

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**THE EFFECT OF
THICKNESS AND ABUTMENT
SUBSTRATES ON
MASKING ABILITY
OF
TRANSLUCENT
MONOLITHIC ZIRCONIA
CERAMICS**

MOHD ZULKIFLI BIN KASSIM

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
**Doctor of Clinical Dentistry
(Prosthodontics)**

Faculty of Dentistry

December 2021

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The recommended aesthetic criteria for obtaining excellent masking ability of monolithic zirconia restoration involves the influence of abutment substrates and the thickness of the restoration factors. However, the effect of this factors on masking ability of monolithic zirconia restorations remains unclear. Hence, this study was carried out to determine the minimum thickness of monolithic zirconia to achieved acceptable masking ability and to evaluate the effects of brands, thickness and types of different substrates on colour difference. **Material and Methods:** 72 square-shaped disk specimens from 3 commercially available A2 shade translucent monolithic zirconia brands; Group HTA (Nacera[®] Pearl 1), Group HTB (DD cubeX²) and Group HTC (XTCERA TT) were prepared into 3 different thicknesses (1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 mm) according to manufacturer's instruction (n:8). The specimens were placed on a D4-shade resin composite (SB) and white acrylic (control) substrate, and their CIELab values were measured with a spectrophotometer. ΔE were calculated and compared with the established acceptable ($\Delta E = 5.5$) and perceptible ($\Delta E = 2.6$) tolerance thresholds. Brand specimens that showed greatest masking ability were further analysed on another 2 abutment substrates, D3 shade resin composite (SA) and precious gold alloy (SC). Two-way ANOVA was used to assess the interaction of brand, thickness and types of abutment substrates on ΔE . **Results:** Acceptable tolerance threshold was achieved with combination of brand and abutment substrates of specimen's thickness; HTA-SA (1.5 mm), HTA-SB (1.5 mm), HTA-SC (1.0 mm), HTB-SB (2.0 mm) and HTC-SB (2.0 mm), while HTA-SA (2.0 mm), HTA-SB (2.0 mm) and HTA-SC (1.5 and 2.0 mm) achieved perceptible tolerance threshold. Zirconia brand, thickness and abutment substrates affects the ΔE ($P < 0.001$). **Conclusion:** Within limitation of this study, acceptable masking ability of monolithic zirconia mostly could be achieved with 2.0 mm thickness. Types of abutment substrates, brands and thickness affects the masking ability of translucent monolithic zirconia.

Keywords: Masking Ability, Monolithic Zirconia, Acceptable tolerance, Perceptible tolerance, Spectrophotometry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I wish to thank God for giving me the opportunity to embark on my PhD and for completing this long and challenging journey successfully. My gratitude and thanks go to my supervisor **Dr. Nor Wati @ Nur Atikah Mustafa** and co-supervisor **Prof. Dr. Rohana Ahmad**.

My appreciation goes to the Centre of Restorative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for their sponsorship and for giving me the chance to further my study. I would also like to thank all the doctors and staff at the Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Teknologi MARA for their support and help throughout the course.

I would also like to thank my family and friends, especially my parents, **Kassim Ibrahim** and **Bedah Maidu**, without their love, prayers, motivation and support I would not be able to finish and complete this thesis, thank you.

Finally, this thesis is dedicated to everyone who suffers from mental illness, especially my older brother and niece. Their perseverance and determination will always serve as an example and encouragement to me. My prayers are always with them, amin.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR’S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTARCT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Aim	3
1.4 Objectives	4
1.5 Hypotheses	4
1.6 Thesis Outline	4
 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	 6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Overview of Zirconia Ceramic	7
2.2.1 History of Zirconia	7
2.2.2 Development of Zirconia	8
2.2.3 Generation of Zirconia Ceramic	10
2.2.4 Types of Zirconia Ceramic	12
2.2.5 Types of Zirconia-made Dental Prosthesis	12
2.2.6 Types of Monolithic Zirconia Restorations	16
2.2.7 Laboratory Processing of Monolithic Zirconia Restorations	16

2.2.8	Clinical Performance of Monolithic Zirconia Restorations	22
2.3	Colour in Dentistry	23
2.3.1	Colour Measurement	23
2.3.2	Colour Differences Measurement	24
2.3.3	Masking Ability	25
2.3.4	Shade Mismatch Threshold	26
2.4	Factors Affecting Masking Ability of Monolithic Zirconia	29
2.4.1	Translucency of Monolithic Zirconia	29
2.4.2	Basic Theory of Translucency	29
2.4.3	Translucency Quantification	30
2.4.4	Factors Affecting Translucency of Zirconia	31
2.4.5	Material Thickness	34
2.4.6	Dental Substrates	36
2.4.7	Cement	37
2.5	Conclusion	39
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		40
3.1	Pilot Study	40
3.1.1	Specimen Preparation	40
3.1.2	Substrates Preparation	42
3.1.3	Spectrophotometry Analysis	43
3.1.4	Statistical Analysis	44
3.2	Main Study	45
3.2.1	Sample Size Calculation	45
3.2.2	Specimen Preparation	46
3.2.2	Substrates Preparation	48
3.2.4	Spectrophotometer Analysis	49
3.2.5	Statistical Analysis	50
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS		53
4.1	Pilot Study	53
4.2	Main Study	57
4.2.1	To Determine the Minimum Thickness Required for Different Types of Translucent Monolithic Zirconia to Achieve Perceptible	