



**STRATEGIC IMPLEMENTATION OF
ACTIVITY-BASED COSTING AT
HIZONE SDN. BHD.**

A Case Study for Applied Business Project

Prepared By:

ASMAWI BIN BUJANG	2001394823
MUHAMMAD ABDULLAH BIN ZAIDEL	2001502910
ZAHRI BIN PERIH	2001395094
ZAWAWI BIN RAMBELL	2001394768

**EXECUTIVE MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

18 JANUARY 2004

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Without the assistance of many Hizone Sdn Bhd management and staff, this applied business project paper would not have been possible. They were kind enough to provide information for this research paper.

We would like to first express our gratitude to Associate Professor Andrew Leong Fook Chee, our advisor, for overseeing the entire research process and Encik Ibrahim Haji Baki, the Chairman of Gegasan Sdn Bhd, for being our industry supervisor.

Special thanks goes to the Operations Director, Tuan Haji Ali Samson, who meticulously responded in a series of interviews, which later formed a strong database for the study. It would not have been possible to develop certain sections of this paper without those documents. Special mention should also be given to En Burhanudin Aini, for his tremendous support.

We would also like to thank our family members for their patience, support and understanding of the pain-staking effort in completing this program. Finally, we would like to thank UiTM for introducing the Executive Masters of Business Administration program, which has enhanced our understanding of the business world while allowing us to be part of the learning community.

We hope that this degree will allow us to make a worthwhile contribution to the country and the local community.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
Group Photo	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Charts	vii
List of Tables	vii
Executive Summary	f

CHAPTERS

1	Introduction	3
2	Overview	6
3	Prospects	
	3.1 Prospects of the Malaysian Economy	8
	3.2 Prospects of the Malaysian Furniture Industry	9
4	ABC in the Furniture Industry	12
5	Implementation of ABC Model	17
6	Objectives	21
7	Scope	21
8	Limitations	24
9	Company Profile	
	9.1 Company History	25
	9.2 Size and Nature of Business	26
	9.3 Organization Structure	26
	9.4 Location	28
	9.5 Products Range	28
	9.6 Production Process Flow	29
10	Data Collection Process	
	10.1 Methodology of collecting data on activities and cost	29
	10.2 Factory visits and interviews	30

Executive Summary

Historically, manufacturing and cost accounting became linked because of the need to determine the amount of profit to be gained by selling, producing, and shipping a product. Early decisions made within this relationship fostered a certain standard upon which a method of determining the overhead cost of products based upon the direct labour content was built. This made sense, because, quite simply, direct labour was a large percentage of the cost that was expanded equally to the manufacturing products. This method became known as the traditional costing method.

For years, the traditional costing method developed into a significant part of the manufacturing control system. In this method, product costing involved the accumulation of direct material and labor costs to the overhead costs. The system worked mainly because manufacturing processes were highly labor intensive where the overhead was a small percentage of the total cost. Moreover, firms had a low level of product diversity.

Today, with the advent of modern technology where manufacturing facilities are no longer labor intensive, the traditional costing system, with its one-size-fits all approach, is becoming less adequate. Not only is the traditional costing method unable to supply the tools for measuring costs, it cannot provide managers with the information needed to run modern manufacturing operations profitably.

In this study, the group consulted with a local furniture manufacturing company, namely Hizone Sdn. Bhd., on a wide range of issues regarding product costing and the methodology involved in allocating overhead costs. Findings from this study highlighted the inadequacies of the current costing method where the overhead was not fully accounted in the costing of the products. The group discovered that the current costing method only allocated a flat rate of 20% of the direct labor and materials costs as overheads.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Today businesses worldwide are under pressure to implement business improvement programmes that create value and recover production costs. The government and industry leaders for a decade have called for sophisticated and original designs to bring to the world market.

The increased use of information technologies and the effects of globalization have created a more competitive environment in which a low cost structure often becomes a critical success factor. For example, Malaysia's furniture manufacturers are currently scrambling to stay competitive in the face of stiffening competition from China and Vietnam. (*Malaysia Timber Publication; Vol 5; Page 32*).

The global pressures for economic competitiveness have thus far been reshaping the attitudes of many towards costing. The focus on the cost accounts of manufacturing, combined with the reality of ceilings on revenues, has led to rigorous review of the expenditure and the efficiency with which they are utilized. This environment has created a greater need for improved cost measurement and management techniques.



Furthermore, in order to remain competitive while keeping budgets in balance, there is a need to streamline operations, eliminate waste, reduce cycle time, reduce costs, adopt a commitment to total quality and judiciously incorporate advanced technologies such as scanning of documents, electronic data interchange, and paperless offices. Managers seeking to succeed in this environment are turning to their management accounting systems for new types of information. The role of cost accounting has been transformed. This means that cost accounting must