UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

DETERMINATION OF CONSUMERS' TRUST ELEMENT IN E-COMMERCE SYSTEM

FATIMAHTULZZAHARAH BINTI ISMAIL

Computing Project submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science in Information Technology**

Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

January 2017

ABSTRACT

With technology growth rapidly, nowadays, it is easy to buy any products online. It's including clothing, accessories, food, electronics' products and furniture. Electronic commerce was developed to make people in the world feeling very happy and easier to get their favourite stuff anywhere, anytime. But not all people love to buy through online. They think that online shopping not follow their demands. Other than that, they lack of beliefs that their information will be protected and not abuse by the merchants. Company that have negative issue also is the main factors why people didn't want to buy online. Through previous research and analysis, this study aims to identify the factor that lead the consumer to trust the e-commerce website by using Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). Seven elements has been identified and extended based from TAM which is security, privacy, risk perception, feedback, design, content and company's reputation. The data which has been collected was analysed by using quantitative method. 131 of people was randomly selected to be the respondent of this study. Analysis of the study confirms that company's reputation has the most significant relationship towards trust of online shopping website. This study also determined the significant, limitation and recommendation for the merchant in order to get the trust from their customer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, the deepest gratitude of all shall be bestowed to Allah the Almighty and The Merciful for all the insight which He gave to us that lead to the completion of this research. Without His blessings and consent, I might not have enough courage and determination to complete this research. All my thanks and appreciation will be lay upon Him.

My gratitude and thanks to my supervisor, Puan Nor Aziah Binti Daud, for her advice, support, new ideas, time spent, comments and all encouragement in assisting me for the better quality in my research. Not to forget all my Master's friends that never give up to give idea and encourage each other to complete this research.

My appreciation goes to my boss, Dr Loi Chan Kuan and Puan Masitah Ibrahim that give me time and opportunity to finish this project. Special thanks to my colleague and friends for helping me with this project.

Finally, my deepest gratitude to my beloved parents and families that give encourage for me to success. Without their pray and support during the tough and limited time, this thesis would not have been complete successfully.

Thank you so much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|--|-----|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | | | | |
| ABSTRACT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | | | | |
| | | | | TAB |
| LIST | Γ OF TABLES | ix | | |
| LIST | Γ OF FIGURES | xi | | |
| СНА | APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 | | |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 | | |
| 1.2 | Background of Research | 1 | | |
| 1.3 | Problem Statement | 3 | | |
| 1.4 | Research Question | 4 | | |
| 1.5 | Research Objectives | 4 | | |
| 1.6 | Research Scope | 4 | | |
| 1.7 | Significant of Research | 5 | | |
| 1.8 | Research Approach | 5 | | |
| 1.9 | Outline of Research | 6. | | |
| 1.10 | Conclusion | 7 | | |
| CHA | APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 | | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 8 | | |
| 2.2 | Traditional Retail | | | |
| 2.3 | E-Commerce | | | |
| 2.4 | Trust Background | 11 | | |
| | 2.4.1 Online Trust in E-Commerce | 13 | | |
| | 2.4.2 Element Trust in E-Commerce | 14 | | |
| 2.5 | Research Instrument | | | |
| 2.6 | Research Method and Analysis | | | |
| | 2.6.1 Fundamentals Model | 17 | | |

| | | 2.6.1.1 Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) | 17 |
|-----|-----------------|--|----|
| | | 2.6.1.2 TRMSim-WSN | 18 |
| | | 2.6.1.3 TCSRTrust Model | 19 |
| | | 2.6.1.4 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) | 20 |
| | 2.6.2 | Data Analysis | 25 |
| 2.7 | Concl | usion | 26 |
| | | | |
| CHA | APTER | THREE: METHODOLOGY | 27 |
| 3.1 | Introd | uction | 27 |
| 3.2 | Resear | rch Process | 27 |
| | 3.2.1 | Phase 1: Planning / Preparation | 28 |
| | 3.2.2 | Phase 2: Functional Requirement Study | 29 |
| | | 3.2.2.1 Research Model | 29 |
| | | 3.2.2.2 Research Hypothesis | 30 |
| | | 3.2.2.3 Research Instrument | 30 |
| | | 3.2.2.4 Reliability Test | 32 |
| | 3.2.3 | Phase 3: Data Analysis | 32 |
| | 3.2.4 | Phase 4: Documentation | 33 |
| 3.3 | Analy | sis Model | 35 |
| 3.4 | Sampling Design | | 36 |
| | 3.4.1 | Target Population | 36 |
| | 3.4.2 | Sample Size | 36 |
| 3.5 | Conch | usion | 36 |
| | | | |
| CHA | APTER | FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION | 35 |
| 4.1 | Introd | uction | 35 |
| 4.2 | Respo | nse Rate | 35 |
| 4.3 | Demo | graphic Profile | 36 |
| | 4.3.1 | The Difference between Demographic Profile and | |
| | | Trustworthy | 37 |
| | | 4.3.1.1 Difference of Gender and Trustworthy | 37 |
| | | 4.3.1.2 Difference of Age and Trustworthy | 38 |
| | | 4.3.1.3 Difference of Occupation and Trustworthy | 38 |