THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORK CHARACTERISTICS AND PSYCHOLOGICAL WORK REACTIONS IN MANUFACTURING COMPANY IN MALAYSIA

Prepared for: EN. MOHD ZULKIFLI BIN ABDULLAH

Prepared by: KHAIRUNNISA BINTI MOHAMMAD HATTA NOOR AISYA BINTI RAZALI BACHELOR IN OFFICE SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT (HONS)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA (UiTM) FACULTY OF OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND TECHNOLOGY

NOVEMBER 2009

ABSTRACT

Burnout and intrinsic motivation often viewed as negative emotional reaction of the individual to work related stress. Each worker has the possibility to face with those elements at their workplace. Therefore, this correlation research design had focused on the relationship between the element of work characteristics and psychological work reactions in manufacturing company at Malaysia, which are ALPS. The element of the work characteristics includes workload, social support, authority and working condition and the element for psychological work reactions are burnout and motivation. The total of 129 questionnaires was received from a total of 140 sent out and this is equivalent to 92.14% of the response rate. Mean value for burnout is 3.31 and motivation is 3.21. Meanwhile, mean value for work characteristics are workload (3.35), authority (3.48), working condition (3.054) and social support (3.53). From the findings, the respondents do not agreed that they faced with the problem of job burnout and intrinsic motivation at their workplace. Based on the study it also showed that workload contributed to the problem but there was a weak relationship. Therefore, gender did not give any relationship and differences on the level of reaction. The recommendation also stated to bring the company toward development.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | iv |
| LIST OF FIGURES | V |
| CHAPTER 1 | |
| INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem | 4 |
| 1.3 Research Objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 Research Questions | 5 |
| 1.5 Hypothesis | |
| 1.6 Significance of the Study | |
| 1.7 Limitations of the Study | |
| 1.8 Definition of Terms | 7 |
| CHAPTER 2 | |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Research Framework | 20 |
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 Research Design | |
| 3.2 Population | |
| 3.3 Sampling Technique | |
| 3.4 Sample Size | |
| 3.5 Unit of Analysis | |
| 3.6 Data Collection Procedures | |
| 3.7 Instrument | |
| 3.8 Validity of Instrument | |
| 3.9 Data Analysis | 25 |
| CHAPTER 4 | |
| FINDINGS | |
| 4.1 Response Rate | 26 |
| 4.2 Demographic Background of the Respondents | |
| 4.3 Dimension of Work Characteristics | |
| 4.3.1 Workload | |
| 4.3.2 Working Condition | |
| 4.3.3 Social Support | |
| 4.3.4 Authority | |
| 4.4 Dimension of Psychological Work Reactions | |
| 4.4.1 Burnout | |
| 4.42 Motivation | 32 |

| 4 | 4.5 Research Question I | |
|----|--|----|
| 4 | 4.6 Research Question 2 | |
| 4 | 7.7 Research Question 3 | 38 |
| 4 | .8 Research Question 1 | 40 |
| | HAPTER 5 | |
| | ONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| 5 | 5.1 Demographic Data | 42 |
| 5. | .2 Conclusion and Research Question | 43 |
| 5. | 3.3 Recommendations | 45 |
| | .4 Recommendations for Future Research | |
| RE | FERENCES | 48 |
| AP | PENDICES | |
| A | Cover Letter | |
| В | Questionnaire | |
| C | Data Analysis | |
| | | |

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

| 4.2 Demographic Background of the Respondents | 27 |
|---|----|
| 4.3 Dimension of Work Characteristics | 29 |
| 4.4 Dimension of Psychological Work Reactions | 31 |
| 4.5 Research Question 1 | |
| 4.6 Research Question 2 | |
| 4.7 Research Question 3 | |
| 4.8 Research Question 4 | |
| | |