UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

A STUDY ON VOICE OVER LTE (VOLTE) PERFORMANCE AND COMPARISON WITH OTHER VOICE TECHNOLOGY OF MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS

AKMAL NURHAKIM BIN ABD GHANI

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science**

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

January 2016

ABSTRACT

The Long Term Evolution (LTE) technology, providing higher data rate to meet subscriber requirements, is the best option in the mobile broadband era. In the LTE era, how to inherit and improve traditional voice services have become carriers' top concern

The third generation mobile telecommunication technologies and the increasing popularity of smartphones have greatly driven user demand for mobile broadband services. Explosive growth of data traffic and the challenge of increasing network capacity force mobile carriers to upgrade their networks and increase the network transmission rate. The LTE technology is the best option for those carriers. In the LTE era, the time has come for mobile carriers to move to voice over LTE (VoLTE).

This thesis presents the study of VoLTE performance and comparison against other voice solutions on mobile such as Circuit Switched Fallback (CSFB) and Over-the-Top (OTT). Based on analysis, CSFB has many poor results in delivering voice services on LTE mobile network. The ultimate voice solution on LTE networks is VoLTE. TM Berhad joint and Packet One Network are offering VoLTE service soon and their challenge to validate VoLTE performance by using field-trials testing. This paper analyses performance VoLTE by measuring call setup time and media quality of the VoLTE signal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is a great pleasure to acknowledge my deepest thanks and gratitude to Dr. Azita Laily Yusof for her guidance throughout the process of completing this thesis. It is a great honour to work under her supervision.

I would like to thank my EE700 course mates for sharing their knowledge and ideas in making this research a success. Finally, thank you to my parents and family for their encouragement and understanding, it would have been impossible for me to finish this work without their supports.

Above all, utmost to the Allah S.W.T for His willing and blessing in this academic endeavour.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page | | |
|----------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | | | | |
| ABSTRACT | | | | |
| ACKN | OWLEDGEMENT | iv | | |
| TABL | E OF CONTENTS | v | | |
| LIST (| OF TABLES | vii | | |
| LIST (| OF FIGURES | viii | | |
| СНАР | TER ONE | 1 | | |
| INTRO | DDUCTION | 1 | | |
| 1.1 | RESEARCH BACKGROUND | 1 | | |
| 1.2 | PROBLEM STATEMENT | 2 | | |
| 1.3 | OBJECTIVES | 2 | | |
| 1.4 | SCOPE OF STUDY | 3 | | |
| 1.5 | SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY | 3 | | |
| 1.6 | THESIS ORGANISATION | 4 | | |
| CHAP | TER TWO | 5 | | |
| LITE | RATURE REVIEW | 5 | | |
| 2.1 | INTRODUCTION | 5 | | |
| 2.2 | VOLTE PERFORMANCE SIMULATION | 6 | | |
| 2.3 | IMPACT OF LINK UTILIZATION ON VOLTE PERFORMANCE | 7 | | |
| 2.4 | VOICE INTERRUPTION DURING HANDOVER | 8 | | |
| 2.5 | PERFORMANCE OF CSFB | 9 | | |
| 2.6 CAI | CONGESTION-BASED AUTOMATIC CALLING FOR IMPROVING LL ESTABLISHMENT | G 11 | | |
| CHAP | TER THREE | 13 | | |
| METH | METHODOLOGY | | | |
| 3.1 | INTRODUCTION | 13 | | |
| 3.2 | STRUCTURE OF METHODOLOGY | 14 | | |

| 3.3 | FIE | ELD TRIAL SETUP | 14 |
|------------------------------|-------|---|----|
| 3.3 | .1 | VoLTE End-to-End Network Architecture | 14 |
| 3.3.2 | | QoS | 16 |
| 3.3.3 | | Codec | 18 |
| 3.3.4 | | EnodeB Configuration | 19 |
| 3.4 | CA | LL SETUP TIME | 21 |
| 3.5 | VC | ICE QUALITY | 22 |
| 3.5 | .1 | MOS | 23 |
| 3.5.2 | | Jitter | 24 |
| 3.5 | .3 | Packet Loss | 25 |
| 3.5 | .4 | Latency | 26 |
| CHAPTER FOUR | | | |
| RESUI | LTS . | AND DISCUSSION | 27 |
| 4.1 | IN | TRODUCTION | 27 |
| 4.2 | CA | LL SETUP TIME AT DIFFERENT USER STATES | 27 |
| 4.2 | .1 | Results | 28 |
| 4.2.1 | | Discussion | 29 |
| 4.3 | CA | LL SETUP TIME AT DIFFERENT RF STRENGTHS | 31 |
| 4.3 | .1 | Results | 31 |
| 4.3 | .2 | Discussion | 32 |
| 4.4 | VC | DICE QUALITY ASSESSMENT | 33 |
| 4.4 | .1 | Results | 34 |
| 4.4 | .5 | Discussion | 35 |
| 4.5 | TH | E COMPARISONS BETWEEN VOLTE, CSFB AND OTT | 36 |
| 4.5 | 5.1 | Results | 36 |
| 4.5 | 5.4 | Discussion | 37 |
| CHAPTER FIVE | | | |
| CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS | | | |
| REFERENCES | | | |
| APPENDICES | | | |