

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

THE RELATIONSHIP OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON FIRM PERFORMANCE IN MALAYSIA OIL & GAS INDUSTRY

NUR SYAMIMI RAJIAH BINTI SHUKOR 2017108631

Final Year Project Paper submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Business Administration (Investment Management)

Faculty of Business and Management

February 2021

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this final year project paper was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the results of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Undergraduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student

Nur Syamimi Rajiah Binti Shukor

Student I.D. No.

2017108631

Programme

Bachelor of Business and Administration (Hons)

Investment Management

Faculty

Business and Management

Thesis

The Relationship of Capital Structure on Firm

Performance in Malaysia Oil & Gas Industry

Signature of Student

Date

February 2021

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to investigate the relationship of capital structure on firm performance in Malaysia oil & gas industry. The return of asset (ROA) is chosen as dependent variables in this study. There are five independent variables to be used which are Long-term debt (LTD), Short-term debt (STD), Total Debt (TD), Growth Opportunities (G), and Size of Firm (S). Quarterly data is gathered over period 2012 to 2019. The data is gathered from quarterly report of Petronas. A multiple linear regression is use in this study. Furthermore, econometric tests are employed to observe the relationship of capital structure on Petronas performance. Interactive software package E-views would be used for testing and analysing the data collected. Besides, the expected finding is long-term debt, short-term debt, and total debt were not significant at 5% significance level with ROA. Moreover, size of firm and growth opportunities found a positively significant with ROA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am very grateful to be able to prepare this research successfully. I would never been able to finish research without the guidance from the lecturer, help from friends and support from our family. A very thankful to Allah for answering my prayers for giving me strength plods on despites my constitution wanting to give up and throw in the towel.

Secondly, I also would like to express our highest appreciation and thankfulness to my advisor, Sir Kamal Fahrulrazy Rahim for his excellent guidance, caring, patience and providing me with an excellent atmosphere during our research.

Special and big thanks to my lectures especially Dr Roslina, Madam Suzana, Madam Zuraidah and Madam Noraishah who helping me out this research. It should be noted that the knowledge I received were really helped me while completing this research paper.

Big thanks to all my BM251 members who always shared opinions and experience among us. I also would like to acknowledge to the parties that helping me in preparing this research either directly or indirectly.

Finally, special thanks for my parents and family who always support and advices. Without their encouragement, I would not have to finish this research paper.

Contents

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION		i
ABSTRACT		ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		iii
LIST OF TABLES		vii
LIST OF FIGURES		viii
LIST OF SYMBOLS		ix
СНА	PTER ONE	1
INTR	ODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Research Background	2
1.3	Problem Statement	4
1.4	Research Questions	5
1.5	Research Objectives	6
1.6	Significance of the Study	7
1.7	Scope of the Study	8
1.8	Limitation of Study	9
1.9	Definition of Key Term	10
1.10	Summary	12
CHAPTER TWO		13
LITE	RATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.3	Literature Review: Long-term Debt (LTD), Short-term Debt (STD), and total	
	debt (TD)	15
2.4	Literature Review: Size of firm (S)	16
2.6	Research Framework	18