

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**FAILURE DETECTION ANALYSIS
OF GRID-CONNECTED
PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM USING
AN IMPROVED COMPARATIVE
BASED METHOD**

FATIN AZIRAH BINTI MOHD SHUKOR

Thesis submitted in fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science
(Applied Physics)

Faculty of Applied Science

May 2022

ABSTRACT

All around the globe, prodigious growth of Grid-Connected Photovoltaic (GCPV) systems installation is observed including Malaysia. In order to gain feasible economic return, failure detection (FD) mechanisms are given utmost attention to monitor the performance and yet able to detect fault occurrence of the operating GCPV system especially in the form of analysis algorithm. Numerous studies have reported FD analysis algorithm using comparative based method (CBM), but limitedly demonstrate the FD analysis sequence that will finally determine the type of failure occurred in the GCPV system. Moreover, the evaluation on the reliability of the FD analysis algorithm applied using large amount of field data instead of simulated data is still limited and significant, especially in the context of tropical climate conditions. Thus, the aim of this study is to detect fault occurrence in GCPV system via three consecutive FD analysis of comparison between actual AC power and predicted AC power as early FD, evaluation of AR values against AR threshold of 0.9 as intermediate FD and determination of type of failure through current and voltage ratio methods as advance FD based on CBM method. The analysis was conducted using one-year historical data of 2019 from eight selected GCPV systems as the case studies. Based on the early FD of AC power comparison, two systems (System 7 and System 8) were identified as showing early failure symptoms with percentage difference of gradients between actual AC power and predicted AC power were 26 % and 52 % respectively. Furthermore, through the evaluation of AR values against AR of 0.9, the maximum monthly cumulative percentage of AR (MMCPAR) less than 0.9 for System 7 and System 8 were 67 % and 95 % respectively, i.e more than 50 %. The early and intermediate FD analysis conducted have confirmed that System 7 and System 8 were having failure. Finally, via advance FD analysis of current and voltage ratio, System 7 was detected as having partial shading problem, meanwhile System 8 was having abnormal inverter shut down problem. This study has demonstrated a sequential FD analysis algorithm, which ultimately succeeded to determine the type of fault occurred in the GCPV system and it is worthy to be embedded in FD software for GCPV system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim, all praises to Allah for the completion of this Master thesis.

My first and big appreciation goes to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Hedzlin Zainuddin, for her countless supervision, guidance and encouragement. Sincere gratitude is extended to her support, constructive feedback and valuable advice during my research.

This thesis also would not have been possible without the moral support, critical comments and cooperation from my co-supervisors, Dr. Farah Liyana Muhammad Khir and Dr. Nurmalessa Muhammad. I greatly appreciate the encouragement and enthusiasm coming from all my supervisor and co-supervisors.

My appreciation goes to the members of Green Energy Research Centre (GERC), Professor Dr. Ahmad Maliki Omar, Professor Dr. Sulaiman Shaari, Associate Prof Dr. Shahril Irwan Sulaiman, and Mr. Langga Rimon for sharing their expertise in this field. Also, I would like to thank my colleagues, Ardin, Aizat, Mukhzani and Madam Putri for their time and continuous support.

Finally, my warm and heartfelt thanks to my father, Mohd Shukor Sani and my mother, Razana Mohd Ali for their tremendous support economically as well as regular encouragement in every step to make me in the present stage. Similarly, my siblings, relatives, and friends are also subject to special thanks for their countless support.

Alhamdulillah. This piece of victory is dedicated to all of you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Scope and Limitation of Study	4
1.5 Significance of Study	5
1.6 Organisation of the Thesis	5
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Tropical Climate of Malaysia	7
2.3 Statistics of PV energy generation in Malaysia	8
2.4 Photovoltaic (PV) Systems	9
2.5 Grid-connected photovoltaic (GCPV) System	10
2.6 Standard Test Condition	13
2.7 Climate and PV module temperature profile of Malaysia	13
2.8 Acceptance Ratio	14
2.9 Failure in GCPV System	15
2.10 Failure Detection Methods	16

2.10.1	Comparative Based Method	16
2.11	Summary	37
CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Stage 1: Data filtration and compilation	39
3.3	Stage 2: AC Power Analysis (P_{AC_actual} and $P_{AC_predicted}$)	49
3.4	Stage 3: Evaluation of AR Values against AR Threshold of 0.9	52
3.5	Stage 4: Failure Identification	53
3.5.1	Environmental Data Filtration	53
3.5.2	Current Ratio (R_c) and Voltage Ratio (R_v) Analysis	55
CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Early FD analysis: Comparison between the actual AC power and predicted AC power	58
4.2.1	AC Power Output Analysis for System 1	58
4.2.2	AC Power Output Analysis for System 2	60
4.2.3	AC Power Output Analysis for System 3	63
4.2.4	AC Power Output Analysis for System 4	64
4.2.5	AC Power Output Analysis for System 5	66
4.2.6	AC Power Output Analysis for System 6	68
4.2.7	AC Power Output Analysis for System 7	70
4.2.8	AC Power Output Analysis for System 8	72
4.2.9	Analysis of Percentage Difference of Gradient	74
4.2.10	Summary of comparison between the actual AC power and predicted AC power	76
4.3	Intermediate FD analysis: Acceptance Ratio (AR) values evaluation against AR threshold of 0.9	78
4.3.1	AR Threshold Analysis for System 1	78
4.3.2	AR Threshold Analysis for System 2	80
4.3.3	AR Threshold Analysis for System 3	82
4.3.4	AR Threshold Analysis for System 4	84