UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

THE EFFECTS OF PROLONGED SIMULATED SOCCER MATCH-PLAY ON DOMINANT AND NON-DOMINANT KNEE AND HIP EXTENSION ANGLES DURING SIDE-CUTTING MANEUVERS

MUHAMMAD BIN HAMDAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science** (Sports Science)

Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation

November 2017

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the changes of the sagittal plane knee and hip extension angles following prolonged exertion from extra time during overground simulated soccer match-play using a two dimensional motion analysis system. In the first and second part of this study, a comparison of motion analysis system was compared for criterion validity by testing them inter-software, inter- and intra- tester using two-way random effects, single measures intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC_{2.1}). All, ICC_{2.1} values for the knees and hips showed close to perfect scores thus supporting the utility of a two dimensional motion analysis system using an open-source, free software. In the third part of this study, the effects of a 90 minutes simulated match-play simulation on knee and hip kinematic was investigated. Sixteen (n = 16) male recreational players consented to this study and were required to complete a 90 minutes of simulated soccer match-play. Knee and hip angles were measured at initial contact during 45° anticipated side-cutting tasks performed prior to the simulation (time 0 min), at the end of the first half (time 45 min) prior to the second half (time 60 min), and at the end of the second half (time 105 min). A two (group: dominant, non-dominant) \times four (time: 0 min, 45 min, 60 min, 105 min) Split-plots ANOVA revealed that the hip and knee are observed to be more extended at the beginning of the second half (p < 0.05) with no difference across limb dominance (p > 0.05). In the fourth part of this study, the effects of a 120 minutes simulated match-play simulation on knee and hip kinematic was investigated Eighteen (n = 18) male recreational players consented to this study and were required to complete a 120 minutes of simulated soccer match-play. Knee and hip angles were measured at initial contact during 45° anticipated side-cutting tasks performed prior at time 0 min, 45 min, 60 min, 105 min, immediately before extra time commences (time 110 min), in between two extra time halves, (time 125 min), and at the end of the soccer match simulation (time 140 min). A two (group: dominant, non-dominant) \times seven (time: 0 min, 45 min, 60 min, 105 min, 110 min, 125 min and 140 min) Split-plots ANOVA was utilized. Results revealed that both knee and hip extension angles were significantly altered over time (p < 0.05), however no significant differences were observed between dominant and non-dominant sides (p > 0.05). Pairwise comparisons indicated that the knee appears to be more extended post-extra time exertion (time 125) min, time 140 min) and the hip is more extended post-exertion (time 60 min, time 105 min, time 125 min, time 140 min), compared to pre-exertion conditions (time 0 min). The more erect knee and hip landing postures observed suggested a greater risk of ACL injury during the latter stage of each halves of match-play, supporting epidemiological observations. However, unlike the existing consensus, findings from these studies suggest that the non-dominant limb is as likely to be suffer non-traumatic ACL injury as the dominant limb. Further interrogations of the kinematic differences in the knees and hips across limb dominance are warranted for a more comprehensive understanding of the changes in a multiplanar perspective following soccer specific exertion.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This master's thesis would not have been achievable without the kind assistance of numerous people, both in my academic and personal life, whom I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude. I would firstly like to thank both my supervisors for the support, guidance and of course, confidence, they have shown in my work. To Dr Raja Mohammed Firhad who has been a magnificent mentor, for providing me with endless opportunities, experiences and giving me valuable, constructive feedbacks along the way, and to Dr Hashbullah not only for sharing his research ideas and insight, but for his continued encouragement and counsel.

My thanks to all the lecturers and staffs in the Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA as well as the Kolej Meranti administration and the friends I have made along the way for their superb assistance and cooperation with facilities and for continuously bringing out the best within myself. I never doubted that I am learning from the best!

A special mention also to all postgraduate colleagues in the faculty, for their fruitful insights, supporting and encouraging environment to continue working on this thesis. Also for always reminding me to head to the gym and the tennis courts to maintain an active and healthy lifestyle despite my studies. To Zaharul, Hisyam, and Noorfatihah, thank you for all the coffee talks, jokes and laughter with me, or even at myself, reminding me that if we cannot laugh at ourselves, others will definitely do it for us. To Saufi and Amirah thank you for always inspiring me to be work diligently with patience, and to be gentle, kind and never stop smiling. Not forgetting all the undergraduate students who gave unwavering support for me to continue and excel in my studies.

Most of all I would like to thank my family without whom none of this would have even been possible. To my loving parents, Hamdan Hassan and **second second** for giving me someone to look up to and inspiring me to work harder and always try to better myself, my eternal gratitude to them for their continued faith in me, endless support and absolute love. The knowledge that you are always there to pick up the pieces is what allows me to strive to achieve amidst risk of getting shattered. In addition, to my siblings, for all the tantrums, mischiefs, fun stories and adventures around the house to look forward to every time I come home, no matter how bad a day could go.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Purpose of Study	4
1.4 Study Objectives	4
1.5 Operational Definitions	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Prevalence of Non-Traumatic ACL Injuries in Soccer	6
2.3 The Role of Limb Dominance in Non-Traumatic ACL Injury	7
2.4 The Non-Traumatic ACL Injury Mechanism in Soccer	8
2.5 Non-Traumatic ACL Markers of Injury Risk	9
2.5.1 Biomechanical Markers of Non-Traumatic ACL Injury Risk	9
2.5.2 Exertion and Fatigue as a Marker of Non-Traumatic ACL	
Injury Risk	12

2.6 Reproducing the Demands of Soccer Match-Play in a Laboratory	
Setting	13
2.7 The Effects of Simulated Soccer Match-Play Exertions on	
Biomechanical Indicators of ACL Injury Risk	18
2.8 Injury Risk Assessment Methods of Biomechanical Markers of	
Non-Traumatic ACL Injury	21
2.8.1 Current Practices in Biomechanical Injury Risk Assessment	21
2.8.2 Three-Dimensional (3D) Versus Two-Dimensional (2D)	
Motion Analysis in Injury Risk Assessment	26
2.8.3 Reproducibility of a 2D Biomechanical Injury Risk	
Assessment	27
2.9 Summary	29
TEMPLO IN KNEE AND HID KINEMATICS ASSESSMENT	
DUBING SIDE CUTTING TASKS?	30
DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS?	30 30
DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction	30 30
DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods	30 30 31
JURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants	30 30 31 31
JURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design	30 30 31 31 31
JURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks	30 30 31 31 31 31 32
 DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks 3.2.4 Data Collection 	30 30 31 31 31 32 32
 JURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks 3.2.4 Data Collection 3.2.5 Biomechanical Model 	 30 30 31 31 31 32 32 33
 JURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks 3.2.4 Data Collection 3.2.5 Biomechanical Model 3.2.6 Data and Statistical Analysis 	 30 31 31 31 32 32 33 34
 DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks 3.2.4 Data Collection 3.2.5 Biomechanical Model 3.2.6 Data and Statistical Analysis 3.3 Results 	 30 31 31 31 32 32 33 34 35
 DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks 3.2.4 Data Collection 3.2.5 Biomechanical Model 3.2.6 Data and Statistical Analysis 3.3 Results 3.31 Descriptive Data 	30 30 31 31 31 32 32 33 34 35 35
 DURING SIDE CUTTING TASKS? 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Methods 3.2.1 Participants 3.2.2 Experimental Design 3.2.3 Side Cutting Tasks 3.2.4 Data Collection 3.2.5 Biomechanical Model 3.2.6 Data and Statistical Analysis 3.3 Results 3.31 Descriptive Data 3.3.2 Testing for Normality 	 30 31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 34 35 35 36