



UNIVERSITI
TEKNOLOGI
MARA

Cawangan Kedah
Kampus Sungai Petani

Voice of Academia

Academic Series of Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah

VoA 2021
Volume 17 Issue 2

COMMITTEE PAGE

Advisory Board

Professor Dr. Mohamad Abdullah Hemdi

Campus Rector

Associate Professor Ts. Dr. Azhari Md Hashim

Deputy Rector, Research and Industrial Linkages Department

Chief Editor

Dr Junaida Ismail

*Faculty of Administrative & Policy Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah*

Editorial Team

Aishah Musa,

*Academy of Language Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Etty Harniza Harun,

*Faculty of Business Management,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Mohd Nazir Rabun

*Faculty of Administrative Science and Policy Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Syahrini Shawalludin

*Faculty of Art and Design,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Suhaida Abu Bakar

*Academy Contemporary of Islamic Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Khairul Wanis Ahmad

*Facility Management & ICT Division,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Editorial Board

Professor Dr Diana Kopeva,
University of National and World Economy,
Sofia, Bulgaria

Professor Dr Kiyet Tunca Caliyurt,
Faculty of Accountancy,
Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey

Prof Sivamurugan Pandian,
School of Social Science,
Universiti Sains Malaysia

Dr. Simon Jackson
Faculty of Health, Arts and Design,
Swinburne University of Technology Melbourne, AUST

Professor Dr M. Nauman Farooqi,
Faculty of Business & Social Sciences,
Mount Allison University, New Brunswick, Canada

Prof Madya Dr Wan Adibah Wan Ismail
Faculty of Accountancy,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

Dr Azlyn Ahmad Zawawi,
Faculty of Administrative Sciences & Policy Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

Dr Azzyati Anuar
Faculty of Business Management,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

Dr Neesa Ameera Mohammed Salim
Faculty of Art & Design,
Universiti Teknologi MARA, UiTM Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

Dr Muhamad Khairul Anuar Zulkepli
Academy of Language Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

Dr Mohd Nasir Ayub
Academy Contemporary of Islamic Studies,
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

Content Reviewer

Associate Professor Dr Zalinda Othman
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

Associate Professor Dr Fadzilah Azni Ahmad
Universiti Sains Malaysia

Associate Professor Dr Mahadir Ladisma @ Awis
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Associate Professor Dr Noor Zahirah Mohd Sidek
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Associate Professor Dr Roziya Abu
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Associate Professor Dr Wan Adibah Wan Ismail
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Ainul Azmin Md Zamin
Universiti Islam Antarabangsa Malaysia

Dr Azlyn Ahmad Zawawi
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Azyyati Anuar
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr. Dahlia Ibrahim
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Mahadzir Ismail
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Mohd Nasir Ayob
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Muhamad Khairul Anuar Zulkepli
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Noorhaslinda Abd Rahman
Universiti Sains Malaysia

Dr Norhidayah Ali
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Nur Zafifa Kamaruzaman
Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Radzliyana Radzuan, AIIA

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Dr Siti Zuliha Razali

Universiti Sains Malaysia

Language Reviewer

Dr Wan Irham Ishak

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Aishah Musa

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Azlan Abdul Rahman

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Bawani Selvaraj

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Ho Chui Chui

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Juwairiah Osman

Universiti Malaysia Pahang

Nor Aslah Adzmi

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Robekhah Harun

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Samsiah Bidin

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Sharina Saad

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Shafinah Mohd Salleh

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Allahyarhamah Zetty Harisha Harun

Universiti Teknologi MARA

e-ISSN: 2682-7840



Copyright © 2021 by the Universiti Teknologi MARA, Kedah

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher.

© Voice of Academia is jointly published by the Universiti Teknologi MARA Caawangan Kedah, Malaysia and Penerbit UiTM (UiTM Press), Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia, Shah Alam, Selangor.

The views, opinions and technical recommendations expressed by the contributors and authors are entirely their own and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editors, the Faculty or the University.

TABLE of CONTENTS

THE LUXURY VALUE PERCEPTION: MALAYSIAN EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE TOWARDS PURCHASE INTENTION Arunnaa a/p Sivapathy*, Safwan Marwin Abdul Murad ²	1 -10
THE IMPACT OF HALAL BRAND PERSONALITY AND ONLINE BRAND TRUST ON THE ONLINE HALAL FOOD PURCHASE INTENTION: A CONCEPTUAL PAPER Musdiana Mohamad Salleh*, Ety Harniza Harun ²	11 -24
TAFSIR TEMATIK: ISTILAH AL-FULK DALAM AL-QURAN Siti Aisyah Yusof*, Noor Syahidah Mohamad Akhir ² , Muhammad Saiful Islami Mohd Taher ³ , Azrul Shahimy Mohd Yusof ⁴	25 - 35
AIR MALAYSIA POLLUTION INDEX GENERATION BY USING FUZZY LOGIC AIR QUALITY INDEX (FLAQI) SYSTEM Mohd Fazril Izhar Mohd Idris*, Siti Asma Mohamad Tohir ² , Khairu Azlan Abd Aziz ³	38 - 49
STRESSORS AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AMONG PART-TIME STUDENTS IN A PUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA Siti Rapidah Omar Ali*, Nurulain Ajit ² , Nur Shafini Mohd Said ³ , Khalid Amin Mat ⁴ , Nasiha Abdullah ⁵	50 - 61
KEPENTINGAN DAN SARANAN MENUNTUT ILMU MENURUT ISLAM BERDASARKAN DALIL AL-QURAN DAN AS-SUNNAH Amy Aziz, Muhammad Anas Ibrahim ² , Muhammad Saiful Islam Ismail ³ , Syaimak Ismail ⁴	62- 71
PREFERENCE TO PURCHASE ENTRANCE TICKETS ONLINE: A CASE STUDY OF ESCAPE PARK, PENANG Khor Poy Hua*, Nur Dinie Mustaqim Abdul Wahab ² , Lim Khong Chiu ³	72 - 89
MODELLING PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF ROHINGYA REFUGEES USING STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING (PLS) IN MALAYSIA Mohd Ramlan Mohd Arshad*, Mohd Na'eim Ajis ² , Aizat Khairi ³	90 - 102
THE INFLUENCE OF SOCIALIZATION ON EMPLOYEES' ASSIMILATION TACTICS IN MALAYSIAN MNC ORGANIZATIONS Kardina Kamaruddin*, Noor Malinjasari Ali ² , Nurul Nadzirah Azizan ³	103 - 131
INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL EFFICIENCY OF LISTED SMES IN MALAYSIA Hapsah S.Mohammad*	132 - 139
THE IMPACT OF JOB STRESS ON JOB SATISFACTION AMONG ACADEMICS OF PUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN SELANGOR Nur Shafini Mohd Said*, Nurfarhana Ilyia Mazelan ² , Siti Rapidah Omar Ali ³ , Khalid Amin Mat ⁴ , Nasiha Abdullah ⁵	140 - 146
CONTRIBUTING FACTORS ON CUSTOMER RETENTION FOR SUSTAINABILITY OF MALAYSIAN COMMERCIAL BANKS Chim Weng Kong*, Maria Abdul Rahman ²	147 - 157

DETECTION OF THE CORNER POINTS FROM A MAP Siti Sarah Raseli *, Norpah Mahat ² , Afina Amirhussain ³	158 - 163
INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION 4.0 & ACADEMIC LIBRARIANS: WHERE ARE WE NOW? Asmadi Mohammed Ghazali*, Abd Latif Abdul Rahman ² , Nor Hidayah Othman ³	164 - 176
EDUCATIONAL CARD GAME FOR CHINESE CHARACTER LEARNING Ting Hie Ling*, Lam Kai Chee ²	177 - 185
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN E-TRAINING, MOTIVATION AND JOB PERFORMANCE DURING MOVEMENT CONTROL ORDER Nur Atiqah Adnan*, Shaiful Annuar Khalid ²	186 - 198
PENJANAAN HASIL WAKAF MENERUSI KAEDAH IJARAH DALAM KELESTARIAN SEKOLAH TAHFIZ SWASTA DI MALAYSIA Noor Syahidah Mohamad Akhir *, Azrul Shahimy Mohd Yusof ² , Sakinatul Raadiyah Abdullah ³ , Asmadi Mohammed Ghazali ⁴ , Rohayati Hussin ⁵	199 - 209
THE RELATIONSHIP OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE TOWARDS FIRM'S PERFORMANCE: FOCUSING ON THE TECHNOLOGICAL SECTOR Zuraidah Ahmad ¹ *, Nur Liyana Mohamed Yousop ² , Nur 'Asyiqin Ramdhan ³ , Zuraidah Sipon ⁴ , Ruziah A. Latif ⁵ , Suzana Hassan ⁶ , Norhasniza Mohd Hasan Abdullah ⁷ , Ummi Mariah Ismail ⁸	210 - 224
THE MALAYSIAN YOUTH ACCEPTANCE LEVEL ON PLASTIC BAG CAMPAIGN THROUGH THE SOCIAL MEDIA ADVERTISING Noorita bt Mohammad*, Nur Ainiey Aida binti Abdul Ghani ² , Che Ros Alia Che Abdul Ghani ³ , Miza Afifah Mazlan ⁴ , Muhammad Adib Mohammad Bakri ⁵ , Izzul Areef Mohammad Khairi ⁶	225 - 238
MALAYSIAN PRONUNCIATION: IS IT WRONG TO SOUND MALAYSIAN? Nor Asni Syahriza Abu Hassan *, Wan Nurul Fatimah Wan Ismail ² , Nurul Nadwa Ahmad Zaidi ³ , Nurul Hijah Hasman ⁴	239 - 252

MODELLING PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF ROHINGYA REFUGEES USING STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING (PLS) IN MALAYSIA

Mohd Ramlan Mohd Arshad^{*}, Mohd Na'eim Ajis², Aizat Khairi³

¹ *Faculty of Administrative Science & Policy Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA,
Negeri Sembilan*

² *School of Government, College of Law, Government and International Studies,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,*

³ *Student Development Section, Institute of Marine Engineering Technology,
Universiti Kuala Lumpur*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received Dec 2020
Accepted April 2021
Published June 2021

Keywords:

Job Competition, Knowledge,
Media, Policy,
Perception, Refugee,
Rohingya

Corresponding Author:
ramlanarshad@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

As every part of the world is battling with the deadly virus, Malaysia is also struggling in flattening the Covid-19 curve with Rohingya refugees being one of the clusters involved. This creates both a positive and negative perception of the Rohingya community in this country. Therefore, this study aims to explore the perception of the public on Rohingya refugees in Malaysia. Public perception is referred to as the process to determine the way people interpreted their surroundings. Apart from that, the objectives are also mainly to identify the relationship between media, knowledge, government policy and competition in job toward the public perception of Rohingya refugees. 384 respondents of this study were community lived in Selayang, Selangor, Malaysia. A purposive sampling technique was used with a questionnaire as the instrument. SEM-PLS analysis was conducted and the findings show that there is a significant relationship between the variables and perception of Rohingya refugees with mass media considered as the main factor. This study suggested that public perceptions can be constructed through the tested variables even knowledge can be considered to have a low impact on perception. Hence, various parties such as authority, non-governmental bodies and community has benefited from the study.

1. Introduction

A massive assassination of the Rohingya community in Myanmar has sparked various people reactions around the world. The humanitarian crisis that happened in Myanmar also gives an impact on Malaysia as an ASEAN neighbour in terms of security ("Putrajaya: Rohingya Issue", 2016). This led to Malaysia government initiated solidarity protest against the brutal killing of people Rohingya in Arakan. However, the government of Malaysia effort in supporting Rohingya community in Arakan bring some risk towards the safety and security if there is an unexpected event that occurs during the demonstration. Even though Malaysia and other states in ASEAN protested against the humanitarian crisis in Myanmar but it did not stop the brutal attacks on Rohingya by the junta government. This issue worsen as the junta perceived Rohingya's crisis as a domestic issue with no impact to Malaysia (Mohammad, 2018). However, the increasing number of Rohingya refugees escaped from Myanmar to Malaysia will lead to an increase in the cost of shelter, food, health and education for Rohingya ("Putrajaya: Rohingya Issue", 2016). Thus, the government of Malaysia cannot bear the burden to protect refugees and propose to Myanmar's government to allow the Rohingyas to return to their homeland (Faizie, 2018). Other than that, Malaysia is not the member of 1951 Refugees Convention nor does its Protocol 1967, thus, Malaysia have no system to control the status and right of refugees (Equal Rights Trust, 2014). Due to that, Rohingya refugees were considered illegal immigrants that expose them to the risk of extortion, arrest, detention, and deportation (Azharuddin & Azlinariah, 2012). Furthermore, the environment of Malaysia's policy that lacks of advantages to undocumented migrants has caused refugees unable to access the basic services such as free healthcare and formal education (Chuah, et al., 2018). In addition, Malaysia did not acknowledge the status of Rohingya refugees due to security, economic and social factors and they are only allowed to stay in Malaysia temporarily (Yesmin, 2016). With that being said, this study aims to explore the public perception toward the Rohingya refugees who seeking protection in Malaysia.

2. Theory of public perception

The theory of perception concerns the cognition of people in which it is a process of acquiring knowledge and understanding through experience and the senses which are through nose, eyes, and ears (Démuth, 2013). Each sense organ is part of a sensory system in which this sensory may receive inputs and it will transmit the information to the brain (Helmholtz, 2018). This theory studies the perceiver's expectations and previous knowledge as well as the information available acquired by the perceiver through the sensory system (Helmholtz, 2018). The perception theory that is studied by the researcher are divided into two which is Gibson's theory of direct perception as a bottom-up approach and the Constructive theory of perception which is a top-down approach (Gregory, 1970). Gibson's theory of direct perception (bottom-up approach) proposed that people cognition is influenced by the external environment (Gregory, 1970). According to Darwin assumption, he believed that the environment caused people sensory receptor to react with what they acquire from the environment which also influences the whole process of perception (Démuth, 2013). In this study, the researchers take the media as an environment factor that influences the perception of the people. The sensory receptor of the perceivers will react to the information that disseminates by the media through newspaper, television, Twitter, and Facebook in which it can shape the people perception (Matherne, 2015).

Besides, Gibson (1972) stated that the information about shape and size are received by people sensors and then the sensors will build people perception of what they believe from the

information received (Démuth, 2013). It is the same to people ears that perceive information through vibrating waves and the information will flow to the brains in which people will process the information and may create some perception on certain issues (Démuth, 2013). To some extent, the perception will also be affected by people through an active approach which means that perception can be shaped through people movement to find the information. According to Gibson, once people move and sit at the table and find some information, the retina from people's eyes will create the perception (Démuth, 2013). Only by this movement, people will get surrounding information and acquire or be aware of the information present in our environment. The theory related to the researchers' study in which the information that is separated by the media will encourage people movement to acquire true facts. People will go and search the facts through television, the internet, and Twitter in which the information get will go through the people sensory system and result in some perception (Démuth, 2013). Therefore, this Gibson's theory of direct perception (bottom-up approach) will be used by the researcher in the study of public perception on Rohingya refugees.

3. An assessment on of public perception on rohingya refugees

The public perception of Rohingya refugees can be generated through media, education, government policy and competition in a job. The public perception of Rohingya refugees can be shown through their action and how they sustain the existence of a new community in their country (Tent, 2016). It is a view from a group of people of what they think on certain issues which will involve either understanding or misunderstanding (Dowler et al., 2006). Public perception can be different from one person to another because perception can be shaped through facts, virtual truth, and popular opinion (Zint & Wolske, 2014). Besides, the perception of the public is also created based on what people see and hear which it influenced their behaviour towards the issues (Zint & Wolske, 2014).

Political message that has been disseminated through media sometimes can be both positive and negative to the refugee's existence (Hargrave, 2017). The construction of information seemed like an important element and it needs to be taken into consideration before any information about refugees portrayed by the media because it will shape the public opinions (Blinder, 2013). Next, the way media present the information also give the same importance in forming public perception (Catherine & Greg, 2013). One of the reasons is the perception position which depends on the certain division of people such as according to race, ethnicity, and also a class (Esses, 2013). Every people have different thinking to understand and accept the information gathered from the media. The fact shows that the mental images of individuals are likely different and this highlights the importance of investigating the information from media class will affect the individual's judgments on dehumanization and infectious disease about Rohingya refugees (Haslam, 2012; Esses, 2013; Nielsen, 2016).

Apart from that, the policy made by the Malaysian government has significant impact on the public perception of Rohingya refugees (Beutin et al., 2009). Malaysia was not involved in the 1952 Refugee Convention, thus, implementing a policy such as liberal, humanitarian and rejectionist refugees' policy to control the Rohingya refugees making them as illegal migrants that can be subject to the risk of arrest, detention, and deportation (Torjman, 2005; Wake, 2016; Yesmin, 2016). However, the policies enable refugees that are acknowledged by the UNHCR to receive minimum humanitarian assistance not included monetary aid from Malaysia Government such as shelters temporarily using a community-based approach (Crisp et al., 2012; Wake, 2016; Yesmin, 2016).

Even though Malaysia follows the UNHCR Policy Guidelines, the coming of refugees to the host country has contributed to the negative outcome as there is an increase in job competition that brings down resident's opportunity to get the job (Ratha et al., 2011). Public perception is affected as the job opportunities becoming a not only competition between the public itself but also with Rohingya refugees (Geurs & Ritsema, 2003; Navruz & Cukurcayir, 2015; Barcelo, 2016; Alshoubaki & Harris, 2018). Thus, Rohingya refugees were being perceived as taken the job away in the host country. The local citizen will feel pressure to obtain a job as if he has the same capabilities that need them to compete with the refugees to get the job (Rodrigo, 2018).

The negative public perception that is manifested between the public and refugees can be converted into negative attitudes and threat and in return opposing the refugees (Beutin et al., 2007). The involvement of refugees gives impact to the host countries as refugees take a job opportunity, becoming competitors and the way policy implemented made the refugees seen as posing threats and arise consequences in the host country (Geurs & Eck, 2003; Ratha et al., 2011; Baloch et al., 2017; Ayoubi & Saavedra, 2018). By these arguments, this study is relevant to obtain a model that shaping public perception of the existence of Rohingya refugees in Malaysia.

4. Methodology

This quantitative study was conducted using a cross-sectional design with a purposive sampling technique was used. 500 questionnaires were distributed with 384 were returned with a 76.8% of the respond rate. A sample of 384 respondents stayed in Selayang, Selangor, Malaysia were involved in this study. SEM-PLS was used as the statistical software in analysing the respondents' responses. Self-administered questionnaire was used as the instrument in collecting data with purposive sampling technique was applied because researchers had designed specific judgement on respondents such as living in Selayang residential areas only and had experienced in dealing with Rohingya refugees. The distributed questionnaire consists of two sections. In the first section, researchers asked respondents about their gender, level of education and occupation as a study by Azizah (2009) suggested that such demographic profiling benefited researchers in discussing an issue about Rohingya refugees. For the second section, researchers asked questions related to the research model. Public perception was measured through a Likert-scale with five items adapted from Telhami (2014) and Topal et al. (2017). Knowledge was measured using five items adapted from Shrestha et al. (2013), mass media was measured through six items adapted from Niklander et al. (2015), government policy was measured through five items on an individual political ideology that contributes to the perception of the public on refugees (Crawley & Zanker, 2018) and last but not least, six items accessibility of job opportunity is used to measure the job competition taken from Lergetporer et al., (2018).

5. Data analysis

Most of the respondents (see Table 1) were female which are 251 (65.36%) followed by male which are 133 (34.64%). Meanwhile, as for second demographic was level of education in which most of the respondents acquired SPM with 219 (57.63%) and followed by Certificate/ STPM/ STAM/ Diploma which is 94 (24.48%) while respondent that has a degree is 42 (10.94%) and followed by SRP/ PMR/ PT3 and Master's degree which are 24 (6.25%) and 5 (1.30%) accordingly. The highest occupation of the respondents is private-sector employee 46.88% which are 180 people, and followed by self-employed 20.57% consist of 79 people, while 44 respondent are an entrepreneur that contribute to 11.46%. For other occupation records, 7.30% represent by 28

respondents, public sector employee 27 people 7.03%, 19 respondents were unemployed 4.95% while 7 respondent are retired 1.82%.

Table 1: Demographic Data (n: 384)

No.	Profile	No	Frequency (%)
1.	Gender		
	Male	133	34.64
	Female	251	65.36
2.	Level of Education		
	SRP/PMR/PT3SPM	24	6.25
	Certificate/STPM/STAM/Diploma	219	57.63
	Degree	94	24.48
	Master's Degree	42	10.94
		5	1.30
3.	Occupation		
	Public sector employee	27	7.03
	Private sector employee	180	46.88
	Self-employed	79	20.57
	Entrepreneur	44	11.46
	Retired	7	1.82
	Unemployed	19	4.95
	Others	28	7.30

Common method variance needs to be examined when data are collected via self-reported questionnaires and, in particular, both the predictor and criterion variables are obtained from the same person (Podsakoff et al., 2003). Podsakoff and Todor (1985) also noted that: "Invariably, when self-reported measures obtained from the same sample are utilized in research, concern over same-source bias or general method variance arise" (p. 65). There are several remedies to this issue suggested in the literature. First, factor analysis without rotation in SPSS was conducted and the analysis returned a 5-factor solution explaining 70.22% of the variance. The first factor only explained 23.317% which is much lower than the majority thus indicating method bias is not a serious issue with this study. Next as shown in Table (3), the inter-correlations did not show any value of 0.9 or above with the highest inter-correlation at only 0.694 thus both this test indicates that method bias is not a serious problem in this present study. To analyse the research model we used the Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis using the SmartPLS 3.0 software (Ringle et al., 2015). Following the recommended two-stage analytical procedures by Anderson and Gerbing (1988), we tested the measurement model (validity and reliability of the measures) followed by an examination of the structural model (testing the hypothesized relationship) (see Hair et al., 2014; Ramayah et al. 2011; 2013). To test the significance of the path coefficients and the loadings, a bootstrapping method (5000 resamples) was used (Hair et al., 2014).

Table 2: Measurement Model

Construct	Items	Loading	CR ^a	AVE ^b
Public Perception (DV)	B1	0.777	0.898	0.638
	B2	0.748		
	B3	0.841		

	B4	0.787		
	B5	0.835		
Mass Media	C1	0.739	0.876	0.587
	C2	0.841		
	C3	0.707		
	C4	0.772		
	C5	0.764		
Knowledge	D1	0.787	0.869	0.69
	D2	0.815		
	D3	0.886		
Government Policy	E1	0.686	0.842	0.572
	E2	0.794		
	E3	0.729		
	E4	0.809		
Job Competition	F1	0.864	0.91	0.772
	F2	0.843		
	F3	0.927		

Note: D4 and D5 were deleted due to low loading

a. Composite reliability = (square of the summation of the factor loadings) / [(square of the summation of the factor loadings) + (square of the summation of the error variances)]

b. AVE = (summation of squared factor loadings) / (summation of squared factor loadings + summation of error variances)

To assess the measurement model, 2 types of validity were examined, the first being convergent validity and the second being discriminant. Convergent validity of the measurement model is usually ascertained by examining the loadings, average variance extracted (AVE) and also composite reliability (Gholami et al., 2013). The loadings were all higher than 0.6, the composite reliabilities were all higher than 0.7 and the AVE values were also higher than 0.5 as suggested by Hair et al. (2014) (see Table 2).

Table 3: Discriminant Validity

	1	2	3	4	5
1.Public Perception					
2.Government Policy	0.309				
3.Job Competition	0.479	0.694			
4.Mass Media	0.569	0.228	0.255		
5.Knowledge	0.288	0.454	0.417	0.497	

There has been recent criticism of the Fornell-Larcker (1981) criterion was reliably detect the lack of discriminant validity in common research situations (Henseler et al., 2015). They have suggested an alternative approach, based on the multitrait-multimethod matrix, to assess discriminant validity: the heterotrait-monotrait ratio of correlations. Henseler et al. (2015) also went on to

demonstrate the superior performance of this method through Monte Carlo simulation study. There are two ways of using the HTMT to assess discriminant validity: (1) as a criterion or (2) as a statistical test. For the first one, if the HTMT value is greater than the HTMT.85 value of 0.85 (Kline 2016), or the HTMT.90 value of 0.90 (Gold et al. 2001) then there is a problem of discriminant validity. The second criterion is according to Henseler et al. (2015), is to test the null hypothesis ($H_0: HTMT \geq 1$) against the alternative hypothesis ($H_1: HTMT < 1$) and if the confidence interval contains the value one (i.e., H_0 holds) this indicates a lack of discriminant validity. As shown in Table 3 all the values passed the HTMT.90 (Gold et al., 2001) and HTMT.85 (Kline, 2011).

Table 4: Hypothesis Testing

	Std Beta	Std Error	t-value	p-value	BCILL	BCIUL	f2	VIF
Knowledge → Public Perception	-0.023	0.045	0.512	0.304	-0.086	0.058	0.001	1.511
Government Policy → Public Perception	0.23	0.057	4.041	p<0.001	0.139	0.327	0.053	1.630
Job Competition → Public Perception	0.182	0.066	2.773	p<0.001	0.071	0.285	0.03	1.797
Mass Media → Public Perception	0.43	0.054	7.89	p<0.001	0.338	0.515	0.217	1.614

*R Square: 0.539

Government Policy ($\beta=.23$, $p<.001$), Job Competition ($\beta=.18$, $p<.001$) and Mass Media ($\beta=.43$, $p<.001$) were positively related to public perception toward Rohingya refugees, thus H2, H3, H4 was supported. While Knowledge ($\beta=-0.023$, $p>.001$) were not significant thus H1 not supported. There R2 was .539 indicating 53.9% of the variance of public perception toward Rohingya refugees in Selayang can be explained by all the independent variables taken together.

6. Discussion and implication

The analysis found in this study is in accordance with the research done by Hargrave (2017) that media plays an important role in determining the perception of the public on Rohingya refugees. It is due to the messages that were disseminated through media can illustrated both positive and negative perception on the existence of Rohingya refugees. Media could be strong enough through its surveillance function to influence the perception of the public. This is because media have rovided the public with basic knowledge and information of all aspect of issues either at the national or international level. Besides, media also has a significant influence in directing the public to make a judgement and subsequently creating perception. Mass media does not only success in disseminating information butalso succeededin telling the public what to think because people shaping their perception according to what media present to them. The information displays by media naturally covered government policy and economic issue involving job opportunities. Thus, it parallels to the hypotheses of the study that these variables significantly affect public view on the existing of Rohingya refugees in Malaysia.

In addition, public also have a different understanding of the information gathered on the media because every people have a different judgement about Rohingya refugees due to different level of knowledge acquired. This fact aligns with Rodrigo (2018) which stated that knowledge

has shaped the perception of the public on Rohingya refugees. Rodrigo's study also found that individual with higher knowledge commonly has a positive perception as compared to person with lower knowledge on refugee issue. Blom (2008) previous study also examined that higher knowledge from education influence public perception at 49 percent as compared to the 7 percent with lower knowledge of refugees. This indicated that public perception and policy implemented by the government has to interact relationship that reinforces each other. The implementation of the Zero Tolerance Policy in 1992 by the Malaysia government was used as the foundation to refuse the existence of Rohingya refugees in the country. This led the refugees subjected to arrest and detention as refugees are treated as stateless migrants that stay in Malaysia. This situation made the refugees status same as undocumented migrant thus the public perceived them as the threat to the nation's security and safety. However, Malaysia had signed the Non-Refoulement agreement which put the government in dilemma as the agreement not allowed for refugees' deportation to the country of origin with dead treatment to them. Even though the government policy does not tolerate Rohingya refugees but they continued to serve these people in the name of human rights by providing shelter, food, and documentation. The helps from UNHCR in documenting the Rohingyas allowed the government in drafting and implementing the ATIPSOM (Anti Human Trafficking and Smuggling of Migrants) 2010 policy in dealing with refugees. Aside from that, the government is also strengthening the security at national borders through integrated security operations because Rohingya refugees were identified to enter Malaysia through land, air and sea. The authorities have claimed that the operations were successful in blocking refugees from entering Malaysia especially from the most prominent channel which are using ships and boats. There is a clear policy in Malaysia to deal with refugees but handling refugees remain challenging as the refugees who stayed in Malaysia need economy aid to survive. Thus, some of them are working as general workers in the market, shopping mall and construction sites.

The government is fully aware of the impact of refugees on the job workforce in this country. The employees might be hired to minimize labour cost and improve profit. Thus, it created competition in a job that indirectly influenced the perception of the public on Rohingya refugees. This supported by a previous analysis conducted by Alshouboki and Harris (2018) which stated that the coming of refugees to the host country has contributed to the negative outcome from the public as the situation increases the job competition between residents and refugees. Since the Rohingya labours are paid lower salary than local labours, this creates competition with local labours who are paid more and in the end it reduces the employment opportunities among the locals. Hence, employers tend to hire these refugees instead of locals. In addition, employers are not obligated to employment benefits such as pension and security schemes if they hired the refugees. Thus, this created instability in the workforce and significantly increased the amount of unemployment among Malaysian which directly affected their economic status.

7. Conclusion

This article aims to explore the public perception of the existing of Rohingya refugees in Malaysia especially in the district of Selayang, Selangor. This study has drawn several contributing factors that may shape the public perception of Rohingya refugees as statistical analysis output shows that there is a significant association between Government Policy and Job Competition toward public perception of Rohingya refugees with the highest association contributed by Mass Media in shaping an individual view on the existence of refugees in the nation. This paper also has justified that the knowledge does not have a huge impact on public perception toward Rohingya refugees, thus, their view on this issue relatively is the same. Therefore, it is important for

an education programme to be implemented to ensure the public has adequate knowledge with regards to refugees' issues.

On the other hand, this article is believed to provide an insight into why the public feel unsecured with the existence of Rohingyas as they create job competition towards the citizen. Hence, it can help the authorities to be more aware and concern about the importance of certain elements to ensure conflict does not arise between refugees and local citizen through effective policy. Additionally, the mass media must be fair in delivering information as they are the most significant actors that can influence public perception of refugees in Malaysia. Nevertheless, this study benefited several parties such as the National Security Council, Immigration Department, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Human Resource, NGOs and individual residents in Malaysia.

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, praises and thanks to Allah SWT for His showers of blessings throughout the writing of this paper. We would like to express our gratitude to our institutions, Faculty of Administrative Science and Policy Studies, UiTM Negeri Sembilan, School of Government, College of Law, Government and International Studies, UUM Sintok, Insitute of Marine Engineering Technology, UniKL. We also would like to thank our Dean, Head of Department and colleagues who provided insights, before during and after the course of this paper. We also extend our thank to Rohingya Society in Malaysia (RSM) for the helps during completing this paper.

References

- Alshoubaki, W. & Harris, M. (2018). The impact of Syrian refugees on Jordan: A framework for analysis. *Journal of International Studies*, 11, 154-179.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural Equation Modeling in Practice: A Review and Recommended Two-Step Approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103 (May), 411-423.
- Ayoubi, Z., & Saavedra, R. (2018). Refugee livelihoods: new actors, new models. *Forced Migration Review*, (58), 39-42.
- Azharudin, M. D. & Azlinariah, A. (2012). *Air Mata KesengsaraanRohingya: Identiti, Penindasan Dan Pelarian*. Inteam Publishing.
- Azizah, K. (2009). Filipino refugees in Sabah: State responses, public stereotypes and the dilemma over their future. *Southeast Asian Studies*, 47(1), 52-88.
- Baloch, A., Shah, S. Z., Noor, Z. M., & Lacheheb, M. (2017). The Economic Effect of Refugee Crises on Neighbouring Host Countries: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan. *International Migration*. Available at: <http://doi.org/10.1111/imig.12389>
- Barcelo, K., Hainmueller, J., and Hangartner, D. (2016). 'How economic, humanitarian, and religious concerns shape European attitudes towards asylum seekers', *Political Science*, 354: 6309.


- Beutin, R., Canoy, M., Horvath, A., Hubert, A., Lerais, F., Smith, P. (2006). *Migration and public perception Brussels: European Commission: Bureau of European Policy Advisers (BEPA)*, European Commission
- Blinder, S. M.-S. (2013). Thinking behind the numbers: Understanding public opinion on immigration in Britain's Migration Observatory report, COMPAS, University of Oxford. *Journal of Political Studies*, 80.
- Blom, S. (2008). Attitudes towards Immigrants . *Journal of Ethnic and Immigration Studies*, 142.
- Cambridge University Press. (2018). *Meaning of "public" in the English Dictionary*. Retrieved from Cambridge Dictionary: [https:// dictionary. cambridge. org/ dictionary/ english/ public](https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/public)
- Catherine, H. & Greg, P. (2013). The Role of the Media in the Construction of Public Belief and Social Change. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 1(1).
- Chuah, F. L. H, Tan, S. T., Yeo, J. & Legido-Quigley, H. (2018). Health System Responses to the Health Needs of Refugees and Asylum-seekers in Malaysia: A Qualitative Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.16 (9):1584.
- Crawley, H & Zanker, J. H. (2018). Deciding Where to go: Policies, People and Perceptions Shaping Destination Preferences. *International Migration*, 57(1), 20-35.
- Crisp, J., Obi, N & Umlas, L. (2012). *But When Will Our Turn Come? A Review of the Implementation of UNHCR's Urban Refugee Policy in Malaysia*. Geneva: Policy Development and Evaluation Service, United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- Démuth, A. (2013). *Perception Theories*. Poland: Association of Slovaks in Poland
- Dowler, E., Bauer, M., Green, J. & Gasperoni, G. (2006). Assessing public perception: issues and methods. Available at: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/30528118 Assessing_public_perception_issues_and_methods](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/30528118_Assessing_public_perception_issues_and_methods)
- Equal Rights Trust. (2014). *Equal Only In Name*. London: Stroma Ltd.
- Esses, V. M. (2013). " Uncertainty, Threat, and the Role of the Media in Promoting the Dehumanization of Immigrants and Refugees. *Journal of Social Issues*, 518-536.
- Eysenck, M. W. & Keane, M. T. (2008). *Cognitive Psychology: A Student's Handbook*. Florence, KY: Psychology Press, 6th Edition.
- Faizie, M. (2018, 21 October). *Rohingya bukan isu domestik Myanmar, Malaysia terima tempas – Mat Sabu*. Available at: <https://www.airtimes.my/2018/10/21/rohingya-bukan-isu-domestik-myanmar-malaysia-terima-tempas-mat-sabu/>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50.
- Geurs, K. & Ritsema, J. R. (2003). Accessibility measures: review and applications. Evaluation of accessibility impacts of land-use transportation scenarios, and related social and economic impact.

- Gholami, R., Sulaiman, A. B., Ramayah, T., & Molla, A. (2013). Senior managers' perception on green information systems (IS) adoption and environmental performance: Results from a field survey. *Information and Management*, 50(7), 431-43.
- Gold, H., Malhotra, A. & Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: An organizational capabilities perspective. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Summer 2001, 18(1), 185.
- Gregory, R. L. (1970). *The Intelligent Eye*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage Publication: Los Angeles.
- Hargrave, H. D. (2017). *Understanding public attitudes towards refugees and migrants*. London: Overseas Development Institute.
- Haslam, N. (2012). Dehumanization: An Integrative Review. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 252.
- Helmholtz H. V. (2018). *Theory of Perception*. Stanford: Centre for the Study of Language and Information. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/hermann-helmholtz/> (Accessed on 1 April 2020)
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modelling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115-135.
- Kline, R. B. (2016). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling (4th ed.)*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Lergetporer, P., Werner, K. & Woessmann, L. (2018). *Does Ignorance of Economic Returns and Costs Explain the Educational Aspiration Gap? Evidence from Representative Survey Experiments*. Discussion Paper Series, IZA – Institute of Labor Economics.
- Matherne, S. (2015). Images and Kant's Theory of Perception. *Ergo An Open Access Journal of Philosophy*, 2(29):737-777.
- Mohammad, F. K. (2018). A Historical Approach to Myanmar's Democratic Process. *Journal of Asia Pacific Studies*, 133.
- Navruz, M. & Cukurcayir, M. A. (2015). Factors Affecting Changes In Perceptions of Turkish People Towards Syrian Refugees. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. IV(4), 75-85.
- Nielsen, S. Y. (2016). Perception between Syrian refugees and their host communities. *Turkish Policy Quarterly*, 15(3), 99-106.
- Niklander, S., Soto, R. & Crawford, B. (2015). *Female gender representations in digital mass media via discourse analysis: A case study*. 1-6. 10.1109/CISTI.2015.7170610.

- Pereira, C., Vala, J., Costa-Lopes, R. (2010). From prejudice to discrimination: The legitimizing of perceived threat in discrimination against immigrants. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40, 1231-1250.
- Podsakoff, P. M., & Todor, W. D. (1985). Relationships between leader reward and punishment behavior and group processes and productivity. *Journal of Management*, 11(1), 55-73.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *J. Appl. Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903.
- Ramayah, T., Lee, J. W. C., Boey, J. C. I. (2011). Network collaboration and performance in the tourism sector. *Service Business*, 5(4), 411-428.
- Ramayah, T., Yeap, J. A. L., & Ignatius, J. (2013). An empirical inquiry on knowledge sharing among academicians in higher learning institutions. *Minerva: A Review of Science, Learning and Policy*, 51(2), 131-154.
- Ratha, D., Mohapatra, S., Ozden, C., Plaza, S., Shaw, W. & Shimeles, A. (2011). *Leveraging Migration for Africa: Remittances, Skills and Investments*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S., & Becker, J. M. (2015). SmartPLS 3. Bönningstedt: SmartPLS. Retrieved from: <http://www.smartpls.com>
- Rodrigo, G. H. (2018). Between uncertainty and hope: A qualitative analysis of how young, highly educated Europeans perceive the acceptance of refugees into Europe. *Journal of Language and Communication Studies*, 17.
- Shrestha, J., Saha, R. & Tripathi, N. (2013). Knowledge, Attitude and Practice regarding Cervical Cancer Screening Amongst Women visiting Tertiary Centre in Kathmandu, Nepal. *Nepal Journal of Medical Sciences*, 2, 10.3126/njms.v2i2.8941.
- Telhami, S. (2014). *American Public Attitudes Toward The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict*. Center for Middle East Policy The Brookings Institution, Sadat Chair for Peace and Development at the University of Maryland.
- TENT (2016). *Public perceptions of the refugee crisis*. New York: TENT Foundation.
- Putrajaya: Rohingya issue has become an international matter. (2016, 3 December). *The Star Online*. p.1.
- Topal, M. H., Özer, U. & Dokuzlu, E. (2017). Public Perception of Syrian Refugees in Turkey: An Empirical Explanation Using Extended Integrative Threat Theory. *Problemy Polityki Społecznej. Studia i Dyskusje*, 3, 35-58.
- Torjman, S. (2005). *What is Policy?* Ontario: The Caledon Institute of Social Policy.
- Wake, C. (2016). *'Turning a Blind Eye' : The Policy Response to Rohingya Refugees in Malaysia*. London: Humanitarian Policy Group.

Yesmin, S. (2016). Policy Toward Rohingya Refugees : A Comparative Analysis of Bangladesh, Malaysia and Thailand. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bangladesh* , 71-100.

Zint, M. & Wolske, K. S. (2014). From information provision to participatory deliberation: Engaging residents in the transition toward sustainable cities. In D. H. Blanco & D. Mazmanian (Eds.), *The Elgar Companion to Sustainable Cities: Strategies, Methods* (188-209). Edward Elgar Publishing.



Cawangan Kedah
Kampus Sungai Petani

ISSN: : 1985-5079

