UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION IN MALAYSIA: THE SHADE OF SECRECY

MUHAMMAD IZWAN BIN IKHSAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Laws

Faculty of Law

August 2016

ABSTRACT

The notion of freedom of information (FOI) as a universally desired human right is not new. International and regional human rights instruments have recognised the right and many countries all around the globe have FOI laws at the national level. However, after more than half of century of its independence, Malaysia has no specific federal legislation on FOI. Lately, a mounting demand has been made for more openness and transparency in the government sector. This study therefore intends to provide a foundation for FOI law in Malaysia by analysing the approach taken in the United Kingdom and other selected jurisdictions and their compatibility with the existing Malaysian legal framework. Doctrinal and comparative approaches are used in this research. Legal hurdles faced by FOI in Malaysia were studied and suggestions to improve the legal system to facilitate the incorporation of FOI in Malaysia were also provided. Among others, this study suggests that the constitutional provisions, laws regulating access to information and official secrecy and other laws which restrict access to government information should be amended to bring them in line with the notion of FOI. Apart from that, the practical implementation of the law also requires establishment of institution to manage information request and appeal procedures. It is hoped that the findings of this research can contribute to the improvement of right to access information in Malaysia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah S.W.T. the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful for showering me with strength and blessing that enables the thesis to be completed in timely manner. I wish to express my highest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Emeritus Datuk Dr. Shad Saleem Faruqi for his guidance and continuous support throughout the process of writing this research until its completion. His constructive comments and invaluable help have greatly contributed to the research.

Besides, I would like to thank the Dean of the Faculty of Law, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Haidar Dziyauddin, the Deputy Dean (Research and Industrial Linkages), Dr. Nuraisyah Chua Abdullah and the Head of the Postgraduate Programme, Dr. Sheela Jayabalan for their support in my postgraduate affairs. Besides, I would like to express my gratitude to Puan Norila Abu Hassan for appointing me as her research assistant for a study under a grant funded by the Malaysia Law Reform Committee. My engagement as the research assistant has taught me a lot about legal research.

Furthermore, I would like to give my special gratitude to my parents, Ikhsan Sirat and for their unyielding support which gives me emotional support and encouragement to complete this research and my family members who stay by my side. In addition to that, I would like to thank the Ministry of Education, Malaysia for funding my study under the MYMASTER MYBRAIN 15 that assisted me financially. I am also indebted to my friends, Ahmad Afiq Hassan and Muhammad Muaz Abdul Hakim who have helped me a lot during the course of my study. Last but not least, I would like to thank everyone who has contributed to this research either directly or indirectly. Thank you very much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------------|---|--------|
| CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS | | ii | | | |
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | | iii | | | |
| ABSTRACT ACKNOWLEDGMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF CASES | | iv v vi xiv | | | |
| | | | LIST | OF LEGISLATIONS | xxiii |
| | | | CHAI 1.1 | PTER ONE: THE OUTLINE OF THE STUDY The Theme of the Study | 1 1 |
| | | | 1.2 | Problem Statement and Identification | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 5 | | | |
| 1.4 | Scope and Limitation of the Study | 5 | | | |
| 1.5 | Significance of Study | 6 | | | |
| 1.6 | Research Methodology | 6 | | | |
| | 1.6.1 Doctrinal Study | 6 | | | |
| | 1.6.2 Comparative Analysis | 7 | | | |
| 1.7 | Conclusion | 9 | | | |
| | PTER TWO: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION: DRAWING | 10 | | | |
| | THEORETICAL SCAFFOLD | | | | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 10 | | | |
| 2.2 | Freedom of Information (FOI) as a Human Right | 10 | | | |
| | 2.2.1 Universality | 10 | | | |
| | 2.2.2 Essentiality and Necessity | 12 | | | |
| | 2.2.3 Dynamism | 14 | | | |
| | 2.2.4 Limitation on State's Power | 16 | | | |

| 2.3 | Characterising Freedom of Information | 18 |
|-----|--|----|
| | 2.3.1 Definitions | 18 |
| | 2.3.2 Justifications for FOI | 19 |
| | 2.3.3 Public Right of Access | 23 |
| | 2.3.4 The Principle of Maximum Disclosure | 24 |
| | 2.3.5 Obligation to Publish | 26 |
| | 2.3.6 Promotion of Open Government | 27 |
| | 2.3.7 Limited Scope of Exemptions | 27 |
| | 2.3.8 Processes to Facilitate Access | 28 |
| | 2.3.9 Costs | 29 |
| | 2.3.10 Open Meetings | 31 |
| | 2.3.11 Disclosure Takes Precedence | 33 |
| | 2.3.12 Whistle-Blower's Protection | 34 |
| 2.4 | FOI and Conflicting Interests | 36 |
| | 2.4.1 National Security, Defence and International Relations | 37 |
| | 2.4.2 Public Order and Morality | 39 |
| | 2.4.3 Individual Privacy | 41 |
| | 2.4.4 Commercial Interests | 42 |
| | 2.4.5 Confidence | 44 |
| | 2.4.6 Privileges | 45 |
| 2.5 | The Nexus between FOI, Free Speech, Press Freedom and | 50 |
| | Internet Freedom | |
| | 2.5.1 Freedom of Speech | 50 |
| | 2.5.2 Freedom of Expression | 52 |
| | 2.5.3 Freedom of Press | 53 |
| | 2.5.4 Internet Freedom | 57 |
| 2.6 | FOI and International Acceptance | 62 |
| | 2.6.1 Universal Declaration of Human Rights | 62 |
| | 2.6.2 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 65 |
| | 2.6.3 European Convention on Human Rights | 69 |
| | 2.6.4 ASEAN Charter and Human Rights Declaration | 71 |