

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**THE COMPATIBILITY OF RICE  
HUSK ASH (RHA) AND SEWAGE  
SLUDGE ASH (SSA) AS SOURCE  
MATERIALS IN PRODUCING  
GEOPOLYMER MORTAR**

**ZAIDAHTULAKMAL BINTI MOHD ZAHIB**

Thesis submitted in fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
**Doctor of Philosophy**  
**(Civil Engineering)**

**College of Engineering**

**June 2022**

## ABSTRACT

The aluminosilicate rich source materials are abundance and major component as binder materials in geopolymer. Higher silica and alumina content toward geopolymerisation refining is difficult to be done by single source materials that contain less aluminosilicate species. Typically, rice husk ash (RHA) was silica richness whereas sewage sludge ash (SSA) was alumina and silica richness. For this research, the combination of those richness alumina and silica materials was expected to overcome the problem by providing ultra-binding effect. In this study, the utilisation of RHA and SSA was done using replacement of RHA with SSA and the mix generated based on modified trial originally formulated by previous researcher. For this research the rice husk and sewage sludge were the main aluminosilicate materials whereas sand as a filler. Firstly, river sand was obtained from Concrete Laboratory, UiTM Shah Alam was prepared. The sieving analysis was performed to ensure the quality and uniformity. Meanwhile, the rice husk and sewage sludge waste were procured from rice milling and wastewater treatment plant by sunlight drying followed by underwent calcination and grinding process to produce rice husk ash and sewage sludge ash. Characterisation of the aluminosilicate materials was confirmed by particle size, chemical composition and microstructural properties wherein evaluated using laser particle analyser, X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Geopolymer mixes were developed by replacement of RHA with SSA so called binder based on percentage increment of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% in six (6) different NaOH molarity 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 and sodium silicate. For this, the RHA to sand and binder to solution ratio were maintained at 1:2 and 1:1, respectively. Similarly, the sodium hydroxide to sodium silicate ratio also constant at the similar ratio of 1:1. The geopolymerisation in mortar was assessed in terms of density and compressive strength regards oven and air cured. Better strength was yielded at 15% SSA replacement and 10M of NaOH was marked 18.0 MPa and 16.0 MPa when the specimen was cured in oven and air, respectively. For the purpose of comparison, another two (2) molarities of 8M and 12M was proceeds with 10M which the one that gave the highest strength to compete and was proceeds another three (3) characterisation test namely flowability, flexural strength and water absorption test. In this vine, the 15% SSA replacement prepared with 10M NaOH was wined. Data from X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) was confirmed that the geopolymerisation reaction happened between RHA, SSA and alkaline solutions. The denser and homogenous matrix also visualised when the specimens subjected to SEM. Finally, RSM was used to obtain the relationship between factors (SSA replacement level and NaOH molarity) and responses (density, compressive strength, and flexural strength). The strong relationship was detected when the increment in SSA replacement level and NaOH molarity to RHA based geopolymer mortar. Compared to NaOH molarity the responses were much sensitive to that of SSA replacement level. Therefore, it can be concluded that the blended of RHA and SSA geopolymer mortar were much better than the mortar made with RHA only.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, all praises and thanks are only to Allah for the opportunity, health, patience and granting me strength to accomplish my PhD thesis successfully. My appreciation goes to my main supervisor Professor Ir. Dr. Kartini binti Kamaruddin and my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Hamidah binti Mohd Saman for their trust, constructive guidance, kind supervision, and constant support along this challenging journey. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis might not have been complete as presented here. My immense gratitude goes to my dearest colleagues Siti Aisyah binti Ghazali, Siti Nurul Ain binti Ali, Nurul Aini binti Salehuddin, Madelina Cosmas Panggie, Dr. Sabihah, Norfaiza Che Nen, Nurul Nazierah binti Mohd Yusri, Nur Salbiah binti Mohd Nasir and Mohamad Firdaus bin Mohamad Sobry who continuously support with my laboratory work and write up in sharing their valuable knowledge. Not forgotten, I want to thank to who direct or indirectly involved throughout the completion of this thesis. Thank you very much.

My thanks and appreciate goes to all the assistant engineers under the College of Engineering (Civil), UiTM Shah Alam who preparing and arranging tools and also machines for the laboratory works especially in Concrete and Environmental Laboratory.

My enormous appreciation goes to my father, Mohd Zahib bin Dagan and my mother, Endon binti Eshak for their unconditional love, care, and trust throughout the journey which I never imagined could be able going through it without them. Also, thanks to all my family members who continuously give me moral support Zaihin, Ida Airina, Zainol, Zairin, Zainorin and Zairif Anas. Also, to my late brother, Zaidi who passed away during his master's study. You have truly inspired me with your passion and commitment who advise me to never give up until I finished my study.

Finally, this thesis is dedicated to my one and only son, Zaakir Fariq and his daddy, Muhammad Faiz Syafiq bin Rozaidi, for their understanding.

Alhamdulillah.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>AUTHOR'S DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives of Study	6
1.4 Scope of Study and Limitation	6
1.5 Significant of Study	11
<b>CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Evolution of Concrete towards Cementless Materials	14
2.2.1 Development of Concrete	14
2.2.2 Concrete Shortcoming and Its Challenge	15
2.3 Geopolymer Cementing System	16
2.4 Materials Specification and Characterisation for Geopolymer System	20
2.4.1 Aluminosilicate Source Materials	21
2.4.1.1 Fly Ash (FA)	21
2.4.1.2 Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA)	23
2.4.1.3 Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS)	25
2.4.1.4 Kaolin and Metakaolin (MK)	27

2.4.1.5	Rice Husk Ash (RHA)	29
2.4.1.6	Sewage Sludge Ash (SSA)	33
2.4.2	Alkaline Activator Solution	35
2.4.2.1	Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)	36
2.4.2.2	Sodium Silicate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3$ )	37
2.5	Assessment of Geopolymer Mortar	38
2.5.1	Flowability	38
2.5.2	Density	40
2.5.3	Compressive Strength	41
2.5.3.1	The Effect of Source Material	42
2.5.3.2	The Effect of NaOH Molarity	44
2.5.3.3	The Effect of Curing Condition	45
2.5.4	Flexural Strength	50
2.5.5	Water Absorption Rate	50
2.6	Chemical Phase, Characteristic Band and Microstructural Properties of Geopolymer	51
2.6.1	Chemical Phase Properties	51
2.6.2	Characteristic Band Properties	58
2.6.3	Microstructural Properties	64
2.7	Prediction Mathematical Equation and Interaction Behaviour using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	71
2.7.1	Model Fitting and Validation	73
2.7.2	Interaction between Multiple Factors with Multiple Responses	75
2.7.3	Optimisation	76
2.8	Summary of Literature	76
<b>CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>81</b>
3.1	Introduction	81
3.2	Preparation and Characterisation of Materials	82
3.2.1	Rice Husk Ash (RHA)	82
3.2.2	Sewage sludge ash (SSA)	87
3.2.3	Fine Aggregate	90
3.2.3.1	Density	90