Volume 19 Issue 2 (August) 2024

Antecedents of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour Among Primary School Teachers in Alor Setar

Luqman Mahmud ¹, Ahmad Nizan Mat Noor², Mohamad Niza Md Nor³, Nik Azlina Nik Abdullah^{4*}

¹Department of Social Welfare, Bandar Baharu District Social Welfare Office ^{2,3,4}Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Cawangan Perlis, Kampus Arau, 02600 Arau, Perlis, Malaysia

Authors' Email Address: ¹luqman@jkm.gov.my, ²ahmadnizan@uitm.edu.my, ³mohdniza@uitm.edu.my, *⁴nikazlina@uitm.edu.my

Received Date: 6 May 2024 Accepted Date: 23 June 2024 Revised Date: 26 June 2024 Published Date: 31 July 2024

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Discussion on organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) among teachers has gained much interest among scholars. However, a review of past literature indicates lack of study towards the antecednts of teachers' OCB in Malaysia. The study aims to explore the often-overlooked informal relationships between teachers' Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB), the school environment, their level of competency, empowerment, and commitment to the team. It also seeks to understand the impact of specific elements of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on the overall benefit teachers bring to their communities. The focus of this research is to ascertain the real-world implications of these factors on OCB and their organizational effects among teachers in Alor Setar schools. To understand these interactions and their influence on teachers' OCB, relevant hypotheses were formulated, tested, and further examined. As part of this research, 400 primary school teachers in Alor Setar were given a set of questionnaires. The regression analysis results indicated that factors such as the school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment have a significant impact on teachers' OCB. This study holds importance as it delves into the OCB of teachers and their contribution to the increasingly crucial education sector in Malaysia. The findings of this study can guide policymaking in the education sector, particularly in enhancing teachers' OCB and school administrators can use these insights to foster a culture that promotes OCB, thereby improving overall school performance. In the school context, OCBs were found to play a role in student achievement and increase school effectiveness. They free up resources for more productive purposes, help coordinate organisational activities, and are more effective at environmental change.

Keywords: competency level, empowerment, school environment, teachers' OCB, team commitment

INTRODUCTION

Teachers who are willing to go above and beyond the call of duty are essential to the success of schools (Somech & Bogler, 2023). Organ (1988) first proposed the term "organizational citizenship behaviour

(OCB)" which is a helpful phrase to characterize these voluntary actions. Originating from Katz (1964) concept of extra-role behaviours, Organ (1988) characterized OCB as a collection of voluntary, helpful, and extra-role actions taken by staff members that are not explicitly or directly acknowledged by the official system of rewards but nevertheless contribute positively to the organization's overall performance and are not subject to contract enforcement. OCB is a question of personal preference, and not engaging in such activity is typically not a ground for discipline issue. According to this definition, a teacher's OCB includes all selfless acts of kindness towards coworkers, administrators, and students (DiPaola & Hoy, 2005).

The majority of academicians concurred that OCB is multifaceted. Five dimensions were identified by Organ (1988), and they are as follows; conscientiousness, sportsmanship, civic virtue, courtesy, and altruism or helping behaviours. Moreover, some studies (Williams & Anderson, 1991) have separated OCB into two categories; behaviour focused on the person (OCBI) and behaviour focused on the organization (OCBO). While the majority of researchers concurred that OCB is multidimensional, DiPaola and Tschannen-Moran (2001) discovered in two distinct factor analytic experiments that one dimension adequately reflected all facets of OCB in educational settings.

A considerable volume of research has been dedicated to pinpointing the origins of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB). Podsakoff et al. (2000) identified several precursors, including personal characteristics, task attributes, organizational traits, and leadership behaviours. Earlier studies typically concentrated on the initial four categories. Podsakoff et al. (2000) also discovered two main types of individual traits: dispositional variables and employee attitudes. Organ and Ryan's (1995) meta-analysis of 55 studies revealed that job attitudes, specifically school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment, were more reliable predictors of OCB than dispositional factors. A multitude of studies (Bateman & Organ, 1983; Fan et al., 2023; Khalid et al., 2021; Organ, 1988; Williams et al., 1991) have provided robust evidence supporting the connection between school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment with OCB.

It's logical to suggest a positive correlation between these factors. Employees who are satisfied with their roles often reciprocate with positive behaviours, including OCB. The school environment can be characterized as a collection of internal attributes that set school organizations apart from other entities, influencing the behaviour of all school members and playing a crucial role in school operations (Somech & Ohayon, 2019). Additionally, the school environment encompasses a system of values, beliefs, norms, and rules that are consciously accepted and adhered to by all members of the school (Fein et al., 2023).

Meanwhile, competency refers to the skills and knowledge that are needed by employees to perform a job (Noer et al., 2023). Competency consists of customer awareness, teamwork, commitment and contribution, productivity and so on (St. Hatidja et al., 2023). According to Cahyaningrum et al. (2023), he defined competency as a characteristic of a person which results in their effective or superior performance. In a study conducted by McClelland (1973), it was highlighted that competency is an element of performance which is linked to life outcomes. Competencies can be used as a different approach to forecast human performance compared to traditional trait and intelligence techniques. Competencies through this may be associated with broad psychological or behavioural attributes which are linked to extraordinary job and life success (Khan et al., 2015).

Furthermore, Wang et al. (2022) defined empowerment in terms of encouraging and allowing individuals to take personal responsibility to improve the way they do their jobs and contribute to the organizational goals. The creation of a culture encourages people at all levels to help them gain confidence and skills so that they can make a difference. Organization empowerment creates structures and opportunities for people to have more control over their tasks in the employing institution. Meanwhile, the individual empowerment is formed to relate to an increased sense of self-efficacy (Conger & Kanungo, 1988). Studies such as Morrison (1996) and Nihoff and Moorman (1993) concluded different outcomes to relate empowerment to OCB

Dasgupta (2024) suggests that an individual's team commitment can indirectly boost an organization's productivity. Aubé et al. (2013) highlighted the importance of team commitment for task performance and its connection to team effectiveness, which encompasses performance, quality, and capability. They concluded that there's a robust association between team commitment and performance, leading to improved outcomes. Team commitment is viewed as the psychological bond between a team member's perception of the team (Pearce & Herbik, 2004), and it's agreed that it has a strong correlation with organizational commitment (Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995; Munene, 1995). Peace et al. (2004) identified a significant relationship between team commitment and OCB.

While there is extensive research on Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) in the context of commercial organizations, studies exploring the link between school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment with OCB, particularly in schools, are scarce (Mahmud et al., 2022). Data suggests that OCB is context-specific and varies with the type of organization (Organ, 1988). There are distinct behavioural differences between educational and non-educational settings. As per DiPaola and Hoy (2005), schools are service organizations where teachers, as professionals, are typically committed to providing the best possible care for their students. Consequently, the job attitudes influencing teachers' OCB may differ from those in other organizations. Previous studies have shown a positive impact of school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment on OCB. However, research examining the effects of these factors on overall community behaviour, especially among teachers, is limited. Therefore, this study was undertaken to determine if these factors influence teachers' OCB.

This research aims to explore in detail teacher's OCB, school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment in Malaysia. In addition, the study investigated the influence of school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment on teacher's OCB. The research also aims to explore the improvement of OCB teachers through school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment. In addition, this research is vital because it offers school shareholders about designing strategies to improve OCB teachers through school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Antecedence of Teachers' OCB

Studies have shown many variables can improve a teachers' OCB (Tian et al., 2020). Variables of teacher OCB improvement include justice (Tziner & Sharoni, 2014), transformational leadership, job satisfaction (Tanjung, 2023), and organizational culture (Tulung et al., 2020). Other variables that have been shown to improve teachers' OCB include professional commitment (Lestari et al., 2021), organizational commitment (Tharikh et al., 2016), servant leadership (Newman et al., 2017), workplace spirituality (Al-Mahdy et al., 2021), school structure (Mitchell, 2019) and teachers' empowerment (Ahmed, 2021). Among these variables, there are the most potent variables for improving teacher OCB, among others: transformational leadership (Tanjung et al., 2020), organizational culture and job satisfaction (Tulung et al., 2020), organizational justice (Jermsittiparsert et al., 2021), and servant leadership (Ghasemy et al., 2022; Newman et al., 2017). Based on research, teachers' OCB needs to follow up, so that teacher OCB plays a leading role in improving the quality of education.

Nevertheless, there has not been much research on improving teacher OCB through variables from outside and within teachers at once. Factors outside the teacher include school environment and empowerment in Malaysia (Tulung et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022; Zeinabadi & Salehi, 2011). Factors within the teacher include competency level and team commitment (Shaheen et al., 2021; Yuliansyah et al., 2021). For those reasons, there is a need to conduct this research.

School Environment and Teachers' OCB

Eco-initiatives is a type of discretionary behaviour that involves proposing enhancements to environmental practices and performance (Paillé & Boiral, 2013). Teachers can exhibit Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) in various ways, such as by creating, recommending, and sharing innovative practices (Paillé et al., 2013), minimizing waste and reusing paper (Lee, DeYoung, & Marans, 1995), or undertaking specific actions in the school that contribute to improved environmental performance (Daily et al., 2009). Individuals with a high degree of environmental concern are more likely to display environmentally conscious behaviour (Czap & Czap, 2010; Lynch et al, 2010). Most studies measuring the direct effects of environmental concern on environmentally sensitive behaviour have found low to moderate relationships between these variables. Previous research has shown a weak correlation between environmental concern and behaviour (Albayrak et al, 2013). However, Daily et al. (2009) suggested that individuals with a strong environmental concern are likely to translate this into environmentally friendly actions in the form of OCB within their schools. Those with a high level of environmental concern are more likely to engage in OCB than those with less concern for the environment (Daily et al., 2009).

Competency Levels and teachers' OCB

Employee competency level is made up of the knowledge, skills, and attitudes that are pertinent to their duties and responsibilities. Mulder (2014) defined competence as an individual's capacity or ability to perform efficiently and effectively. In his definition of competence, he compares or matches capability with ability. In a scenario, the ability to execute effectively and efficiently is the most common definition of the notion of competence. Employees who possess competencies that align with job requirements are more inclined to exhibit behaviors that exceed expectations, such as Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB). Competency is strongly associated with the capacity to carry out tasks efficiently. Employees who are competent tend to have the confidence and capability to participate in Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB). Furthermore, competence is linked to performance, as employees equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills are likely to yield superior outcomes (Rustandi, Suadma & Pratami, 2023).

Empowerment and Teachers' OCB

Several studies and literature concluded that empowerment has a significant and positive impact and effect on teachers' organizational behaviours (Somech et al., 2023). Organizational behaviours are actions and attitudes of teachers towards one another and towards the educational institution (Thurlings, Evers, & Vermeulen, 2015). Positive organizational behaviour among teachers should be promoted and embraced in all educational institutions since its purpose is to create an environment that is geared towards the sustainability and improvement of the organization. The different dimensions of teachers' organizational behaviours include organizational commitment (Bogler & Somech, 2004, Fu & Deshpande, 2014), professional commitment (Hackman & Lawler, 1971), organizational citizenship behaviour (Duke & Gansander, 1990; Taylor & Bogotch, 1994), supervisory support (Ellen, Ferris, & Buckley, 2013) and job involvement (Hallberg & Schaufelli, 2006). Hence, teacher empowerment can lead to positive organizational behaviour and could eventually play an important role in organizational success and stability (Bogler et al., 2004).

Team Commitment and Teachers' OCB

In recent years, the concept of commitment within the framework of self-managed work teams has gained considerable attention (for instance, Kirkman & Shapiro, 2001; Riketta & Van Dick, 2005). Employees make a distinction between their dedication to their work groups (known as team commitment) and their allegiance to their organizations (referred to as organizational commitment) (Bishop et al., 2005). Field theory suggests that the closeness and prominence of environmental factors significantly influence individuals' responses to their surroundings (Mathieu & Hamel, 1989). As a

result, employees may differentiate between team commitment and organizational commitment because the organization may appear more distant and less noticeable than the team in their daily work life. In simpler terms, employees might find it harder to "connect" with the organization than with the team, leading to a comparatively lower level of commitment to the organization than to the self-managed work team (De Lara & Rodriguez, 2007). Previous studies have demonstrated a robust and significant correlation between team commitment and organizational citizenship behaviour (Bishop & Scott, 2000; Bishop et al., 2000; De Lara et al., 2007). Understanding these dynamics can provide valuable insights into employee behaviour, specifically how team commitment influences OCB and help organizations foster a work environment that encourages positive behaviours.

Hypotheses Statements

- H1 School environment has a positive significant relationship with the teacher's OCB.
- H2 Competency level has a positive significant relationship with the teacher's OCB.
- H3 Empowerment has a positive significant relationship with the teacher's OCB.
- H4 Team commitment has a positive significant relationship with the teacher's OCB.

METHODOLOGY

The study's population consisted of 3215 primary school teachers in the Kota Setar district as of September 2023. The sample size, determined based on Krejcie and Morgan's (1970) table, was 346 primary school teachers in Alor Setar. To gather data, 400 questionnaires were distributed using simple random sampling techniques. The data collection instrument was a questionnaire adapted from previous studies. The demographic section captured information such as respondents' gender, age, ethnicity, marital status, nationality, work experience, and department. The school environment scales were adapted from Fisher and Fraser's (1990) School Level Environmental Questionnaire (SLEQ). The competency level was adopted from Wagner and Morse (1975), while the empowerment and team commitment scales were adopted from the School Participant Empowerment Scale (SPES) by Short and Rinehart (1992) and Allen and Meyer (1990) respectively. Each variable was assessed with six questions, rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). The questionnaire, initially written in English, was translated into Malay and back-translated to minimize translation bias, following the method of Van de Vijver and Hambleton (1996). Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software version 26 and included frequency analysis, reliability analysis, Pearson correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis.

FINDINGS

Table 1 below shows the demographic profile of the respondents. A total of 364 questionnaires were collected from respondents, comprising 293 (80.5%) female and 71 (19.5%) male employees participating in the study. The age distribution was as follows: 20 to 29 years old (6 respondents, 1.6%), 30 to 39 years old (100 respondents, 27.5%), 40 to 49 years old (179 respondents, 49.2%), and 50 years old and above (79 respondents, 21.7%). The majority of respondents were Malay (345 respondents, 94.8%), with a small representation of Chinese (5 respondents, 1.4%), Indian (10 respondents, 2.7%) and others (4 respondents, 1.1%). Marital status included single (13 respondents, 3.6%), married (330 respondents, 90.7%), and others (21 respondents, 5.8%). In terms of education levels, respondents included SPM (13 respondents, 3.6%), STPM (10 respondents, 2.7%), Diploma (20 respondents, 5.5%), Degree (283 respondents, 77.7%), Master (36 respondents, 9.9%) and PhD (2 respondents, 0.6%).

Table 1: Profile of The Respondents

| Variables | Categories | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender | Male | 71 | 19.5 |
| | Female | 293 | 80.5 |
| Age | 20 to 29 years old | 6 | 1.6 |
| | 30 to 39 years old | 100 | 27.5 |

| | 40 to 49 years old | 179 | 49.2 |
|----------------|------------------------|-----|------|
| | 50 years old and above | 79 | 21.7 |
| Race | Malay | 345 | 94.8 |
| | Chinese | 5 | 1.4 |
| | Indian | 10 | 2.7 |
| | Others | 4 | 1.1 |
| Marital status | Single | 13 | 3.6 |
| | Married | 330 | 90.7 |
| | Others | 21 | 5.8 |
| Education | SPM | 13 | 3.6 |
| | STPM | 10 | 2.7 |
| | Diploma | 20 | 5.5 |
| | Degree | 283 | 77.7 |
| | Master | 36 | 9.9 |
| | PhD | 2 | 0.6 |

Table 2 describes the reliability and Correlation analysis for the study variables. The Cronbach's alpha for the five independent variables were 0.822 for school environment, 0.819 for competency level, 0.850 for empowerment, and 0.880 for team commitment. Meanwhile, for dependent variable; OCB, the Cronbach's alpha value is 0.747.

Table 2: Reliability and Pearson Correlation Analysis

| | Variables | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | School environment | $(\alpha = 0.822)$ | | | | |
| 2. | Competency level | .46* | $(\alpha = 0.819)$ | | | |
| 3. | Empowerment | .33* | .61* | $(\alpha = 0.850)$ | | |
| 4. | Team commitment | .44* | .53* | .65* | $(\alpha = 0.880)$ | |
| 5. | OCB | .41* | .59* | .53* | .54* | $(\alpha = 0.747)$ |

Note: N=364, **p*<.05

The result of Pearson correlation analysis revealed tteachers' OCB is correlated positively and significantly with school environment (r=.41, p<.05), competency level (r=.59, p<.05), empowerment (r=.53, p<.05), and team commitment (r=.54, p<.05). The results of correlation analysis provide initial support for the study hypotheses.

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis

| Variables | В | Significance |
|--------------------|-------|--------------|
| School Environment | .127 | .005 |
| Competency Level | .326 | .000 |
| Empowerment | .129 | .028 |
| Team Commitment | .189 | .002 |
| R Square | .445 | |
| Adjusted R Square | .437 | |
| F Test | 57.41 | |

Dependent variable: OCB

The effects of the independent variables (school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment) on the dependent variable, OCB, were determined by using multiple regression analysis (Table 3). The results show that school environment (β = 0.127, p<0.05) had significant positive effects on OCB. Next, competency level (β = 0.326, p<0.05) had significant positive effects on OCB. Furthermore, empowerment (β = 0.129, p<0.05) and team commitment (β = 0.189, p<0.05) also had significant positive effects on OCB. The whole model explained 43.7% of the variance in the dependent variable (OCB). Therefore, all hypotheses developed in this study are accepted.

DISCUSSION

The research findings highlight several significant factors related to OCB. Firstly, school environment was found to have a significant positive relationship with OCB. This aligns with research conducted by Daily et al. (2009) where it is suggested that individuals with a strong environmental concern are likely to translate this into environmentally friendly actions in the form of OCB within their schools and those with a high level of environmental concern are more likely to engage in OCB than those with less concern for the environment. Individuals with a high level of environmental concern can make a significant difference in their immediate environment by translating their concern into actionable behaviours.

Moreover, this study's findings also demonstrated that the level of competency significantly positively influenced OCB. This aligns with Kagaari's (2007) research, where a regression analysis with OCB as the independent variable showed that competencies accounted for 68 percent of the variance in OCB. Organizations might consider investing in training and development programs to enhance the competency levels of their employees. This could lead to an increase in OCB, which is beneficial for the organization.

Furthermore, this study's findings also identified that empowerment significantly positively influenced OCB, and this is aligned with a study by Somech et al., (2023). Organizations might consider structuring themselves in a way that allows employees more autonomy and decision-making power, which could foster a sense of empowerment and subsequently increase OCB.

The fourth variable in this study which is team commitment also had a positive significant relationship with OCB. Previous studies by Bishop et al., (2000) and De Lara et al. (2007) posit the same results related to team commitment and OCB. This implied that team commitment can potentially have a broader impact on organizational culture, and even influencing industry standards for employee behaviour and commitment.

CONCLUSION

This study had been conducted to identify the antecedents of organizational citizenship behaviour among primary school teachers in Alor Setar. 400 questionnaires were distributed and 364 were accepted and can be used for the analysis. The result of frequency analysis on the demographic background of the respondents revealed that there were more female respondents rather than male. Most of the respondents were between the age of 40 to 49 years old. Majority of the respondents were Malay, married and the highest level of education of the respondents who answered the questionnaires was Degree. The reliability results for this study shows that all items were very good, and it can be used to measure the variables in this study. Result for the Pearson correlation analysis for this study shows all variables had significant correlation and provide an initial support for this study. The regression analysis shows school environment (β = 0.127, p<0.05), competency level (β = 0.326, p<0.05), empowerment $(\beta = 0.129, p < 0.05)$ and team commitment $(\beta = 0.189, p < 0.05)$ had significant positive relationship on OCB. This research shows that the improvement of OCB in the context of education in Malaysia can be made through improving school environment, competency level, empowerment, and team commitment. Teacher's OCB tend to improve more quickly by these factors. Strategies to enhance teacher OCB based on this research are: strengthening having forward-looking, committing to teacher progress, building community, increasing self-confidence to be able to complete tasks, building a good perception of the strengths possessed, the virtues of the organization, the liking for the organization, and the integrity of the organization.

The study's findings could be expanded to include the effects of other variables, such as perceived supervisory support or job satisfaction. In addition, because the current study was conducted in primary schools, it may be worthwhile to look into middle and high schools to see if the findings presented here are representative of the overall situation of teachers across all levels. It is also suggested that in order

to fully represent Malaysia, future studies should enlarge the sample to include instructors of both genders and from both primary and secondary education levels. This larger focus would improve the findings' generalizability and offer a more thorough grasp of the variables affecting teacher's OCB.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Jabatan Pendidikan Negeri Kedah and Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Kota Setar for providing the data support on this research.

FUNDING

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Mahmud, L. and Mat Noor, A.N. conceived and planned the experiments. Mahmud, L. carried out the experiments and data preparation. Nik Abdullah, N.A. contributed to the interpretation of the results. Mahmud, L. and Md Nor, M.N. took the lead in writing the manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

We certify that the article is the Authors' and Co-Authors' original work. The article has not received prior publication and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. This research/manuscript has not been submitted for publication nor has it been published in whole or in part elsewhere. We testify to the fact that all Authors have contributed significantly to the work, validity and legitimacy of the data and its interpretation for submission to Jurnal Intelek.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.I. (2021). Teacher Empowerment and Organisational Citizenship Behaviour in Public Schools in Saudi Arabia. *Management in Education*, 089202062110309.
- Albayrak, T., Aksoy, S., & Caber, M. (2013). The effect of environmental concern and scepticism on green purchase behaviour. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 31(1), 27–39
- Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325.1990.tb00506.x
- Al-Mahdy, Y.F.H., Emam, M. & Hassan, T. (2022) Workplace spirituality and organizational citizenship behavior among teachers in Bahrain: commitment as a mediator, *Journal of Beliefs & Values*, 43(3), 301-319, https://doi.org/10.1080/13617672.2021.1957601
- Aubé, C., Brunelle, E., & Rousseau, V. (2013). Flow experience and team performance: The role of team goal commitment and information exchange. *Motivation and Emotion*, 38(1), 120–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-013-9365-2
- Bateman, T. S., & Organ, D. W. (1983). Job satisfaction and the good soldier: The relationship between affect and employee "citizenship." *Academy of Management Journal*, 26(4), 587–595. https://doi.org/10.2307/255908
- Bishop, J.W. & Scott, K.D. (2000). An examination of organizational and team commitment in a self-directed team environment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 439-50.

- Bishop, J.W., Scott, K.D. & Burroughs, S.M. (2000). Support, commitment, and employee outcomes in a team environment. *Journal of Management*, 26, 113-132.
- Bishop, J.S., Scott, K.D., Goldsby, M.G. & Cropanzano, R. (2005). A construct validity study of commitment and perceived support variables: a multifoci approach across different team environments. *Group and Organization Management*, 30, 153-80.
- Bogler, R. & A. Somech. (2004). Influence of Teacher Empowerment on Teachers' Organizational Commitment, Professional Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior in Schools. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20, 277–289.
- Cahyaningrum, N., Widagdo, S., & Dimyati, M. (2023). The Influence of Employee Competence, Organization Commitment and Work Culture On Employee Performance Through OCB As An Intervention Variable. Proceeding International Conference on Economics, Business and Information Technology (ICEBIT), 4, 585–595. https://doi.org/10.31967/prmandala.v4i0.798
- Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice. *The Academy of Management Review, 13*(3), 471–482. https://doi.org/10.2307/258093
- Czap, N. V., & Czap, H. J. (2010). An experimental investigation of revealed environmental concern. *Ecological Economics*, 69(10), 2033–2041.
- Daily, G.C., S. Polasky, J. Goldstein, P. Kareiva, H.A. Mooney, L. Pejchar, T.H. Ricketts, J. Salzman, et al. (2009). Ecosystem services in decision making: time to deliver. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7, 21–28.
- Dasgupta P. A. (2024). Study on the Effect of Role Stress, LMX and TMX on Organisational Citizenship Behaviour of Nurses and Their Mediation by Team Commitment. *Journal of Health Management*. doi:10.1177/09720634231225008
- De Lara, P.Z.M. & Rodriguez, T.F.E. (2007). Organizational anomie as of the relationship between an unfavourable attitudinal environment and citizenship behaviour (OCB) an empirical study among university administration and services personnel. *Personnel Review*, 36(5-6), 843-66.
- Dipaola, M. F., & Hoy, W. K. (2005). School Characteristics that Foster Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Journal of School Leadership*, 15(4), 387–406. https://doi.org/10.1177/105268460501500402
- DiPaola, M.F. & Tschannen-Moran, M. (2001) Organizational Citizenship Behavior in Schools and Its Relationship to School Climate. *Journal of School Leadership*, 11, 424-447. https://doi.org/10.1177/105268460101100503
- Duke, D.L. & B. Gansander, (1990). Teacher empowerment: the view from the classroom. *Educational Policy*, 4, 145-160.
- Ellen, B.P. III, Ferris, G.R. & Buckley, M.R. (2013). Leader political support: reconsidering leader political behavior. *Leadship Quarterly*, 24, 6842–57
- Fan, Q., Wider, W., & Choon, K.C. (2023). The brief introduction to organizational citizenship behaviors and counterproductive work behaviors: a literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1181930
- Fein, E. C., Tziner, A., & Vasiliu, C. (2023). Perceptions of ethical climate and organizational justice as antecedents to employee performance: the mediating role of employees' attributions of leader effectiveness. *European Management Journal*, 41, 114–124. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2021.11.003
- Fu, W., & Deshpande, S. P. (2014). The impact of caring climate, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment on job performance of employees in a China's insurance company. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 124(2), 339-349
- Fisher, D. L., & Fraser, B. J. (1990). Validity and use of the School-Level Environment Questionnaire. Ghasemy, M., Akbarzadeh, M., & Gaskin, J. E. (2022). Being satisfied and serving communities as outcomes of servant leadership in the academic context: Policies based on a multi-level structural equation model. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 23(1), 69–86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-021-09702-z
- Jermsittiparsert et al., (2021). Business Ethical Values as a Mechanism Linking CSR and Internal Outcomes on Job Performance. *Journal of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues, 24*(1), 1-10.
- Kagaari, J.R.K. (2007). Engineering lecturers' competencies and organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB) at Kyambogo University. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 31(9), 706-726. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090590710846675

- Katz, D. (1964). The Motivational Basis of Organizational Behavior. *Behavioral Science*, *9*, 131-133. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bs.3830090206
- Khalid, S. A., Rahman, N. A., Darus, N. A., & Shahruddin, S. (2021). Lecturers' organizational citizenship behaviours during COVID19 pandemic. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 17, 215–226. doi: 10.24191/ajue.v17i2.13401
- Khan, A., Masrek, M. N., & Nadzar, F. M. (2015). Analysis of competencies, job satisfaction and organizational commitment as indicators of job performance: A conceptual framework. *Education for Information*, 31(3), 125–141. https://doi.org/10.3233/efi-150954
- Kirkman, B.L. & Shapiro, D.L. (2001), The impact of cultural values on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in self-managing work teams: the mediating role of employee resistance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44, 557-69.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610.
- Hackman, J. R., & Lawler III, E. E. (1971). Employee reactions to job characteristics. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 55, 259–286
- Hallberg, U. E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). "Same same" but different? Can work engagement be discriminated from job involvement and organizational commitment. *European Psychologist*, 11(2), 119-127.
- Lee, Y.J., De Young, R., & Marans, R.W. (1995). Factors influencing individual recycling behavior in office settings: a study of office workers in Taiwan. *Environmental Behaviour*, *27*, 380–403.
- Lestari, I., Adhe & Indriani. (2021). Professional commitment: Its effect on kindergarten teachers' organizational citizenship behavior. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 16(4), 2037-2048. https://doi.org/10.18844/cjes.v16i4.6072
- Lynch, D., & Martin, P. (2010). How energy efficiency programs influence energy use: an application of the theory of planned behaviour. In European council for an energy efficient economy summer study, 2037–2048.
- Mahmud, L., Khalid, S. A., & Mat Noor, A. N. (2022). The Relationship between Distributed Leadership and Organizational Citizenship Behavior among TVET Practitioner in Malaysia. *Social & Management Research Journal*, 19(2), 85–110. https://doi.org/10.24191/smrj.v19i2.19300
- Mathieu, J.E. & Hamel, K. (1989). A causal model of the antecedents of organizational commitment among professionals and nonprofessional. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *34*, 299-317.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, D. F. 1995. An integrative model of organizational trust. *The Academy of Management Review, 20*(3), 709–734.
- McClelland, D. C. (1973). Testing for competence rather than for "intelligence." *American Psychologist*, 28(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0034092
- Mitchell, R. M. (2019). Enabling School Culture and Transformational School Leadership: Promoting Increased Organizational Citizenship and Professional Teacher Behavior. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 8(4), 614–627.
- Morrison, E.W. (1996). Organizational citizenship behavior as a critical link between HRM practices and service quality. Human Resource Management: Advancing Human Resource Research and Practice, 35(4), 493-512
- Mulder, M. (2014). Conceptions of Professional Competence. In International Handbook of Research in Professional and Practice-based Learning, by Billett, S., Harteis, C. and Gruber, H. 107-137. Springer.
- Munene, J. C. (1995). "Not-on-seat": An investigation of some correlates of organisational citizenship behavior in Nigeria. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 44*, 111–122.
- Newman, A., Schwarz, G., Cooper, B., & Sendjaya, S. (2017). How servant leadership influences organizational citizenship behavior: The roles of LMX, empowerment, and proactive personality. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 145, 49–62.
- Niehoff, B. P., & Moorman, R. H. (1993). Justice as a mediator of the relationship between methods of monitoring and organizational citizenship behavior. *Academy of Management Journal*, *36*(3), 527–556. https://doi.org/10.2307/256591
- Noer, S., I Dewa Ketut Raka Ardiana, & Tri Andjarwati. (2023). The Effect of Work Competency, Work Motivation, And Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) On Organizational

- Commitment and Employee Performance at PT. Bina Ceria Bersama in Surabaya. *Eduvest*, 3(2), 504–523. https://doi.org/10.59188/eduvest.v3i2.765
- Organ, D. W. (1988). Organizational citizenship behavior: the good soldier syndrome. Lexington Books, Cop.
- Organ, D.W. & Ryan, K. (1995) A Meta-Analytic Review of Attitudinal and Dispositional Predictors of Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Personnel Psychology*, 48, 775-802. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1995.tb01781.x
- Pearce, C.L. & Herbik, P.A. (2004). Citizenship Behavior at the Team Level of Analysis: The Effects of Team Leadership, Team Commitment, Perceived Team Support, and Team Size. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 144(3), 293-310.
- Podsakoff, P.M., Mackenzie, S.B., Paine, J.B., et al. (2000) Organizational Citizenship Behaviors: A Critical Review of Theoretical and Empirical Literature and Suggestions for Future Research. *Journal of Management*, 26, 513-563. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/014920630002600307
- Riketta, M. & Van Dick, R. (2005). Foci of attachment in organizations: a meta-analytic comparison of the strength and correlates of workgroup versus organizational identification and commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 67(3), 490-510.
- Rustandi, T., Suadma, U. & Pratami, A. (2023). The Influence of Competence and Compensation on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) and Employee Performance of the Indonesian Red Cross in Banten Province. *Riwayat: Educational Journal of History and Humanities*, 6(3), 1718-1727. https://doi.org/10.24815/jr.v6i3.3411
- Shaheen, R. Nabawy, Z. & Ali, N. (2021) Impact of Implementing a Leadership Development Training Program for Staff Nurses on Structural Empowerment, Leadership Self-Efficacy, and Clinical Leadership Practices. *Alexandria Science Nursing Journal*, 23(2), 92–104.
- Short, P. M., & Rinehart, J. S. (1992). School participant empowerment scale: Assessment of level of empowerment within the school environment. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *52*(4), 951–960. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164492052004018
- Somech, A., & Bogler, R. (2023). Organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) above and beyond: Teachers' OCB during COVID-19. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 104183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104183
- Somech, A., & Ohayon, B.E. (2019). The trickle-down effect of OCB in schools: the link between leader OCB and team OCB. *Journal of Educational Administration*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/jea-03-2019-0056
- St.Hatidja, S. H., Abubakar, H., Hernita, H., Arimbawa, I. G. A. P., & Putra, A. H. P. K. (2023). The Effect of Organizational Citizens' Behavior (OCB), Competence, and Organizational Culture on Performance: Employee Satisfaction as Mediating Variable. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 6(1.2). https://doi.org/10.29099/ijair.v6i1.2.611
- Tanjung, R. (2023). Pendampingan Meningkatkan Kemampuan Mahasiswa Dalam Menulis Jurnal Ilmiah. Jurnal Karya Inovasi Pengabdian Masyarakat (JKIPM), 1(1), 42–52.
- Taylor, B.L. & J.E. Bogotch, (1994). School-level effects of teacher participation in decision making. *Educational Evaluation and Analysis*, 16, 302-319.
- Tharikh, S. M., Ying, C. Y., Saad, Z. M. & Sukumaran, K. (2016) Managing job attitudes: The Roles of job satisfaction and organisational commitment on organisational citizenship behaviors, *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35, 604–611.
- Thurlings, M., Evers, A., & Vermeulen, M. (2015). Towards a model of explaining teachers' innovative behaviour: A literature review. *Review of Educational Research*, 85, 430–471. doi:10.3102/0034654314557949
- Tian, H. et al. (2020). An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science*, *368*, 638–642
- Tulung, J. M., Lapian, A., Rogahang, H., Wuwung, O., Siang, J. L., & Luma, S. (2020). The Influence of Organizational Culture and Job Satisfaction on Teacher Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) Junior High School Teachers in Paal Dua District Manado. Sys Rev Pharm, 11(12),2034-2040.

- Tziner, A. & Sharoni, G. (2014). Organizational citizenship behavior, organizational justice, job stress, and workfamily conflict: Examination of their interrelationships with respondents from a non-Western culture. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones*, 30, 35–42.
- Van de Vijver, F., & Hambleton, R. K. (1996). Translating Tests. *European Psychologist*, *1*(2), 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.1.2.89
- Wagner, F. R., & Morse, J. J. (1975). A measure of individual sense of competence. *Psychological Reports*, *36*(2), 451–459. https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1975.36.2.451
- Wang, H., Zhang, Y., & Henry, S. E. (2022). You Raise Me Up and I Reciprocate: Linking Empowering Leadership to OCB and UPB. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, (1). https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2022.13313abstract
- Williams, L. J., & Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as predictors of organizational citizenship and in-role behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 17(3), 601–617. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700305
- Yuliansyah, Y., Rammal, H.G., Maryani, M., Mohamed Jais, I.R. & Mohd-Sanusi, Z. (2021), Organizational learning, innovativeness and performance of financial service firms in an emerging market: examining the mediation effects of customer-focused strategy. *Business Process Management Journal*, 27(4), 1126-1141.
- Zeinabadi H, & Salehi, K. (2011) Role of procedural justice, trust, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment in organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) of teachers: proposing a modified social exchange model. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29, 1472-1481.