# **UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS OF HEPATOPROTECTIVE PROBIOTICS *IN VIVO* AND ELUCIDATION OF *LACTIPLANTIBACILLUS PLANTARUM* LAB12-INDUCED HEPATOPROTECTION AGAINST HIGH-FAT DIET-INDUCED METABOLIC DYSFUNCTION-ASSOCIATED FATTY LIVER DISEASE MOUSE MODEL VIA THE GUT-LIVER AXIS

# FAEZAH BINTI SABIRIN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** 

**Faculty of Pharmacy** 

November 2023

### ABSTRACT

Metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), previously known as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), is a highly prevalent disease. To date, lifestyle modifications remain as the cornerstone for MAFLD management. During advanced stage of the disease, patients are prescribed with either vitamin E (i.e.,  $\alpha$ tocopherol) or pioglitazone. Whilst the problems of lifestyle changes are associated with poor implementation and compliance, pharmacological therapies result in limited improvement of liver pathology and are often compromised by side effects. This raises the need for effective alternatives that are relatively easier to comply with and emerging functional food like probiotics appear to fit the bill given their increasingly recognised roles in delaying the progression of MAFLD. As such, this study had first systematically reviewed publications on hepatoprotection of probiotics in vivo based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. The literature search, which was performed through the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, PubMed/ Medline and Web of Science by using pre-defined keywords, had identified 4,841 studies, from which 53 were shortlisted based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All shortlisted studies for qualitative appraisal were presented with low/ unclear risk of bias. Only 24 studies were eligible for subsequent quantitative analysis using Review Manager Version 5.3. The primary outcome supported hepatoprotection of probiotics in the intervention group, which was demonstrated mainly through the significantly reduced severity of liver pathology based on the reduced total NAFLD Activity Score (NAS) (MD=-1.90; 95% CI=-2.25, -1.54, p<0.00001; I<sup>2</sup>=37%, p=0.08) when compared to the control group. This study then went on to elucidate mechanisms underlying hepatoprotection by Lactiplatibacillus plantarum LAB12 (LAB12) against high fat diet (HFD)-induced MAFLD in male C57BL/6J mice. The hepatoprotective effects of daily LAB12 supplementation over 20 weeks were evident through the significantly reduced (p < 0.05) liver pathology [total NAS ( $2 \pm 1.2$  vs  $3 \pm 1.8$ ) and serum ALT  $(1.90 \pm 0.40 \text{ ng/mL vs } 2.91 \pm 0.56 \text{ ng/mL})$  (-34.5%)] and hepatic total cholesterol  $(1.89 \pm 1.12 \text{ mg/g vs } 4.96 \pm 2.85 \text{ mg/g})$  (-58.5%). The beneficial effects of LAB12 against HFD-induced MAFLD could be attributed, at least in part, to significantly reduced (p < 0.05) hepatic SREBP-1 protein expression (-50%), hepatic TNF- $\alpha$  (0.00012)  $\pm$  0.00004 mg/g protein vs 0.0002  $\pm$  0.0001 mg/g protein) (-45%) and IL-6 (0.0004  $\pm$ 0.0001 mg/g protein vs  $0.0006 \pm 0.0002 \text{ mg/g}$  protein) (-35%) levels, intestinal permeability [lactulose/ mannitol ratio  $(0.24 \pm 0.13 \text{ vs } 0.84 \pm 0.44)$  (-72%) and lipopolysaccharide level in hepatic portal blood ( $3.19 \pm 1.57$  ng/mL vs  $7.17 \pm 3.13$ ng/mL) (-55%)] but significantly increased (p<0.05) serum butyrate (0.66  $\pm$  0.17 mM vs  $0.49 \pm 0.08$  mM) (+26%) when compared to the HFD control mice (HO). Interestingly, the LAB12-supplemented normal chow-fed mice (CL) were presented with significant increased (p < 0.05) bacterial richness in the caecocolonic content and colonic butyrate (1.64  $\pm$  0.71 mM, +40%) when compared to the chow control mice (CO)  $(0.98 \pm 0.51 \text{ mM})$ . Altogether, these findings strongly implied the usefulness of hepatoprotective LAB12 against HFD-induced MAFLD.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for His mercy and blessings that have helped me persevere until the completion of this thesis.

My utmost gratitude to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Kalavathy Ramasamy, for the precious opportunity and encouragement to embark on my PhD journey at the Faculty of Pharmacy, University Teknologi MARA (UiTM). I am grateful for her trust, supervision and sharing throughout the course of my study. My sincere appreciation also goes to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lim Siong Meng, for his words of motivation, constructive comments and commitment to read through my write-ups.

Special thanks to Dr. Neoh Chin Fen for her guidance on both the systematic review and meta-analysis parts of my thesis. Special shoutout to Assoc. Prof. Dato' Dr. Vellayan Subramaniam and all the staffs at the Laboratory Animal Facility and Management (LAFAM), Faculty of Pharmacy, UiTM for their technical supports throughout my *in vivo* study. Not to forget Assoc. Prof. Dr. Omar Emad Ibrahim from the Management and Science University (MSU) for sharing his expertise in the histopathological evaluation.

I wish to also thank all members of the Collaborative Drug Discovery Research (CDDR) Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy, UiTM, in particular, Dr. Hang Chong Yee, Ms Siti Hajar Rehiman, Dr. Fatin Umirah, Dr. Yuganthini Vijayanathan, Mdm. Umi Khalsom, Ms. Naemah Md. Hamzah and Dr. Nur Syakila Rohawi for all their assistance, kindness and moral support throughout my PhD journey. I will treasure our friendship and the wonderful memories we have had. I hope that we will cross path again in the future.

I am grateful to the Faculty of Dentistry, particularly Assoc. Prof. Dr. Aida Nur Ashikin Abd Rahman (dean) and my colleagues, for all the support given. Special thanks to the UiTM for awarding me with the two-year and three-month study leave to conduct my experiments. I would also like to thank Prof. Dato' Dr. Abu Bakar (dean), lecturers, technical and supporting staffs (special mention to the Analytical Unit and Histology Unit) as well as post-graduate students of the Faculty of Pharmacy, UiTM for all their support and help, directly or indirectly, over the course of my postgraduate candidature.

My heartfelt thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for the financial support under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme [600-IRMI/FRGS 5/3 (317/2019)], which has made the running of this project possible.

Last but not least, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my beloved and supportive husband (Mr. Mohd Amlie Sardi), father (Mr. Sabirin Zayadi), all family members and my in-laws for their understanding, endless love, thoughtful prayers and constant encouragement. I am utmost thankful to my late mother (Mdm. , a dedicated teacher who has instilled in me discipline and belief in lifelong learning, both of which were crucial towards the successful completion of my PhD.

Once again, thank you everyone. Thank you all very much!

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	'a	ge

CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS			ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION			iii
ABSTRACT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		iv v	
			TABI
LIST	OF TA	BLES	xi
LIST	OF FIG	GURES	xii
LIST	OF AB	BREVIATIONS	XV
LIST	OF UN	IITS	XXV
CHA	PTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Resear	rch Background	1
1.2	Proble	2	
1.3	Object	tives	3
	1.3.1	General Objectives	3
	1.3.2	Specific Objectives	4
1.4	Signif	4	
1.5	Scope of Study		
1.6	Limitation of the Present Study		4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW			6
2.1	MAFL	_D	6
	2.1.1	Overview	6
	2.1.2	Epidemiology and Burden of MAFLD	7
	2.1.3	Risk Factors of MAFLD	9
2.2 Pathophysiology and Pathogenesis of MAFLD			12
	2.2.1	The Gut-Liver Axis and MAFLD	12
	2.2.2	Gut Microbiota and MAFLD	15
	2.2.3	Intestinal Barrier and MAFLD	18

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Research Background

The metabolic associated-dysfunction fatty liver disease (MAFLD), or formerly known as the non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a non-communicable disease that affects more than 30% of the world population (Xie et al., 2023; Younossi et al., 2023), with 19% and 38% increased mortality risks from all causes and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), respectively (Xie et al., 2023). The conditions of the disease range from the accumulation of fat in the liver (i.e., steatosis) ( $\geq$ 5%), inflammation and the subsequent progression to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and the advanced, irreversible disease stage of fibrosis and cirrhosis, which are accompanied by metabolic syndromes of multisystemic metabolic dysfunctions, including dyslipidaemia, obesity or overweight, insulin resistance or hypertension (Adams et al., 2017; Byrne & Targher, 2015; Eslam et al., 2020b; Huang et al., 2021; Martin-Mateos & Albillos, 2021; Pipitone et al., 2023). Owing to its silent nature, MAFLD is often an incidental finding from elevated serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), a sign of liver injury, in the clinics (Dyson et al., 2014).

Generally, the pathogenesis of MAFLD is associated with "multiple parallel hits" that are mainly governed by the gut-liver axis. More specifically, there is an interplay between the gut, the microbiota it harbours and the liver that drives MAFLD pathogenesis. It is increasingly recognised that overnutrition causes dysbiosis, the condition stemmed as the pathogenic factor of MAFLD and is associated with the metabolic profile of the disease (Leung et al., 2016). The diet-induced dysbiosis is also associated with reduced short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) production (Zhang et al., 2018) and increased intestinal permeability that promotes translocation of microbial metabolites to the liver (Mouries et al., 2019). On the other hand, overnutrition, which is associated with high-fat diet (HFD) or high-fructose diet, would result in free fatty acid (FFA) overload, leading to obesity and excessive supply of nutrients to the liver (Chakravarthy & Neuschwander-Tetri, 2020). The excessive nutrients would burden the metabolic sensing liver as well as its mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER).