

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND PERFORMANCE OF ISLAMIC BANKS (CASE STUDY OF MALAYSIA)

NATASHAH AMIRAH BINTI HASSAN 2013428106

BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (HONS) FINANCE FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA SEGAMAT, JOHOR.

JUNE 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I wish to count my blessings and thanked Allah S.W.T for providing me with the mental and physical faculties as well as other positive attributes notably perseverance to complete this challenging research paper. Special mention goes to my research advisor Miss Nordiyana binti Isahak for her guidance, supervision and support. Her constructive comments and suggestions greatly assist in the successful completion of this project paper. In addition, special thanks to En. Syamsul Samsudin for his guidance and advice towards the completion of this project paper.

Last but not least, I would like to extend my appreciation to each and every one of you who have assisted in one way or another in order for me to complete this research paper. Sincere thanks to all my friends and office colleagues for their understanding, kindness and moral support during the testing time undertook to complete this assignment. Lastly, my deepest gratitude to my beloved parents for their unwavering support, invaluable assistance and sincere blessing.

Thank you.

ABSTRACT

This research seeks to extend Al-Kayed, Zain, and Duasa (2014) and Shubita, Jordan and Jordan (2012) findings regarding the effect of capital structure on profitability by examining the effect of capital structure on profitability of the Islamic banks in Malaysia during a tenyear period (2006-2015). The problem to be analyzed is there are unanswered questions stated by Modigliani and Miller (1958) which is does the capital structure effect the performance of Islamic banking and do Islamic banks with lower equity capital benefit their shareholders in the form of higher return. The management of Islamic banks must carefully decide when it comes to their capital structure decision because they are very sensitive to it. This research will be using a sample of 13 Islamic banks (IBs) in Malaysia during a period from 2006 to 2015. The dependent variable is return on assets (ROA) and the independent variable are capital ratio (CR), debt ratio (DR), interest coverage ratio (ICR), and asset tangibility (AT). In this research, the E-Views program is used as a tool to analyze all the data. By using this program, the data can be easily analyzed in order to identify the relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variable. The E-Views program is used mainly for statistical analysis and econometrics analysis, such as crosssection and panel data analysis and time series estimation and forecasting. The method used is panel least squares. After control the capital structure variables, the result shows capital ratio (CR), debt ratio (DR), and asset tangibility (AT) have significance relationship with return on assets while interest coverage ratio shows there is no relationship with return on assets.

Table of Contents

T	ITLE PAGE	
D	ECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK	i
L	ETTER OF SUBMISSION	ii
A	CKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
A	BSTRACT	۰۷
C	HAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of Study	2
	1.3 Problem Statements.	4
	1.4 Research Objectives.	5
	1.5 Research Questions.	6
	1.6 Significant of Study	7
	1.7 Scope of Study	8
	1.8 Limitations of the study	9
	1.9 Summary	9
C.	HAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Introduction	10
	2.2 Literature Review on Topic	10
	2.3 Literature Review on Return on Assets	11
	2.4 Literature Review on Capital Ratio	12
	2.5 Literature Review on Debt Ratio.	13
	2.6 Literature Review on Interest Coverage Ratio	14
	2.7 Literature Review on Asset Tangibility	15
CI	HAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	16
	3.1 Introduction.	16
	3.2 Sample and Data	17

3.2.1 Population and sample	17	
3.2.2 Data collection	17	
3.2.3 Variables	19	
3.3 Research design.	20	
3.4 Theoretical Framework	21	
3.4.1 Hypothesis statement	21	
3.5 Statistic and Econometric Method	23	
3.5.1 Descriptive analysis.	23	
3.5.2 Test for Stationary: Unit Root Test	23	
3.5.3 Test on assumptions	24	
3.5.4 Correlation analysis.	25	
3.5.5 Regression Analysis	26	
3.6 Summary	28	
CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS		
4.1 Introduction	29	
4.2 Descriptive analysis	29	
4.3 Stationary test	31	
4.4 Test on Assumptions	35	
4.4.1 Normality Test	35	
4.4.2 Heteroskedasticity	36	
4.4.3 Multicollinearity test	37	
4.5 Correlation analysis	38	
4.6 Multiple Regression Analysis	39	
4.6.1 Model 1	39	
4.6.2 Model 2	44	
4.7 Summary	48	
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	40	