

FACTORS LEAD TO MISBEHAVIOUR IN CLASS ACCORDING TO STUDENTS' PERCEPTION AT UITM SEREMBAN 3

MOHD DZIKRI BIN SAHARIZAN MARSYA MAIZURA BINTI MOHD SAM NUR SYAFIQAH BINTI MD KAHAR

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE (HONS.) STATISTICS FACULTY OF COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

FEBRUARY 2021

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to describe the factors that lead to misbehaviour in class according to the students' perception in Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) Seremban 3. 372 students were surveyed regarding their perception toward the factors that lead to misbehaviour in class. There are five factors that the researchers want to study which are lecturer's personality, student's lifestyle, influence from social media, student's time management, and facilities. This study used several methods to analyze the research The Spearman Correlation method was used to analyze the relationship objectives. between the factors that affect the students' perceptions on misbehaviour in class. The analysis shows that the relationship for lecturer's personality has a moderate positive relationship, while the other four factors such as student's lifestyle, influence from social media, student's time management, and facilities have weak positive relationship with students' perception of misbehaviour in class. In addition, this study also aims to identify the factors that lead to misbehaviour in class according to students' perceptions. То achieve this objective, this study used the Multiple Linear Regression analysis and the results show that there are three factors that lead to misbehaviour in class. Those factors are lecturer's personality, influence from social media, and student's time management. Moreover, the Kruskal Wallis test was used to identify the final objective which is to identify the differences in students' perceptions between difference faculties in UiTM Seremban 3. The results show that all of the students in the three faculties have the same perceptions toward factors that lead to misbehaviour in class. For future researchers, they can use a different data collection method such as face to face interview for the same research, but perhaps for a different population.

Keywords: Lecturer's Personality, Student's Lifestyle, Influence from Social Media, Student's Time Management, Facilities, Misbehaviour in Class

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah S.W.T, the Most Loving and Merciful, who made it easy for us to complete our research in a short time, Alhamdulillah.

An award we would like to express is our very great appreciation to our supervisor, Madam Zaitul Anna Melisa Binti Md. Yasin for helping us to complete this research. Furthermore, we would also like to thank Madam Aini Binti Abdul Wahab and Dr. Nurul Nisa' Binti Khairol Azmi who taught and used their time to assist us in completing our Final Year Project for our Semester 5 and Semester 6, which will be helpful for the university administration to control misbehaviour among students. We would also like to express our gratitude to the friends who cooperated with us in completing this Final Year Project and to our parents who have always supported and encouraged us to complete this Final Year Project. We would also like to thank the other lecturers who taught us a lot and made it easier for us with their knowledge in completing this project.

In addition, a lot of thanks to our team members, Mohd Dzikri Bin Saharizan, Marsya Maizura Binti Mohd. Sam, and Nur Syafiqah Binti Md. Kahar for their cooperation and have been responsible in preparing this Final Year Project. Last but not least, we would like to ask for forgiveness if this Final Year Project does not satisfy what the people needs. For its existence, we would love to receive any comments which can help us in our next research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTE	RACT	i
ACKN	OWLEDGMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES		v
		vi
LIST C	DF FIGURES	vii
Chapte		1
1.1	Background of Study	1
	Problem Statement	5
	Research Objective	7
	Research Question	7
	Research Hypothesis	8
1.6	e ,	9
1.7	1 2	9
1.8	Limitation of The Study	10
Chapte	r 2 Literature Review	11
2.1	Introduction	11
	Lecturer's Personality	11
	Student's Lifestyle	12
2.4	Influence From Social Media	13
2.5	e	14
	Facilities	15
2.7	Conclusion	16
Chapte	er 3 Methodology	17
3.1	Introduction	17
	Research Design	17
3.3	Population and Sample Size	19
	3.3.1 Population	19
	3.3.2 Sample Size	20
3.4	Sampling Method	21
	3.4.1 Sampling Technique	21
	3.4.2 Sample Selected	21
3.5	Research Instruments	22
3.6	Data Collection Method	24
3.7	Method of Analysis	25
	3.7.1 Measure of Reliability	25

	3.7.2 Reliability Test For Pilot Study	25
	3.7.3 To determine the relationship between Lecturer's personality,	
	student's lifestyle, influence from social media, student's time	
	management, facilities and students' perception towards	
	misbehaviour in class	26
	3.7.4 To determine the factors that leads to misbehaviour in class	-
	accroding to stduents' perception	27
	3.7.5 To identify if there is significant difference between the Faculty of Computers and Mathematical Siences (FSKM), the Faculty of	_,
	Administrative Science and Policy Study (FSPPP) and Faculty of	
	Sport Science and Recreation (FSR) in students' perception	
	towards misbehaviour in class	31
3.8	Conclusion	32
010		0-
Chapte	r 4 Result And Analysis	33
4.1	Introduction	33
4.2	Descriptive Analysis	33
4.3	The Relationship Between Lecturer's Personality, Student's Lifestyle,	
	Influence From Social Media, Student's Time Management, Facilities And	
	Student's Perception Towards Misbehaviour In Class	40
	4.3.1 Normality Test	40
	4.3.2 Spearman Correlation Between Lecturer's Personality, Student's	
	Lifestyle, Influence From Social Media, Student's Time Management, Facilities and Perception Towards Misbehaviour in	
	Class	41
4.4	The Factors That Lead To Misbehaviour In Class	42
	4.4.1 First Model	42
	4.4.2 Model Adequacy Checking	43
	4.4.3 Final Model	48
	4.4.4 Model Adequacy Checking	49
	4.4.5 Model Summary	52
	4.4.6 Factor lead to Misbehaviour in Class	52
	4.4.7 Coefficient for Final Model	53
4.5	Comparison students' perception towards misbehaviour in class between	
	Faculty	54
	4.5.1 Test of Normality in Faculty	54
	4.5.2 The Distribution Box Plot of Faculty	55
	4.5.3 Kruskal Wallis Test	56
4.6	Discussion	57
4.7	Conclusion	58
Chapte	r 5 Conclusion And Future Recommendation	59
5.1		59 59
5.2		60
5.4		00