph of the S<sub>11</sub>and S<sub>21</sub> was illustrated in Fig. 3 and Fig.4.The graph of NF and P<sub>1dB</sub> as shown in Fig.5 and Fig.6.The LNA was designed for a target that within the 3 dB bandwidth, the input return loss is greater than 13.0 dB, the peak power gain can achieve 13.8 dB, and the noise figure is in a range of 4.0 dB.







Fig.5. NF parameter



Fig.6. P1dB parameter

The circuits is biased under a 1.2 V supply with an associated drain current of 5.7mA. With some modification on the core circuit of LNA, the impact of ESD block has been successfully minimized. Table I compares the published RF wideband LNAs with the considerations of ESD protection.

After done with the simulation, the results that obtain are almost achieving the requirement. The proposed LNA with HBM ESD need to achieve the requirement as within the 3 dB bandwidth from 2.6 GHz to 6.6 GHz, and the input reflection coefficient,  $S_{11}$  is below -13.0dB.Refered to the result, only the value for NF and  $S_{21}$  is slightly difference. Other parameters, such as S11 meet the requirement.

The CMOS technology and the software that use as an EDA tool will give a small impact to the value of the result that obtain. A long as the resulted value of the parameter is in the range of expected, the design can be proceed. The lab testing can be doing to confirm that the simulation result is achieving the requirement. This paper only proposed the simulation result.

Ref	Tech.(nm)	BW (GHz)	NF (dB)	$S_{21}$ (dB)	$S_{11}$ (dB)
This work	180 CMOS	2.6 - 6.6	17	-112	<-13
[1]	90 CMOS	0.1 - 8	3.4	16.0	<-10
[2]	90 CMOS	0.75 - 10	7.5	13.0	<-10
[9]	130 CMOS	3 - 5	3.6	25.8	-11
[3]	180 CMOS	0.5 - 3	3.8	16.0	<-10

 Table 1

 Performance Comparison of RF Wideband ESD-Protected LNA with Prior Art

## IV. OPTIMIZATION S-PARAMETER

S-parameters are describing the electrical behaviors of linear electrical networks when undergoing various steady state stimulate by electrical signals. The parameters are useful for electrical and electronics engineering, and also communication systems design. Although it applicable at any frequency, S-parameters are mostly used for networks operating at radio frequency (RF) and microwave frequencies where signal power and energy considerations are more easily quantified than currents and voltages. S-parameters change with the measurement frequency so this must be included for any S-parameter measurements stated, in addition to the characteristic impedance or system impedance.

 $S_{11}$  and  $S_{21}$  is an important step in LNA circuit design. Through the process of EDA tools can be used iteratively to stimulate and refined the design. These tools combine accurate models with performance-optimization and yield-analysis capabilities. Optimizing the values of shunt and series, R and L is an iterative process with two goals:

- a) The transformed load reflection terminates on the right gain at each frequency, and
- b) The susceptance component decreases with frequency and the reactance component increases with frequency

First of all, a value for  $R_f$  need to be selected. If we start with the LNA design,  $R_f$  will be realized by an inductor Ls. Modified RF input circuit of mixer with source degeneration added for improving the linearity. Note in the LNA design these resistances are realized as loss-less inductors Ls. The inductive source degeneration proves good results when input impedance matching is considered. It provides creating a resistive part in addition to the reactive part seen at the gate of the input n-MOS transistor. Increasing the value of Ls helps to increase the resistive part of impedance, however, when small signal analysis is considered, it is obvious that increasing the source degeneration inductance results in lower gain. [11]

To optimize the S-parameter, some modifications in the circuit need to do. With some research, found that to vary the value of  $S_{21}$ , the resistive component  $R_f$  need to be adjusted. The proper adjusted for value of degeneration inductor, Ls the value of  $S_{11}$  will be effected. In this paper, the theory of S-parameter is applied. For noise figure NF, it will degrade if S-parameter improves their trade-off. So, as the S-parameter is changing, the values of NF are also affected.

The results of this optimization are shown in Table 2 and Table 3. Table 2 is the result of adjustable  $R_f$  and Table 2 for the adjustable of  $L_s$ .

Table2 Result of adjustable R<sub>f</sub>

$R_f(\Omega)$	S <sub>11</sub> (dB)	S <sub>21</sub> (dB)
0.2k	- 5.40	-112
4.4k	-4.40	-112
10.4k	-1.85	-112
50.4k	-0.46	-112

## Table3 Result of adjustable L<sub>s</sub>

$L_{s}(H)$	S <sub>11</sub> (dB)	S <sub>21</sub> (dB)
2.2n	-14	-87
6.6n	-14	-87
14.6n	-14	-101
24.6n	-14	-89



Fig.7. S<sub>11</sub> parameter for  $R_f = 0.2 \text{ k}\Omega$ 



Fig.8.  $S_{21}$  parameter for  $R_{\rm f}$  =  $0.2k\Omega$ 



Fig.9. S<sub>11</sub> parameter for  $R_f = 4.4k\Omega$ 



Fig.10.  $S_{21}$  parameter for  $Rf = 4.4k\Omega$ 



Fig.11. S<sub>11</sub> parameter for  $R_f = 10.4k\Omega$ 



Fig.12.  $S_{21}$  parameter for  $R_f = 10.4k\Omega$ 

and the second s	S-Harameter Kessonse	
1 4820		
0		
-		
0		a set a set of the set
°		
		0.46 dP
		-0.40uB
10-		
Ι,		
10-	Freq range: 0GHz-20GHz	1/
	rieq runge. 00112-200112	1/
1 L		
		<i>.</i>

Fig.13.  $S_{11}$  parameter for  $R_f = 50.4k\Omega$ 



Fig.14.  $S_{21}$  parameter for  $R_f = 50.4 k\Omega$ 







Fig.16.  $S_{21}$  parameter for Ls = 2.2nF



Fig.17.  $S_{11}$  parameter for Ls = 6.6nF



Fig.18.  $S_{21}$  parameter for Ls = 6.6nF



Fig.19.  $S_{11}$  parameter for Ls = 14.4nF



Fig.20.  $S_{21}$  parameter for Ls = 14.4nF



Fig.21.  $S_{11}$  parameter for Ls = 24.4nF

Protection of	S-Parameter Resoonse	
<b>521 452</b>		
-70.0		
75.0	Ered range: 1CHz 10CHz	1
	Freq range: IGHZ-IOGHZ	-
-80.0		
-85.0-		
- Carl		
g-90.0-		
2 25.0		
-100-		
and a second	· -89dB	
-100		
-110-		
-115- 109	e01×8 e01×7 e01×8 e01×4 e01×4 e01×4 e01×1 e01×1	1010
	frtq (Hz)	

Fig.22.  $S_{21}$  parameter for Ls = 24.4nF

Fig.7 until fig.14 showed the graphs that obtain by simulation with the various values of  $R_f$ . On the other hand, Fig 15 until fig 22, showed the graphs that obtain by simulation with the various values of  $L_s$ . This value was chosen to know the effect of both components to the S-parameter. The range value for of  $R_f$  are from 0.2k $\Omega$  until 50.4k $\Omega$  while for  $L_s$  are from 2.2nF until 24.6nF.

To perform the analysis of this circuit, the range of frequencies need to be set. In order to perform better simulation, the range for  $S_{11}$  was chosen from 0GHz until 20GH while for  $S_{21}$  are from 1GHz until 10GHz.After this frequencies done to be set up, the simulation was proceed and the result are shown in Fig.7 until fig 22.

Refer to the Fig.7 until fig.14, for  $S_{11}$  there shown increasing in value as the value of  $R_f$  is increasing while for  $S_{21}$  the value are remain unchanged. For various values for  $L_s$  as shown in Fig 15 until fig 22, the value of  $S_{21}$  is changing while the value of  $S_{11}$  are remain unchanged.

Based on the graph and table for the adjustable value for both  $R_f$  and  $L_s$ , found that both of this value will effected the S-parameter value,  $S_{11}$  and  $S_{21}$ . It proves that as resistive component  $R_f$  adjusted, S11 will effected while degeneration inductor, Ls is adjusted the value of  $S_{21}$  will be effected.

As the value of  $R_f$  is increasing, the value of  $S_{11}$ will increase, so to get the better  $S_{11}$  the value of  $R_f$ need to be small. For  $S_{21}$  it will be decreasing, as the value of  $L_s$  is increasing. So, the value of  $L_s$  and  $R_f$ need to manipulate to get the requirement for Sparameter. This concept can be used to get the initial value for both components and optimize that value until get the suitable value.

The proper value need to be choosing to get the suitable specification. A flat  $S_{21}$  can be archive over a wideband bandwidth with low input and output of reflected coefficient. Moreover, a better value for S11 can be gain by verifying the value of resistive component,  $R_f$ 

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, with the proposed analysis of the design of a wideband LNA with range of 2.6-6.6GHz LNA with HBM ESD Protection is demonstrated in  $0.18\mu$ m RF CMOS Technology. Cadence Virtuoso is used as EDA tools to stimulate and refined the design. The analysis that performed in simulation

was a successful analysis. The value of  $S_{21}$  is effected by adjusted the value of resistive component  $R_f$  while the  $S_{11}$  can be vary by adjusting the value of degeneration inductor, Ls.As the S-parameter is changing, the value of NF is also affected.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to Pn Maizan Mohamed, my project supervisor, for all the help, guidance and generous time given throughout the course of completing this project. Also, thank you to the CADEC USM staff, for the help and support while doing this project.

#### REFERENCES

- T. Chang, J. Chen, L. Rigge, and J. Lin, "A packaged andESD-protected inductorless 0.1–8 GHz wideband CMOS LNA," *IEEE Microw.Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 416–418, Jun. 2008.
- [2] W. Soldner, M. Kim, M. Streibl, H. Gossner, T. H. Lee, and D. Schmitt-Landsiedel, "A 10 GHz broadband amplifier with bootstrapped 2 kVESD protection," in *IEEE ISSCC Tech. Dig. Tech.*, 2007, pp. 550–551.
- [3] D. Linten, S. Thijs, J. Borremans, M. Dehan, D. Tremouilles, M. Scholz, M. Natarajan, P. Wambacq, and G. Groeseneken, "T-diodes—A novel plug-and-play wideband RF circuit ESD protection methodology," in *Proc.* EOS/ESD Symp., Sep. 2007, pp. 242–249.
- [4] A.Wang, H. Feng, R. Zhan, G. Chen, and Q.Wu, "ESD protection designfor RF integrated circuits: New challenges," in *Proc. IEEE Custom Integr. Circuits Conf.*, Sep. 2002, pp. 411–418.
- [5] R. Salerno and M. Tiebout, "ESD-protected CMOS 3–5 GHz wideband design for UWB," in ESSCIRC Tech. Dig., 2005, pp.219–222.
- [6] Y. Lin, S. Shawn, J. Jin, and C. Chan, "A 3.1–10.6 GHz ultra-wideband CMOS low noise amplifier with current reused technique," *IEEE Microw.Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 232–234, Mar. 2007.
- [7] M. T. Reiha and J. R. Long, "A 1.2 V reactive-feedback 3.1–10.6 GHz low-noise amplifier in 0.13 \_m CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1023–1033, May 2007.
- [8] M. D. Ker, Y. Hsiao, and B. Kuo, "ESD protection design for 1- to 10-GHz distributed amplifier in CMOS technology," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 2672–2681, Sep. 2005.
- [9] M. D. Ker and B. Kuo, "ESD protection design for broadband RFcircuits with decreasing-size distributed protection scheme," in *Proc.Radio Freq. Integr. Circuits Symp.*, Jun. 2004, pp. 383–386.
- [10] M.Tsai,S.Hsu,F.Hsueh,C.Jou,S.Chen, and M.Song, "A wideband low noise amplifier with 4kV HBM ESD protection in 65 nm RF CMOS," *IEEE Microw.Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 734–736, Nov. 2009.
- [11] http://www.odyseus.nildram.co.uk/RFIC\_Circuits\_Files/M OS\_Gilbert\_Cell\_Mixer.pdf;May 2010