UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

THE ACCEPTANCE OF EDMODO AMONG TECHNICAL INSTRUCTORS: A CASE IN KUALA LANGAT COMMUNITY COLLEGE

NUR HAFAZAH BINTI SHARIN

Computing Project submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Information Technology

Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

Jan 2017

ABSTRACT

E-learning focuses on education transformation in term of teaching and learning process using electronic means in order to create innovative and value to the institution. This study focuses on e-learning methods in teaching delivery using tool called Edmodo. Edmodo is a free tool available in the Internet which can be accessed ubiquitously. Edmodo course has been conducted among community college instructors to provide an alternative for teaching delivery and improve the process of course delivery. However, the adoption and acceptance among instructors on Edmodo is still lacking. This study is conducted to identify factors that contribute to the acceptance of Edmodo among technical instructors. The research model was adapted from Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and extended TAM (perceived convenience and perceived innovativeness) which is the most appropriate model adapted by previous literatures for determining the factors that lead to the acceptance of technology. A survey is conducted with 70 instructors at Kuala Langat Community College (KKKLA). Data collected is analyzed using SPSS. The findings reveal that perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, perceived convenience and perceived innovativeness are significant contribute to the Edmodo acceptance. This study also determines the limitation and challenges of adopting Edmodo which can be improved for future recommendations in technical institution such as KKKLA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, the deepest gratitude of all shall be bestowed to Allah the Almighty and The Merficul for all the insight which He gave us. Only with His permission this study can be completed.

My deepest gratitude is extended to Dr Nurulhuda Binti Noordin, for all assistance, advice, guidance, encouragement, ideas and invaluable support given as my project supervisor for better quality in my research.

My deepest gratitude to all staff of Kuala Langat Community College including academic staff that participate in my study and give morale support to the accomplishment of this study. I would like to convey my special thanks to all my colleagues who encourage me directly and indirectly. Also thanks to classmates along the CS770 journey for all sharing ideas, commitments and support through along the completeness of this study.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents, siblings and families for their continuous support and encouragement towards my studies.

Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STUDEN	NT'S	DECLARATION	i
ABSTRA	ACT.		ii
ACKNO	WLE	DGEMENTi	ii
TABLE	OF C	ONTENTSi	v
APPEND	OIX		/i
LIST OF	TAE	BLESv	ii
LIST OF	FIG	URESvi	ii
LIST OF	ABE	BREVIATIONSi	x
СНАРТ	ER	1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	INT	RODUCTION	1
1.2	RES	SEARCH BACKGROUND	1
1.3	PRO	DBLEM STATEMENT	3
1.4	RES	SEARCH QUESTIONS	4
1.5	RES	SEARCH OBJECTIVES	4
1.6	HYI	POTHESES TO BE TESTED	5
1.7	SCC	DPE	5
1.8	SIG	NIFICANCE	5
1.9		IITATIONS	
1.10	RESEARCH APPROACH		
1.11		GANIZATION OF THE STUDY	
1.12	SUN	MMARY	9
СНАРТ	ER	2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	INT	RODUCTION1	0
2.2	E-L	EARNING1	0
2.2.1		Online Learning Frameworks	1
2.2.2		E-learning Technologies	3
2.2.3		Challenges of E-learning	.1
2.2.4		Advantages of E-Learning	2
2.3	МО	DEL AND THEORY RELATED2	.3
2.3.	1	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	4

2.3	Theory of User Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	25	
2.3	3.3 Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT)	27	
2.3	3.4 Concept of Perceived Convenience	28	
2.4	SUMMARY	29	
СНАР	TER 3: METHODOLOGY		
3.1	INTRODUCTION	30	
3.2	RESEARCH APPROACH	30	
3.2	2.1 Phase 1: Planning	31	
3.2	2.2 Phase 2: Design	32	
3.2	2.3 Phase 3: Data Collection and Analysis	36	
3.2	2.4 Phase 4: Documentation	39	
3.3	SUMMARY	39	
СНАР	TER 4: FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS		
4.1	INTRODUCTION	40	
4.2	ANALYSIS OF QUESTIONNAIRE	40	
4.2	2.1 Demographic Profile	40	
4.2	The Difference between Demographic Profile and Emodo Acceptance	42	
4.2	2.3 The Difference between Education Level and Edmodo Acceptance	44	
4.2	2.4 Pearson Correlation	46	
4.2	2.5 Reliability Analysis	50	
4.3	DISCUSSION	50	
4.4	SUMMARY	54	
СНАР	TER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	INTRODUCTION	55	
5.2	CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY		
5.3	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EDMODO ADOPTION	56	
5.4	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	56	
5.5	SUMMARY	57	