UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

PERFORMANCE INDEX AS A MONITORING AID TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE URBAN TRANSPORT

MUHAMMAD SYAZWAN BIN BAHARUM NASIR

Thesis submitted in fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science
(Highway and Transportation Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering

June 2018

ABSTRACT

Currently, Malaysia's urban public transport service is facing difficulties in meeting users' demands; traffic congestion is increasing as it hampers urban mobility and causes a major challenge to achieve a sustainable development. There are problems with public transport services, especially the urban bus system that is frequently associated with the weakness in accessibility and low user satisfaction. This study aims to develop bus service performance index as a benchmark by using a multi-method approach. The data were gathered by a user satisfaction survey using a structured questionnaire with observations on frequencies, accessibilities, characteristics of the services provided, an open interview and review of ridership figures. A total of 573 samples from four selected routes designated as benchmarks were collected in the Klang Valley and Penang areas and classified by the relative loading of all dimensions using factor analysis. The discrepancy or gap between a user's perceptions and expectations was generated as an index of service quality that should be used as a priority indicator for improvement. Accessibility level is measured based on the points of interest for service access that is focused on the high ridership density of the particular location. The results of the study indicated that Reliability, Safety, Physical Facilities and Understanding are the four factors found in the selected routes. In particular, Reliability is the highest priority factors requiring improvement. The average index for the overall performance evaluation shows an index value of between -5 and 0, which is very bad and moderate score respecting an accessibility-assessment study found that the frequency of trips greatly affects the accessibility index. Consequencely, the performance index technique is hoped to provide a best practice method for measuring, monitoring and planning urban bus service for operators, authorities and policymakers. Besides that, service quality parameters as generated in the web page (www.mytrans.my) can be a good reference or base lines for all public transport stakeholders. It is expected to provide support in establishing baselines, identifying trends, predicting problems, assessing options and setting performance targets. Sustainable transport indicators as addressed in this study are part of the government's National Key Result Area (NKRA) in improving urban public transport.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, all praises to Allah S.W.T., for granting knowledge, strength and health for me to strive in this study. Infinite thanks to my supervisor Dr. Suria Haron and Dr. Masria Mustafa for their guidance in terms of ideas, encouragement, invaluable assistance, inspiration and tolerance in completing this thesis. Thanks to the government for permitting me Mybrain15 scholarship scheme that financially supports me. I am very grateful for being given the opportunity to be with Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) and to be a part of the postgraduate program.

Special thanks to the late Ms. Fanim, Mr. Ahmad Saife, and Ms. Shalida Hasan from SPAD headquarters KL, for giving the secondary data and understanding related to this study. Appreciation is also granted to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Hilmy Abdul Hamid, Dr. Jezan Md Diah, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Juraidah Ahmad and Prof. Dr. Ahmad Farhan Mohd Sadullah, I owe a great deal of thankfulness for giving the knowledge and explanation regarding the study in this field. Their lessons and outstanding knowledge shared brought me in completing my study. Also, thanks to my comrades for their sincere help in assisting me in field works with their precious time and energy.

Last but not least to my family, especially to parents and for giving me love and moral support during good times and bad times. Their sacrifices and understanding provide me courage upon finishing my thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | Page |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| CON | NFIRMA | ii | |
| AUT | 'HOR'S | iii | |
| ABS | TRACT | iiv | |
| ACK | KNOWL | v | |
| TAB | BLE OF | vi | |
| LIST | Γ OF TA | X | |
| LIST | r of fi | xiii | |
| LIST | Г OF PL | xiv | |
| LIST | Г OF AF | XV | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | | | 1 |
| 1.1 | Backgr | round | 1 |
| 1.2 | Probler | m Statements | 4 |
| 1.3 | Resear | 6 | |
| 1.4 | Objecti | ives | 6 |
| 1.5 | Scope of Study | | 7 |
| 1.6 | Signifi | cance Of The Study | 10 |
| 1.7 | Thesis | Organisation | 11 |
| CH | APTER | TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 12 |
| 2.1 | Overview | | 12 |
| 2.2 | Past and Current Issues | | 14 |
| | 2.2.1 | History and Issues | 14 |
| | 2.2.2 | Urban Buses in Malaysia | 14 |

| | 2.2.3 | International Public Transportation | 17 | | |
|------|--|---|----|--|--|
| | 2.2.4 | Economic Vibrant | 18 | | |
| | 2.2.5 | Heritage and Tourism | 19 | | |
| 2.3 | Service | Service Quality Surveys | | | |
| 2.4 | Service | ervice Performance Measure | | | |
| 2.5 | Ridersh | ip | 22 | | |
| 2.6 | Enhancement of Malaysia's Urban Bus System | | | | |
| 2.7 | Sustainable Transportation | | | | |
| 2.8 | Service Performance | | | | |
| 2.9 | The Preferred Mode Choice | | | | |
| 2.10 | Proceed | | | | |
| 2.11 | Traffic (| Capacity and Quality Service Manual (TCQSM) | 32 | | |
| 2.12 | Public T | Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL) | 34 | | |
| 2.20 | The Per | formance Level Benchmarks | 46 | | |
| 2.21 | Optimisation of Information Technology | | 47 | | |
| 2.22 | Summa | ry | 48 | | |
| | | | | | |
| CHA | APTER 7 | THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 49 | | |
| 3.1 | Introduction | | 49 | | |
| 3.2 | Overview of Research Approach | | 51 | | |
| 3.3 | Survey Location | | | | |
| | Data Collection and Sampling | | | | |
| | 3.4.1 | Data collection procedure | 54 | | |
| | 3.4.2 | Primary Data | 55 | | |
| | 3.4.3 | Secondary data | 57 | | |
| | 3.4.4 | Sampling Criteria | 58 | | |
| | 3.4.5 | Sampling Frame | 58 | | |
| 3.5 | Measuring Service Quality | | | | |
| | 3.5.1 | Quantitative Measure | 60 | | |