EVALUATION OF TOXIC METALS CONCENTRATION IN DIFFERENT BRANDS OF DEODORANT PRODUCT

SITI FAIRUZ BINTI YUSOFF

Final Year Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Chemistry In the Faculty of Applied Sciences Universiti Teknologi MARA

JULY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| TAI LIS LIS ABS | KNOWLEDGEMENTS BLE OF CONTENTS T OF TABLES T OF ABBREVIATIONS STRACT STRAK | iii iv vi vii x xi |
| СН | APTER 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 | Background of study Problem statement Significance of study | 1 3 4 5 |
| | APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.2 | Heavy metal 2.1.1 Aluminium (Al) 2.1.2 Lead (Pb) 2.1.3 Arsenic (As) 2.1.4 Cadmium (Cd) 2.1.5 Mercury (Hg) Deodorants product Toxicity assessment Permissible limits by ASEAN Guidelines (2017), World Health Organization, U.S Food and Drug Administration (2018) and U.S Pharmacopeia (2012) | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 |
| CH. | APTER 3 METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Materials 3.1.1 List of chemicals 3.1.2 List of apparatus 3.1.3 List of instruments Sample collection | 16 16 16 17 |
| 3.3 | Sample preparation | 19 |
| 3.4 3.5 3.6 | Sample analysis Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) Toxicity assessment | 19 21 22 |

| | 3.6.1 Calculation of Systemic Exposure Dosage (SED) | 22 | |
|-----|--|----|--|
| | 3.6.2 Calculation of Non Observed Adverse Effect | 22 | |
| | Level (NOAEL) | | |
| | 3.6.3 Calculation of Margin of Safety (MoS) | 23 | |
| 3.7 | | 24 | |
| | U.S Pharmacopeia (2012) | | |
| | c.o i naimacopeia (2012) | | |
| | | | |
| CH | APTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | | |
| 4.1 | Heavy metal concentration in different brands of deodorant | 25 | |
| | product | 29 | |
| 4.2 | Toxicity assessment | 29 | |
| | 4.2.1 Systemic Exposure Dosage (SED) | 31 | |
| | 4.2.2 Margin of Safety (MoS) | 33 | |
| 4.3 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| CH | APTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | | |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 35 | |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 36 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| CIT | CITED REFERENCES | | |
| API | APPENDICES CURRICULUM VITAE | | |
| CUI | | | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Caption | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Permissible limits by ASEAN Guidelines (2017), World Health Organization (2007), U.S Food and Drug Administration (2018) and U.S. Pharmacopeia (2012) | 15 |
| 3.1 | The details that recorded DOM, DOE and DOP of samples | 17 |
| 3.2 | The details that recorded IL of samples | 18 |
| 3.3 | ICP-OES operating condition | 21 |
| 3.4 | Wavelength used for elemental determination by ICP-OES | 21 |
| 3.5 | Parameter used for estimation of SED via dermal contact pathway | 22 |
| 3.6 | Parameter used for estimation NOAEL | 23 |
| 3.7 | Standard limits by U.S FDA (2018) and U.S Pharmacopeia (2012) | 24 |
| 4.1 | The concentration of Heavy metals in selected brands and control (mg/kg) [mean±SD, n=3] | 29 |
| 4.2 | SED estimated (mg/kg·bw/day) | 30 |
| 4.3 | MoS estimated | 32 |
| 4.4 | Comparison of permissible limit of selected heavy metals | 33 |

ABSTRACT

EVALUATION OF TOXIC METALS CONCENTRATION IN DIFFERENT BRANDS OF DEODORANT PRODUCT

The study was aimed to assess the level of some toxic metals in different deodorant product brands. Six (6) deodorants were analyzed for heavy metals after wet digestion with concentrated aqua regia (HNO₃:HCl=1:3). The concentration of heavy metals (aluminum, lead, nickel, copper, iron and mercury) were determined in triplicate using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) and cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CV-AAS) for mercury. The ranges of mean 0.44-518.30. concentration in deodorants are 3.99x10⁻³-215.13x10⁻³, 0.28-0.42, 0.16-0.72 mg kg⁻¹ for Al, Ni, Hg, Cu and Fe respectively. In comparison to the permissible limit of the mean concentration of heavy metals in all deodorant were under the permissible limit set by the United State Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the United State Pharmacopeia. The Systemic Exposure Dose (SED) after the deodorant application at 0.5 µg/cm² estimated that below their respective provisional tolerable daily intakes/recommended daily intakes. The Margin of Safety (MoS) values were greater than 100 which consider as safely for consumed, however, less than 100 values of MoS that indicated these products are containing unsafe metals for consumed in long terms such as Al and Hg. Frequently use the deodorant products for the long term may lead the metals accumulating in body tissues and hence cause certain health complications.