

**THE EFFECT OF SALT CONCENTRATION ON THE COLOR, TEXTURE  
AND SENSORY ANALYSIS OF BEEFBALLS**



**Final Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the  
Degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) in Food Quality Management,  
Faculty of Applied Sciences  
Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**April 2003**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The success of this project involves the contribution and support from many people. First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Siti Norbaiyah Bt Abdul Malek. Her constant guidance, spirited support, professionalism, interest and dedication were central to the project from the very beginning to the submission of the last element.

I extend my heartfelt thanks to Assoc. Prof Dr. Zainal Samicho as the Head of Programme of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Food Quality Management and Cik Normah Bt Ismail as the Coordinator Project Thesis FST 640 for their advice and ideas. Thanks to all Food Technology lecturers for their encouragement, help and advice. Not to be forgotten, all the laboratory assistants namely Encik Omar Yunos, Encik Osman Abdul Rahman, Encik Azli Munjat and Cik Hariyah, they created an environment that made possible for the completion of this project.

Thanks also to my fellow classmates and friends for their support ideas and suggestions, in a way or another throughout this project. A very special thanks to the one that hears my thoughts, understanding my dreams, filling my life with joy and loving me without end. Thank you for your inspiration.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	iii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	viii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	ix
<b>LIST OF APBREVIATIONS</b> .....	x
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	xi
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	xii

### CHAPTER

<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	3
2.1 Meats.....	3
2.2 Types of meat.....	4
2.2.1 Beef.....	4
2.2.2 Veal.....	4
2.2.3 Lamb and Mutton.....	5
2.2.4 Pork.....	5
2.3 Meat Quality.....	6
2.3.1 Color.....	6
2.3.2 Juiciness.....	9
2.3.3 Tenderness.....	9
2.4 Processed Meat.....	10
2.4.1 Curing.....	11
2.4.2 Smoking.....	13
2.5 The meat composition.....	14
2.5.1 Water.....	15
2.5.2 Protein.....	16
2.5.3 Fat.....	18
2.5.4 Vitamins and Minerals.....	21
2.5.5 Pigments.....	22
2.6 The beef structure.....	23
2.6.1 Muscle Tissue.....	23
2.6.2 Connective Tissue.....	24
2.6.3 Fatty Tissue.....	25
2.6.4 Bone.....	25
2.7 Salt in processed meat products.....	26

## **ABSTRACT**

### **THE EFFECT OF SALT CONCENTRATION ON THE COLOR, TEXTURE AND SENSORY ANALYSIS OF BEEFBALLS**

By

**MEGAT MOHAMAD SHAHRIL ADNAN**

April 2003

Four formulations of beef balls were studied by varying the salt concentration from 1.5% to 3%. The following evaluations were performed on the beef ball. Texture Profile Analysis, color and sensory analysis. Texture parameters analyzed were cutting strength, firmness and springiness. Beef ball with 2.5% salt concentration is the most firm, most springy and has the highest cutting strength compared to other formulations. The values for firmness, springiness, cutting strength are 390.3, 57.6 and 799.2 respectively. Colour measurements were measured by using CIE color lab. For the color, "a" are significantly different, but "L" and "b" values, there are no significantly different among the formulations. The "a" value for all formulations (varying the salt concentration from 1.5% to 3%) ranged from -2.1 to -0.7. Preference test by using 9 hedonic scales was used in sensory evaluation. The mean for taste of all formulations range from 5.5 to 6.4. All the data were analyzed and evaluated by using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS). However, the varying of salt concentration does not significantly affect the sensory properties except taste. Furthermore, the beef ball with 2.5% salt concentration had the highest acceptability values than other three formulations. It is most preferred for colour, taste and overall acceptability.

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Meat is defined as the flesh of animals used as food. In practice this definition is restricted to a few dozen of the 3000 mammalian species; as well as the musculature organs such as liver and kidney, brains and other edible tissues (Lawrie, 1985). Examples of types of meats are beef, veal, lamb, mutton and pork (Bennion and Scheule, 1998).

Quality grading of carcasses are classified in terms of some projected palatability. The attributes considered in the USDA quality-grading system include the degree of marbling, maturity, color, texture and firmness (Li *et. al*, 2001).

Most consumer rate tenderness as the most important factor determining the quality of meat. Generally the quality of meat is perceived after the product has been cooked and is being consumed. Mechanical means are commonly used to provide a measure of tenderness (Bennion and Scheule, 1998).

Processed meats are products in which the properties of fresh meat have been modified by the use of procedures such as mincing, grinding or chopping, salting and curing, addition of seasoning and food materials and in many instances heat treatment. Most of these processes extend the shelf life of meat (British Nutrition