UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA



UNDERSTANDING PERAK AS A TRAVEL DESTINATION: DOMESTIC TOURISTS' BEHAVIOUR AND TRAVEL CHOICE

ANESHA HUMAIRA BINTI ABU HASAN HALEESYA BINTI ABU BAKAR SARAH BINTI AHMAD RAZLAH

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE (HONS.) STATISTICS FACULTY OF COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

JUNE 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, our many thanks goes to our esteemed supervisor Madam Noorezatty Mohd Yusop for her invaluable supervision, support and tutelage during the course of our Bachelor's degree. Our gratitude extends to the Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences for the opportunity to undertake our studies at the Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM). Additionally, we would like to express gratitude to our lecturers for their treasured support which was really influential in shaping our Final Year Project. We would like to thank each other for committing to this project and seeing to every detail to ensure an informative insight on the research. Thank you for the cherished time spent together pushing through all difficulties and challenges while being confined at home during this pandemic. Our appreciation also goes out to our family and friends for their encouragement and support all through our studies.

ABSTRACT

Identifying tourist most preferred travel destination based on travel criteria offers the ability to properly promote a travel destination. This can benefit Tourism Perak Malaysia to further understand and make the appropriate promoting strategies. The objectives of this study are to determine the travel behaviour of domestic tourists in visiting Perak, to determine the relative importance of travel criteria for selecting Pulau Pangkor, Ipoh, and Kuala Kangsar as travel destinations and to determine the most preferred travel destination based on tourist criteria. In this research, data were obtained from 219 respondents through a survey. Descriptive analysis and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) were employed for analysis. Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is an effective Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Method (MCDM) which provides a rank of travel criteria for the selection of travel destination. Although it is commonly used for ranking individual preference, the technique can be extended for group decision making. The AHP decision problem was structured into a three-level hierarchy with four criteria involved in the selection of travel destination; beauty, cost, transportation and accommodation. results showed that among the four travel criteria selected, the most important criterion in selecting a travel destination is beauty followed by cost, accommodation and transportation. Meanwhile in every criteria, Ipoh was rated at the highest rank by respondents. Overall, Ipoh is recommended as the most preferred travel destination in Perak followed by Pulau Pangkor and Kuala Kangsar. In addition, information of demographic characteristics and tourists' behaviour helps in tourist analysis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTI	RACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES		iv
		v
		vi
Chapte	er 1 Introduction	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Objectives	3
1.4	Research Questions	4
1.5	1	4
1.6	Significance of Study	5
Chapte	er 2 Literature Review	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Tourists' Attractions in Ipoh, Kuala Kangsar and Pulau Pangkor	6
2.3	Decision Criteria That Influence Tourists' Travel Choice	7
	2.3.1 Accommodation	8
	2.3.2 Transportation	8
	2.3.3 Scenic Beauty	9
	2.3.4 Cost	9
2.4	The Application of Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) in Tourism	10
2.5	Conclusion	12
Chapte	er 3 Research Methodology	13
3.1	Introduction	13
3.2	Research Design	13
3.3	Research Population and Sample	13
3.4	Data Collection Method and Instrumentation	14
3.5	Method of Analysis	16
	3.5.1 Descriptive Statistics	16
	3.5.2 The Analytical Hierarchy Process	16
	3.5.3 Modification of Inconsistent Pair-wise Matrices	22
Chapte	er 4 Results and Discussion	23
4.1	Introduction	23
4.2	Demographic Characteristics of Respondents	23

4.3	Travel Behaviour of Domestic Tourists in Visiting Perak	25
	4.3.1 Mode of Transportation by Types of Travellers	27
	4.3.2 Accommodation Choices by Types of Travellers	28
	4.3.3 Accommodation Choices by Income Range	29
	4.3.4 Visit duration by Location	30
4.4	Rating of Travel Destination According to Travel Criteria	31
4.5	Rating of Decision Criteria and Overall Ranking	33
4.6	Discussion	35
Chapte	r 5 Conclusion and Recommendation	38
5.1	Introduction	38
5.2	Conclusion	38
5.3	Recommendation	40