

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**THE INFLUENCE OF CERAMIC
VENEERING TECHNIQUE AND
COPING-VENEER RATIO ON
FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF
IMPLANT RETAINED ZIRCONIA
AND METAL-CERAMIC CROWNS**

FAHIM AHMED VOHRA

Thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Dentistry

October 2019

ABSTRACT

Zirconia (Zr) restorations are one of the exceptional innovations in modern dentistry. Although Zr demonstrates good stability as a framework material, veneer fracture and chipping has emerged as a common limitation for Zr ceramic crowns (ZCC) in function. The purpose of this study is to investigate the influence of veneering technique and veneer-coping (V/C) thickness and ratio on the fracture toughness of implant retained zirconia ceramic crowns (ZCC) and metal ceramic crowns (MCC). In study A, 40 zirconia and metal copings were divided into 2 groups of 0.5mm and 1mm coping thickness (n=20). Half of the 0.5mm and 1mm copings (ZCC & MCC) were veneered with an overall thickness of 3mm and 4mm respectively. Half of all copings (ZCC & MCC) were veneered using layering (LR) and the remaining half using hot press (HR) method (n=10). In study B, seventy copings each of metal and zirconia were fabricated using the CAD-CAM technique. Veneering ceramic was applied using hot-pressing technique for both MCC and ZCC specimens. The groups within each material (ZCC & MCC) were based on different coping thickness (0.5,0.7 & 1.0mm) and overall sample (2.0, 2.3 & 2.5mm) thickness. Specimens in each group were assessed for fracture toughness using micro-indenter. Crack lengths for specimens were evaluated to calculate KIC (fracture toughness). All specimens were cemented to titanium implant abutments and tested using micro indenter. Crack lengths for all specimens was measured which was then utilized to calculate fracture toughness. ANOVA was utilized to analyze the results. In study A, V/C thickness of 2.5/0.5 and 3.5/0.5 showed significantly better (p=0.001) fracture toughness as compared to V/C thickness of 2/1 and 3/1 for implant retained ZCC. MCC (V/C 2.5/0.5) showed significantly higher fracture toughness (3.18 ± 0.74 MPa, p= 0.01) as compared to ZCC of similar V/C thickness (2.77 ± 0.29 MPa). Fracture toughness (KIC) values for LR and HR veneered zirconia copings of similar V/C thickness were comparable (p=0.409). For study B, among MCC specimens, increasing V/C ratio showed higher KIC (p=0.019). Increasing veneer thickness from 1.3mm (2.71 ± 0.32 MPa) to 1.8mm (3.36 ± 0.58 MPa) improved (p=0.006) KIC, however KIC values were comparable (p=0.086) with different coping thickness for MCC specimens. For ZCC specimens, V/C ratio (p=0.0444), veneer thickness (p=0.035) and coping thickness (p=0.032), all showed significant influence on KIC. Veneer-coping ratio significantly influenced fracture toughness of veneered implant retained ZCC (p<0.05) and maximum KIC among ZCC was achieved for 3mm specimens with a V/C thickness of 2.5/0.5mm (2.91 ± 0.43). Ceramic veneering technique did not influence fracture toughness of bilayered ZCC. Overall MCC showed higher KIC compared to ZCC specimens. Coping thickness, veneer thickness and V/C ratio showed significant influence on fracture toughness of bilayered ZCC specimens. Veneer thickness and V/C ratio showed significant influence on fracture toughness of bilayered MCC specimens..

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express deepest gratitude to my supervisor Professor Ibrahim Abu Hassan, for his full support, expert guidance, understanding and encouragement throughout my study and research. The critical review he has provided throughout the preparation of this manuscript has been invaluable. I have been extremely fortunate to have a supervisor who helped me on every aspect of my project and always suggested the right for me. Thank you very much.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisors Associate Prof Ikmal Hisham Bin Ismail and Dr Mohsin Ali for providing indispensable advice, information and support on different aspects of my project and for their cooperation in a difficult field assignment. The advice provided by them has been essential in ensuring that my laboratory procedures were carried out correctly.

I would like to thank the technical laboratory staff for providing advice and support in doing so, taught me a great deal on the background of the various materials and processes.

I would like to thank my wife and parents for their unconditional love, support and encouragement during the many hours I have spent working on my thesis. I would not have been able to complete this thesis without their continuous love and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of The Study	3
1.4 Scope of the Study	4
1.5 Null Hypothesis	5
1.6 Alternate Hypothesis	5
CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	6
2.1 Dental Zirconia	6
2.1.1 History	7
2.1.2 Structure of Zirconia	7
2.1.3 Zirconia Transformation	7
2.1.4 Partially Stabilized Tetragonal Zirconia	8
2.1.5 Ageing of Zirconia (Low Temperature Transformation)	8
2.1.6 Manufacturing Zirconia Restorations	9
2.1.7 Bio-compatibility of Zirconia	10
2.1.8 Veneering of Zirconia Restorations	10
2.2 Failures in Zirconia Restorations	11
2.2.1 Veneering Ceramic Fracture And Chipping	12
2.2.2 Factors Effecting Ceramic Veneer Fracture	13

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

All ceramic restorations have revolutionized esthetic dentistry. However until recently they were regarded to have limited clinical applications with little predictability due to compromised mechanical properties and fracture resistance. All ceramic zirconia based restorations have shown the potential to be used for both anterior and posterior, tooth and implant supported crowns and bridges due to their excellent mechanical properties (Gravie and Pascoe 1975). They comprise of an opaque zirconia coping veneered by layers of compatible glass ceramics.

It has high stability as a framework material, however an unacceptable failure rate of YSZ restorations due to fracture and chipping of veneering ceramic has emerged (Sax et al., 2011, Beuer et al., 2009a, Sailer et al., 2007b, Sailer et al., 2006, Raigrodski et al., 2006). In a recent study by Rabel et al., (Rabel et al., 2018) failure rates for veneered all ceramic single crowns ranged up to 9% (chipping for a 5 years follow-up, however overall survival rates were as high as 94%. This mechanical failure either occurs at the core veneer interface or in the veneering ceramic itself. The veneering ceramics have lower fracture toughness as compared to zirconia cores. The conventionally used layering technique for veneering YSZ using inherently weaker glass ceramics potentially induce surface flaws and shrinkage. Consequently, micro cracks and porosities originating from the inner and outer surface of bilayered restorations result in damage and failure. Although not new, heat pressing of pre-sintered ingots is another technique for veneering metal and YSZ cores. As it minimizes the internal flaws of ceramic and processing shrinkage, it has the potential for replacing layering technique for veneering YSZ cores (Goldin et al., 2005a).

The design of metal ceramic crown (MCC) is well established and the support and design of metal copings has long provided predictability to these restorations (Warpeha and Godkind, 1976). One of the important factors influencing the fracture toughness of YSZ bilayered ceramics is thickness of materials (coping and veneer) and comparative ratio. Reports have recommended the use of MCC design to be implemented in