UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

FACTORS ACCEPTANCE OF EMPLOYEE MONITORING SOFTWARE

NUR SYAFEEQA BINTI ROSLEE

Computing Project submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science in Information Technology**

Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

January 2017

ABSTRACT

With advances in software technology nowadays, it is easy to monitor all the computers that we used. My Activity Planning System (MAPS) is developed to record and monitor the activities performed by each employee in HSBC Software Development. This study focuses its research and analysis on the issues of monitoring software which has been implemented. Many employees argue about the use of monitoring software in organization. Since MAPS software is used to track employee's activity, they need to be in front of the desktop for eight hours non-stop. Too much focused on the job can affect the body system or even stress. This study aims to evaluate the acceptance factors of employee monitoring software by using Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) model and also to identify the ethical concern on using MAPS. Three constructs have been identified and extended based from UTAUT model which are performance, behavior and privacy & standard. The data which has been collected was analyzed by using quantitative method. 150 employee were randomly selected to be the respondent of this study. The findings reveal that the performance and privacy & standard have significant relationship towards MAPS acceptance. This study also determined the contribution, significant, limitation and future recommendation not only for HSBC, yet towards the organization worldwide.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful.

All praises to Allah, peace and blessings to the beloved Prophet Muhammad SAW. My infinite gratitude are due to Allah above lengthened consent, I managed to complete this project on time despite some constraints during the preparation of this report.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Nurulhuda Noordin for assistance, advice, providing guidance which is very useful for me to prepare this report. Any input will be keep and will be used for the future. A big thank you also for her willingness to take time to discuss and review the reports that I have prepared.

Not to forgot, I would like to thank to all HSBC Software Developments employee for their willingness and cooperation of providing necessary information. And also for all lecturer and colleagues who helped directly or indirectly in providing encouragement and motivation to complete this project.

Last but not least, towards the most important person in my life, who often gave me full support and positive vibes for this 2 years of Master study. Without their blessing, I won't managed to reach at this top of level.

Thank You.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | | | | | Page | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------|--------|----------|------------|--------------|------|---------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | | | | | | | ii | | | | | | | | |
| ABSTRACT | | | | | | | iii | | | | | | | | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | 1.1 | Overview | | | | | | 1 |
| 1.2 | Research Background | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.3 | Prelimina | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.4 | Research 1 | Problem | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5 | Research Question | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.6 | Research Objective | | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7 | Hypothesis To Be Tested | | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.8 | Research Scope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.9 | Research Significance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.10 | Research Approach | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.11 | Chapter Organization | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.12 | Summary | | | | | | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CHAI | PTER TWO | D: LITERA | TURE | REVIEV | V | | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | on | | | | | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2 | Electronic | Monitoring | 5 | | | | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 | Types | of | | Electron | ic S | Surveillance | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4 | Factors | and | Impact | of | Electronic | Monitoring | 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 | Theories and Approaches 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.5.1 | Theory | | of | Planned | Behavior | 14 | | | | | | | | |

| | 2.5.2 | Technology Acceptance Model | 15 | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2.5.2.1 Factors of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) | 17 | | | | | | |
| | 2.5.3 | Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) | 18 | | | | | | |
| | | 2.5.3.1 Factors of UTAUT | 19 | | | | | | |
| 2.6 | Concl | usion | 24 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CHA | PTER 7 | THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 25 | | | | | | |
| 3.1 | Introd | uction | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Resear | Research Approach | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.1 | Planning Phase | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.2 | Design Phase | 27 | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.2.1 Research Model | | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.2.2 Research Hypothesis | | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.2.3 Research Instrument | | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.2.4 Reliability Test | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.3 | Data Collection and Analysis Phase | | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.3.1 Survey | 31 | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.3.2 Target Population and Sampling | 32 | | | | | | |
| | | 3.2.3.3 Data Analysis | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.4 | Documentation | | | | | | | |
| 3.3 | Concl | usion | 34 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CHA | PTER I | FOUR: FINDING AND ANALYSIS | 35 | | | | | | |
| 4.1 | Introd | uction | 35 | | | | | | |
| 4.2 | Analy | Analysis of Survey | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.1 | Cronbach' s Alpha Analysis | 35 | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.2 | Demographic Analysis | 36 | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.2.1 Difference of Age and Employee Monitoring Accep | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.2.2 Difference of Total Working Experience and Employe | | | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring Acceptance | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.2.3 Difference of Working Position Title and Employee | 39 | | | | | | |
| | | Monitoring Acceptance | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2.3 | Relationship Strength Variable | 39 | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.3.1 Correlation of Performance and Employee Monitoring | 40 | | | | | | |