UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

DEVELOPMENT OF SUCCESS FACTOR MODEL FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

NORHALIFAH BINTIRAMLEE

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Construction Management

Faculty of Civil Engineering

April 2018

ABSTRACT

Failures of construction projects give negative impact to the clients, governments and public. In addition, it affects the reputation of contractors to secure for future projects. Thus, it is essential to find the solution for project success to avoid problems relating to this issue. The main aim of this research is to identify the critical success factors (CSFs) that influence the success factors of construction project in Penang, one of the developed towns in Malaysia. This study focuses on residential projects and determines the relationships among success factors and success criteria. Quantitative method was adopted where 140 of questionnaire surveys were sent to the construction's player i.e. client, consultant and contractor. Structural equation modeling (SEM) using partial least square (SMART PLS 3.0) was used to determine the most significant factors that affecting the construction project success. This model able to predict the construction project success factors and also may assist the construction's player to study and improve the probability of construction project success. Furthermore, the model may be used as a benchmarking in analyzing the factor of project success. The findings revealed ten (10) significant factors which are grouped under three constructs (management factors, resources factors and site environment factors), namely organization commitment in managing construction project, the skill of project manager and the adequate resources provide by contractor; the availability of the required technology and expertise accomplish the specific technical actions, the best technology involve in construction project ensure the progress run smoothly, the good technique guide by contractor in construction ensure the quality of workers' productivity, and the labor productivity affect the project success; and the safety aspect during construction is important to be applied by workers, implement an effective safety program can increase the workers knowledge, and implement an effective quality assurance program can ensure the workers safety at site. The hypothesis finding of t-values for the management factor is 4.618, resources factor is 4.072 and site environment factor is 4.166. The overall t-values for each construct show that each construct is positively related to the project success criteria which explain the 70.1% of the variances, thus supporting the Hypotheses 1, Hypotheses 2 and Hypotheses 3 in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, all praises to Allah S.W.T., for His guidance and blessing, because without Him I cannot finish this thesis. My gratitute and thanks go to my supervisor Dr. Siti Rashidah Mohd Nasir for her enthusiastic guidance, invaluable help, encouragement and patience in completing this thesis. Her numerous comments, criticisms and suggestions during the preparation of this thesis are gratefully praised.

I also would like to thank to all my friends who give supports and helping me for finishing my thesis. Their support and help always give motivation and energy to let my thesis to be completed.

Finally, heartfelt acknowledgement are expressed to my family especially my mother and sons. Without them, I may never have overcome this long journey in my studies. Their love will always give me strength during my completion of writing thesis and submitted the questionnaire survey. I also would like to thank to people who directly or indirectly help me finishing my thesis.

Thank you very much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---------------------------------|------|
| CONFIRMATION BY PANEL EXAMINERS | ii |
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| | |

| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----|-------------|----------|---|
| 1.1 | Background of Stu | dy | | | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | t | | | 3 |
| | 1.2.1 Research Gap | 0 | | | 4 |
| 1.3 | Research Objective | e | | | 5 |
| 1.4 | Research Question | | | | 5 |
| 1.5 | Scope of Study | | | | 5 |
| 1.6 | Significance | of | the | Research | 6 |
| 1.7 | Brief | | Methodology | | 8 |
| 1.8 | Hypotheses | | | | 9 |

| СНАР | CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | | | |
|------|---|--------------------|----------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Introduction | | | 10 |
| 2.2 | Project Success | | | 10 |
| | 2.2.1 Conceptual Frame | ework in Construct | tion Project Success | 11 |
| 2.3 | Project Success Criteria | ı | | 12 |
| 2.4 | Project Success Factor and Critical Success Factors (CSFs) | | | 16 |
| 2.5 | Project Attributes Relationships | | | 27 |
| 2.6 | Existing Models for Construction Project in Malaysia | | 30 | |
| 2.7 | Development | of | Model | 33 |
| | 2.7.1 Partial Least Square-Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) | | | 36 |

| | 2.7.2 SMART PLS-SEM Software | 38 |
|------------|--|----------|
| 2.8 | Summary | 38 |
| | | |
| СНА | PTER THREE: METHODOLOGY | 40 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 40 |
| 3.2 | Research Area | 40 |
| 3.3 | Research Methodology | 41 |
| 3.4 | Sampling of Data | 41 |
| | 3.4.1 Research Instrument | 42 |
| | 3.4.1.1 Demographic Profile | 43 |
| | 3.4.1.2 Questionnaire Design for Success Criteria | 43 |
| | 3.4.1.3 Questionnaire Design for Success Factors | 45 |
| | 3.4.2 Population and Sample Size | 48 |
| | 3.4.3 Pilot Study | 49 |
| 3.5 | Data Analysis | 50 |
| | 3.5.1 Mean Rank | 51 |
| | 3.5.2 Normality Test | 51 |
| | 3.5.3 Spearman's Rank Correlation | 52 |
| 3.6 | PLS-SEM Analysis | 53 |
| | 3.6.1 Measurement | 53 |
| | 3.6.2 Measurement Assessment | 54 |
| | 3.6.3 Construct Validity | 54 |
| | 3.6.4 Convergent validity | 54 |
| | 3.6.5 Discriminant validity | 54 |
| | 3.6.6 Reliability Analysis | 55 |
| | 3.6.7 Hypothesis Testing | 55 |
| 3.7 | Summary | 56 |
| СНА | PTER FOUR+ RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 57 |
| | Introduction | 57 |
| 4.1 1 0 | Dilot Study Data | 51 |
| 4.2 | 1 101 Suuy Data 4 2 1 Daliahility Statistical Testing | 51 |
| 12 | 4.2.1 Kenaulity Statistical Testing | 38 50 |
| 4.5 | Demographic Analysis | 50 |
| 4.4 | Demographic Analysis | 59 |