UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

A GLYCOPROTEOMICS STUDY OF Staphylococcus epidermidis BIOFILM

FARAH BINTI ABD. HADI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science**

Faculty of Applied Sciences

January 2019

ABSTRACT

Staphylococcus epidermidis is the leading opportunistic bacteria among the coagulasenegative staphylococci (CoNS) which caused many biofilm-associated infections. Biofilm exhibits an extraordinary resistance towards antimicrobial agents. To date, biofilm-associated infections have increased worldwide with no promising treatment. Yet, the impact of protein glycosylation on biofilm and antibiotic resistance is still not understood. A preliminary study of protein glycosylation in S. epidermidis was conducted to investigate the relationship of membrane glycoproteins towards biofilm formation and antimicrobial resistance. This study focused on S. epidermidis ATCC 12228 and ATCC 35984, alongside seven clinical S. epidermidis isolates that were characterized based on their biofilm forming ability as well as the predominant component of their biofilm matrices. The microtitre plate biofilm quantification method classified the isolates into three groups which are weak- (B2, B3 and B55), strong- (B81, B103 and B171) and very strong- (B44) biofilm producers. Proteins were found to be the major component of the weak-biofilm producers whilst polysaccharides were the main component in both strong- and very strong-biofilm producers. Biofilm formation of ATCC 35984 pre-treated with tunicamycin (N-linked glycosylation inhibitor) was reduced as opposed to ATCC 12228. In contrast, tri-Obenzyl-D-galactal (O-linked glycosylation inhibitor) slightly reduced biofilm formation of ATCC 35984 and may affect ATCC 12228 biofilm. The pre-treatment of S. epidermidis with glycosylation inhibitors slightly increased susceptibility in MICs by one-fold against clindamycin, gentamicin, rifampicin, tetracycline and vancomycin. However, the deglycosylation showed no effect on the resistance of biofilm cells against antimicrobial agents as the MBICs were similar to the untreated cells. The induction of ATCC 12228 biofilm by tunicamycin displayed increased resistance against all tested antimicrobial agents compared to the untreated. Proteomics analysis highlighted the membrane glycoproteins of ATCC 12228 compared to ATCC 35984 from which ten differentially expressed membrane glycoproteins were identified via MALDI-TOF-MS. An online glycosylation site predicting tool, GlycoPP, revealed the presence of potential glycosylation sites either N- or O-linked glycosite in nine proteins except for alkyl hydroperoxide reductase subunit C. Most of the glycoproteins were expressed at higher levels in ATCC 35984 suggesting that these glycoproteins may be involved in biofilm formation. These data could be further explored to identify potential new targets for effective treatment and clinical management against biofilmproducing bacteria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah, I am able to finish my Master's study after several years. Indeed, it was a long journey but was cherished with joy, sorrow and valuable moments that I would never forget for the rest of my life. I am so thankful to Allah S.W.T for his mercy and blessings.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Umi Marshida Abd Hamid for her effort, patience and guidance throughout my graduate study. Thanks for being a good listener and advisor during this period of time. In addition, lots of thank to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong Abdullah for accepting me into his laboratory and also for his insightful ideas, comments and encouragement. I am also grateful to Dr. Aziyah Abd Aziz for being so helpful, kind and never hesitate to share her skills and idea every time I face difficult moments. Indeed, their great experience, knowledge and motivation continuously push me up to complete this study.

Moreover, I am so thankful to IPSIS and committee members of Faculty of Applied Science, UiTM Shah Alam, for being so helpful and supportive. This including lecturers, administrative staffs, lab assistances and colleagues namely Fatihah, Fatin, Rozita, Zulaila, Wawa, Saiyyidah, Normi, Nurul, Sidek, Anis, Edy, Haslini, Afifi, Shammil, Fadilah, Alia and Haifa. My special thanks to the members of lab especially Racheal, Zainuddin and Iqbal for their kind suggestion and help. I am very grateful to have all of you as my friend. Thank you so much for the care and exciting journey that we had together.

Special thanks to my beloved parents, Abd. Hadi They so understood of my duty as a student. I am forever grateful for loves and sacrifices from both of you. Also thanks my dear siblings, nieces and cousins who have given me strength to persevere. I am so happy and glad for having this amazing family member.

Last but not least, many thanks to my schoolmates, undergraduate's mates, neighbours and relatives for their help and concern. Finally, this thesis is also dedicated to my late aunts namely Kamariah and Faizah. I do really miss the moment that we have spent together. The love and affection of both of you will always bear in my mind.

A billion thanks to everyone for their everlasting love, best wishes and belief in me. Indeed, they are my endeavor toward striving my dream and mission.

I love you guys~~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	Page ii	
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii	
ABSTRACT	iv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi	
LIST OF TABLES	xi	
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii	
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XV	
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi	
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1 Background of Study	1	
1.2 Problem Statement	4	
1.3 Significance of Study	5	
1.4 Objectives of Study	6	
1.5 Scope and Limitation of Study	6	
CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7	
2.1 Overview	7	
2.2 Staphylococcus epidermidis	9	
2.2.1 Microbiological Profile	9	
2.2.2 Pathogenicity and Epidemiology	10	
2.2.2 Pathogenicity and Epidemiology2.3 Biofilm Formation of <i>S. epidermidis</i>		
2.3.1 Virulence Factors of Biofilm Formation	11	
2.3.1.1 Autolysin (Atl)	11	
2.3.1.2 Extracellular DNA (eDNA)	11	
2.3.1.3 Accumulation Associated Protein (Aap)	12	

		2.3.1.4 Biofilm-Homolog Protein	13
		2.3.1.5 Extracellular Matrix-Binding Protein (Embp)	13
		2.3.1.6 Serine-Aspartate Dipeptide Repeat (Sdr)	14
		2.3.1.7 Lipase-Binding Protein	14
		2.3.1.8 Intercellular Adhesin (ica) Operon	15
		2.3.1.9 Capsular Polysaccharide (cap) Operon	17
		2.3.1.10Teichoic Acid (TA)	17
		2.3.1.11Quorum Sensing (QS)	18
	2.3.2	Stages of Biofilm Formation	21
		2.3.1.1 Cell Adherence to a Surface	21
		2.3.2.2 Cell Accumulation	22
		2.3.2.3 Biofilm Maturation	25
		2.3.2.4 Biofilm Dispersal	25
	2.3.3	The Phenotypes of Biofilm	26
2.4	Resist	ance of Biofilm towards Antimicrobial Agents	28
	2.4.1	The Mechanisms of Biofilm Leading to Antimicrobial Resistance	29
		2.4.1.1 Failure of Antimicrobial Agents to Fully Diffuse into the	
		Biofilm	29
		2.4.1.2 Environmental Stress and Heterogeneity	30
		2.4.1.3 Persister Cells	30
		2.4.1.4 Biofilm-Specific Phenotype	31
		2.4.1.5 Gene Transfer	31
	2.4.2	Antibiotic Resistance Genes	32
2.5	Micro	bial Glycobiology	37
	2.5.1	Types of Glycosylation	37
	2.5.2	Occurrence of Glycosylation	40
	2.5.3	Function of Glycosylation	41
	2.5.4	Biosynthesis of Glycosylation	43
	2.5.5	Prediction of Prokaryotic Glycosite	45
	2.5.6	Glycoproteomics	46
	2.5.7	Proteomics Analysis of S. epidermidis	47