# **UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

# BIOSORPTION OF HEAVY METALS BY BIOSORBENT MIXTURE OF MANGROVE BARK AND OIL PALM MESOCARP FIBER: KINETICS AND ISOTHERM STUDIES

## NICODEMUS UJIH ANAK MICHAEL BRENDEN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science** 

**Faculty of Applied Science** 

May 2019

#### ABSTRACT

The existence of toxic metals in water supply has become a severe issue and created awareness amongst researchers in order to find the significant methods for the removal of toxic metals. This is due to the characteristics of heavy metals that can accumulate in living tissues and cannot be degradable. Currently, people are using traditional method in removing heavy metals; however, this method has the disadvantages in term of cost and not eco-friendliness compared to biomass. In this study, oil palm mesocarp fibre (OPMF) (*Elaeis guineensis sp.*) and mangrove bark (MB) (Rhizophora apiculate sp.) were used as biosorbent. Both MB and OPMF were chemically treated using 37% Formaldehyde and NaOH, and HNO3 to ensure the better biosorption efficiency. These biomasess were mixed to form the biosorbent mixture (BM) to enhance the biosorption performance towards Ni (II), Pb (II), Cr (III) and Cu (II) metal ions. Four parameters were applied to investigate the biosorption performance and analysed using the Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (FAAS), i.e. reaction time, ratio/dosage, initial concentration and initial pH values. Physical and chemical characterisation of biosorbents were analysed using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) and Scanning Electron Microscopy-Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM-EDX). From the FAAS results, it was shown that, except Ni (II) (87%), the biosorption efficiency of other metals is more than 90%. This was very much higher than efficiency shwn by single biosorbents (OPMF and MB), which recorded an efficiency of less than 83% for all metals. The FTIR results for both biosorbents showed several important functional groups namely hydroxyl, carbonyl, alkyl, phenolic, aromatic and carboxylic groups. In addition, SEM image of MB and OPMF showed unoccupied pores that act as active sites before the biosorption process but fully occupied after the biosorption process. Besides, EDX analysis also proved that both biosorbent have successfully adsorbed the intended heavy metals. The study found that the biosorption performance of BM is higher than single biosorbent. Five kinetic models were used to investigate the kinetic mechanisms namely pseudo-first-order and second-order. Bangham's theory, intra-particle diffusion and Elovich equation. The isotherm models viz. Langmuir, Freundlich, Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) and Temkin Isotherm exercised to analyse the equilibrium biosorption data. Overall, pseudosecond-order discovered to act as biosorption kinetics for all types of heavy metals. Whereas the equilibrium biosorption data of Pb (II) and Cr (III) was followed Temkin isotherm, and Ni (II) and Cu (II) supported by Freundlich isotherm.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of the Father, Son and the Holy Spirit. Amen.

Praise and Glory to God the Most Merciful and Gracious, for leading me His strength, wisdom, patient, guidance and knowledge in completing this Master Degree. Thank you Jesus for always be by my side in up and down of my life, and also Your blessing to me which more than I deserved.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere thanks to my supportive supervisor, Dr. Mohammad Isa Mohamadin for his kindness, supports and encouragement throughout 3 years of learning process and in completing this dissertation.

Special dedication to Dr. Helena (Not real name) ex-English Lecturer of UiTM Sarawak, Campus Samarahan 1 whose ask not to reveal her real name in acknowledgement. I would like to give my big appreciation to her for her willingness volunteer to proofread my dissertation without asking for the payment.

I would also like to express my gratitude to all my loving and kindness friends, lecturers and UiTM Staffs that helping directly or indirectly in completing this research study. All your contribution will not be forgotten. Special acknowledgement to my friends Mohd Hidayat and Ramlah Rashid for sacrifice their precious time in helping me analyse my samples at UiTM Shah Alam.

Last but not least, this dissertation likewise dedicated to my loving parents, for their endless support, guidance and advice throughout this journey; without them, this journey would hardly to be accomplished. For my wonderful siblings, Marcus, Moses, Bernadette and Marian that always concerned and supported in term of financial in accomplishing my study. Not forgotten, to my lovely Sharwena Kristy for understanding during my busiest time and keep encourage me to finish the road that I have taken.

"I can do all things through Christ who strength me" Philippians 4:13

#### NICODEMUS UJIH ANAK MICHAEL BRENDEN

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
CON	ii	
AUT	111	
ABS	iv	
ACK	V	
TAB	vi	
LIST	ix	
LIST	xi	
LIST	XV	
CHA	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of Study	1
	1.1.1 Source of Heavy Metals Pollution	1
	1.1.2 Effect of Heavy Metals Pollution	2
	1.1.3 Biosorbent	3
	1.1.4 Kinetics and Isotherm Studies	6
1.2	Problem Statement	7
1.3	Scope of Study	8
1.4	Objective of Study	8
СНА	APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Heavy Metals	9
	2.1.1 Biosorption Competition	12
2.2	Biosorbent	13
	2.2.1 Mangrove Bark	18
	2.2.2 Oil Palm Mesocarp Fibre (OPMF)	22

	2.2.3	Biosorbent Mixture(BM)	26
2.3	Biosorption/Mechanism		
	2.3.1	Biosorption of Isotherm Study	30
		2.3.1.1 Langmuir Isotherm Model	30
		2.3.1.2 Freundlich Isotherm Model	31

2.3.1.3 Temkin Isotherm Model 32

		2.3.1.4 Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) Isotherm Models	32
	2.3.2	Biosorption of Kinetics Study	33
		2.3.2.1 Pseudo-First-Order Models	33
		2.3.2.2 Pseudo-Second Order Models	34
		2.3.2.3 Elovich Theory Model	34
		2.3.2.4 Intra-particle Diffusion Model	35
		2.3.2.5 Pore Diffusion Model (Bangham's Theory)	36
2.4	Treatr	nent of Biosorbent	36

### **CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY**

Chemicals, Materials and Reagents			
3.1.1	Raw Material	38	
3.1.2	Chemicals and Reagents	38	
3.1.3	Preparation of a heavy metal standard solution	38	
Instruments			
3.2.1	Characterization of Biosorbent using FTIR and		
	SEM-EDX	39	
	3.2.1.1 Functional Groups Analysis using Fourier		
	Transform Infrared (FTIR)	39	
	3.2.1.2 Surface Area Analysis using Scanning		
	Electron Microscopy (SEM)	39	
	3.2.1.3 Elemental Composition Analysis using		
	Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX)	39	
3.2.2	Heavy Metals Solution Analyzing using Flame Atomic		
	Absorption Spectroscopy (FAAS)	40	
Preparation and Characterization of Biosorbent			
3.3.1	Chemical treatment of mangrove bark (MB)	40	
3.3.2	Chemical treatment of oil palm mesocarp fibre		
	(OPMF)	41	
Biosorption Study of Heavy Metals			
3.4.1	Biosorption Capacity of Dosage as Parameter	41	
3.4.2	Biosorption Capacity of Dosage Ratio as Parameter	42	
3.4.3	Biosorption Capacity of the Initial pH value as		
	Parameter	42	
	Chem 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 Instrut 3.2.1 3.2.1 3.2.2 Prepar 3.3.1 3.3.2 Biosof 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3	Chemicals, Materials and Reagents 3.1.1 Raw Material 3.1.2 Chemicals and Reagents 3.1.3 Preparation of a heavy metal standard solution Instruments 3.2.1 Characterization of Biosorbent using FTIR and SEM-EDX 3.2.1.1 Functional Groups Analysis using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) 3.2.1.2 Surface Area Analysis using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) 3.2.1.3 Elemental Composition Analysis using Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) 3.2.2 Heavy Metals Solution Analyzing using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (FAAS) Preparation and Characterization of Biosorbent 3.3.1 Chemical treatment of mangrove bark (MB) 3.3.2 Chemical treatment of oil palm mesocarp fibre (OPMF) Biosorption Study of Heavy Metals 3.4.1 Biosorption Capacity of Dosage as Parameter 3.4.3 Biosorption Capacity of the Initial pH value as Parameter	