

**APPLICATION OF DEFENCE OF UNSOUNDNESS OF MIND IN MALAYSIA:  
REVIEW TOWARDS THE LAW IN UNITED STATES AND INDIA.**

By

Muhammad Ridhwan Bin Hamzah (2007144061)

Syafiqah Zuhairah Binti Zakaria (2007144013)

Meor Hafiz Bin Salehan (2007143863)

Fazeedah Binti Faik (2007136617)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons.)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA  
Faculty of Law**

April 2010

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where references has been made to the work of others.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This research project has been carried out by a team which comprises of Muhammad Ridhwan Bin Hamzah, Syafiqah Zuhairah Binti Zakaria, Meor Hafiz Bin Salehan and Fazeedah Binti Faik. This research project contains five chapters and every chapter has been completed with the hard work of all four members of the group. For the completion of Chapter One, it was a joint effort between the team of four. The completion of Chapter Two is from the contribution of Meor Hafiz Bin Salehan who has been doing non-stop research in completing this chapter. Chapter Three is the work of Muhammad Ridhwan Bin Hamzah, Fazeedah Binti Faik and Syafiqah Zuhairah Binti Zakaria who has been doing his job so well while Chapter Four will not be completed without Muhammad Ridhwan Bin Hamzah who has been burning the midnight oil finishing this chapter with the contributions from Syafiqah Zuhairah Binti Zakaria. The most important part of this research project is Chapter Five which is the result from the cooperation of Meor Hafiz Bin Salehan and Fazeedah Binti Faik.

First of all, we would like to thank God for the blessing and chances in giving us enough strength, time and opportunity in finishing the research on time despite of all the obstacles that we had to encountered and the pressure from time as time has envy us with the limited time frame that been given to us because the short semester that we are in. Not to forget, our supervisor, Mr Ahmad Shukree Bin Mohd Salleh. We want to express our greatest gratitude for his guidance and patience in helping us to complete this research project.

Furthermore, we would like to express our appreciation to our respondent, Mr Akbardin Bin Abdul Kader and Dr Zulkifli Bin Ghaus for their willingness to spend their time to entertain us and supply us with the additional information that we are lacking in order to complete this research project.

Last but not least, we would like to deliver our best regard to our family members and friends for their unfailing support. Without their moral support, it would be very hard for us to comprehensively finishing our research project.

## **ABSTRACT**

Generally this research will look deeply into the standard of defence of unsoundness of mind in Malaysia and its effectiveness to the justice system. It will be compared with United States and India. The research will be more details in terms of the background of the research, the objective and the problem identification. This proposal also includes scope and limitation of the study, the methodology and the significance of the research. The research been help by those interviewee to give more understanding and get clear view on how the application of the defence of unsoundness of mind in Malaysia, however based on information gathered we did a comparison with United States and India. On top of that we can see the differences and effectiveness of the law. McNaughten Rule is one of the early principle that been established to be applied in defence of insanity in United Kingdom. It becomes a main principle to be referred on some other country. However it changing due to the situation in every country, that is what we got it in our research when we compare with United States and India. Up to the end of our research, we conclude with a few opinions and recommendations to uphold our law to become more effective and accessible to be applied in our country.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgment		ii	
Abstract		iii	
Contents		iv	
List	of	Cases	vii

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Problem Statement	3
1.2	Objective	4
1.3	Literature Review	4
1.4	Methodology	12
1.5	Scope and Limitation	13
1.6	Significance of Study	13
1.7	Conclusion	14

### CHAPTER TWO: EVOLUTION OF THE DEFENCE

2.0	Introduction	15
2.1	Early Stages of Defence of Insanity in UK	15
2.2	McNaughten Case	18
2.3	Conclusion	21

### CHAPTER THREE: APPLICATION IN MALAYSIA, UNITED STATES AND INDIA

3.0	Introduction	22
3.1	Defence of Unsoundness of Mind in Malaysia	22
3.1.1	Procedure When the Accused is Suspended to be Unsound Person	23
3.1.2	Certificate of Medical Superintendent	24
3.1.3	Burden of Proof	24
3.1.4	Cases	25
3.2	Defence of Insanity in United States	27
3.2.1	Definition of the Defence of Insanity in United States	27
3.2.2	Rules in Application in United States	32
3.2.2.1	M'Naghten Rule	32
3.2.2.2	Durham Rule	35
3.2.2.3	Brawner Rule	36
3.3	Defence of Unsoundness of Mind in India	38
3.3.1	Application of the Defence of Unsoundness of Mind	39

3.3.2	Cases	42
3.3.3	Code of Criminal Procedure Code 1937	44
3.4	Conclusion	46

## **CHAPTER FOUR: REVIEW FOR THE APPLICATION OF THE DEFENCE UNSOUNDNESS OF MIND IN MALAYSIA**

4.0	Introduction	47
4.1	The Law in Malaysia and the Law in United States	47
4.1.1	Application	47
4.1.2	John Hinckley Aftermath	48
4.1.3	Insanity Defence Reform Act 1984	49
4.1.4	Jury System	50
4.1.5	Adopting the Jury System in Malaysia	51
4.2	The Law in Malaysia and the Law in India	53
4.2.1	Introduction	53
4.2.2	The Rigidity on the Application in India	53
4.2.3	Cases	55
4.2.4	Adopting the Rigidity on the Application of Unsoundness of Mind in India	55
4.3	Conclusion	57

## **CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

5.0	Introduction	58
5.1	Malaysian and its Law	58
5.2	Recommendations	59
5.2.1	Acts	59
5.2.1.1	Penal Code	59
5.2.1.2	Criminal Procedure Code	60
5.2.2	Mental institutions	61
5.2.2.1	Specialist Psychiatrists	61
5.2.2.2	Facilities	61
5.2.3	Court	62
5.2.3.1	Authorities	62
5.2.3.2	Postponement	62
5.2.4	Police	62
5.2.4.1	Petty Offences	62
5.2.4.2	Report	63
5.2.5	Government	63
5.2.5.1	Economy	63
5.2.5.2	Education Policy	63
5.2.6	Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO)	64
5.2.6.1	Spread Awareness	64