# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# LIGHT INTENSITY AND MARKET WASTE COMPOST EFFECTS ON THE GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Wall. ex Nees PLANTED IN POLYBAG AND FIELD

### **HENDRYNUS GUNDADON**

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science** 

**Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology** 

April 2019

### **ABSTRACT**

In the modern world today, the demand for traditional herbs which are free from agrochemicals as medicines is high. This study focused on evaluating the growth, yield and active compound of Andrographis paniculata (hempedu bumi), which is well known as a traditional herb and commonly used by the village folk to treat various diseases. A total of two experiments were carried out, each was based on a split plot design. The first experiment was on the crop production using polybags in the greenhouse while the second one was repeated as a field experiment. Seedlings of six to seven weeks from seed sowing were transplanted to the polybags or field beds for experimentations. In each experiment, 100% relative light intensity (RLI) and 50% RLI achieved with commercial 50% shade netting were assigned as the main plots and fertilization treatments were the sub plots within each RLI plot. Compost prepared from market wastes of fresh fish refuse of gills and internal organs, dumped or rotting brassica vegetables and expired or dumped bread was tested on the plants at 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 g per plant, and compared with 5 g of NPK green per plant. Each treatment was replicated five and four times for polybag and field experiments, respectively. Planting distance between plants was 30 cm. Plants were harvested at eight and twelve weeks after transplanting (8 WAT and 12 WAT), respectively. Results showed that the plants grown at 100% RLI had better branching, leaf development, stem diameter, biomass and leaf andrographolide content while plant height, leaf area, chlorophyll and carotenoid content were significantly higher in the plants raised under 50% RLI in both polybag and field experiments at 8 WAT and 12 WAT. In combination with 100% RLI, application of 100 g market waste compost was generally the best for enhancing the growth, biomass and active compound in this medicinal herb, even compared with nitrophoska green (15:15:15). Plants were recommended to be harvested at 12 WAT when flowering had just started. Field cultivation of this medicinal plant was better in terms of yield and andrographolide content in comparison with polybag plants. This study also showed that it was viable in terms of biomass and active compound content to cultivate A. paniculata without inorganic fertilization. It is hoped that this research work can also contribute to greater height in organic farming, especially in medicinal plant production.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank God for giving me the strength, health, courage, opportunity, and everything that I needed during the journey in completing this Masters of Science thesis.

My deepest and sincere gratitude is conveyed to my supervisor, Dr. Tsan Fui Ying, for her endless support, patience, guidance and idea in assisting me along this journey until the completion of this research work. I would also like to thank my cosupervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Phebe Ding and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choo Chee Yan, for giving me the opportunity, direction and guidance and for sharing their knowledge related to my research work.

I would like to thank the staff of Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology, Universiti Teknologi MARA, for their assistance and guidance, as well as providing the facility and information throughout my experimentation period in the field and laboratory.

Finally, I would like to express my very profound gratitude to my wife, Emmelly Imbuh Ngilah, my parents, and all my family members for their endless moral and financial support, as well as encouragement, throughout my years of study, the process of experimentation and writing of this thesis.

Last but not least, special thanks are sent to my friends and those who have directly or indirectly helped me in completing my study.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |                               |                         | Page |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS                            |                               |                         | ii   |
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION  |                               |                         | iii  |
| ABSTRACT  |                               |                         | iv   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT   |                               |                         | v    |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS   |                               |                         | vi   |
| LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF PLATES LIST OF SYMBOLS |                               |                         | ix   |
|   |                               |                         | xi   |
|   |                               |                         | xvii |
|   |                               |                         | vi   |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS   |                               |                         | XX   |
| LIST OF NOMENCLATURES   |                               |                         | xxi  |
|   |                               |                         |      |
| CH  | CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION     |                         |      |
| 1.1   | Backgr                        | round of Plant          | 1    |
| 1.2   | Organi                        | ic Farming              | 3    |
| 1.3   | Proble                        | m Statement             | 4    |
| 1.4   | Object                        | ive of Study            | 5    |
| 1.5   | Resear                        | rch Question            | 5    |
| 1.6   | Scope                         | of Study                | 6    |
| 1.7   | Limita                        | tion of Study           | 6    |
| 1.8   | Signifi                       | cance of Study          | 6    |
| СП  | A DTED                        | TWO. I ITEDATIDE DEVIEW | 8    |
| 2.1   | HAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW |                         | 8    |
|   | 1                             |                         | 11   |
| 2.2   | 1                             |                         |      |
| 2.3   |                               |                         | 13   |
| 2.4   |                               | •                       | 15   |
|   | 2.4.1                         | Organic Farming         | 16   |
|   | 2.4.2                         | Organic Fertilizer      | 20   |

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND OF PLANT

Malaysia is a country with tropical climate. Its average temperature is 27 °C and average rainfall is 2,500 mm annually. Malaysia also receives adequate sunshine throughout the year for plant growth. Such climatic conditions allow many plant species to thrive in the forests or even in the bushes. According to Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (2014), there are approximately 15,000 flowering plants throughout Peninsular Malaysia. About 2,000 of these plants have medicinal and therapeutic substances that can promote human health. Research has been done on some of these medicinal plants, followed by promotion of their herbal products. Some products have even fetched high market values as there is high demand for the products.

Medicinal and aromatic plants are reported to be currently used by high percentage of world population for their medicinal and therapeutic effects (WHO, 2002; Ekor, 2014; Martins and Brijesh, 2018). Before modern civilization, medicinal plants or herbs were main sources for medicines to treat the diseases and illness. In modern days now, people living in villages are still depending on wild herbs as their medicinal sources to treat or cure the sick folk as these rural or undeveloped areas have difficult access to modern medical centres. Villagers are dependent on the wild herbs that can be obtained from the jungles or bushes around their villages. In some cases, local people collect the wild herbs to sell in the market as their source of income (Jamal, 2006; Ong et al., 2011). Due to economic values, some medicinal herbs such *Eurycoma longifolia* or commonly known as Tongkat Ali, *Orthosiphon stamineus* or Misai Kucing by its local name, *Andrographis paniculata* with local name of Hempedu Bumi, *Labisia pumila* with common name of Kacip Fatimah, and many more, have been planted by the farmers recently as they have high values and demands in local markets.

Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Wall. ex Nees is one of the widely recognised valuable traditional herbs that has received a big interest in local farming context. It is one of the nineteen species of the Andrographis genus which is indigenous to India (Bhattacharya et al., 2012). This medicinal plant is an active constituent in many